

1.12.2

B) $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \neg q \\ \hline \therefore \neg p \end{array}$$

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$ | Hypothesis |
| 2. $\neg p \vee (q \wedge r)$ | De Morgan ^{Conditional} Commutative 1 |
| 3. $(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)$ | Distrib 2 |
| 4. $(\neg p \vee q)$ | Conjunction 3 Simplification |
| 5. $p \rightarrow q$ | Commutative 4 |
| 6. $\neg q$ | Hypothesis |
| 7. $\neg p$ | Modus Tollens 6, 5 |

$p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$

$\neg p \vee (q \wedge r)$

E) $p \vee q$

$\neg p \vee r$

$\neg q$

$$\therefore r$$

- | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. $p \vee q$ | Hypothesis |
| 2. $\neg p \vee r$ | Hypothesis |
| 3. $\neg q$ | Hypothesis |
| 4. $p \rightarrow r$ | Conditional 2 |
| 5. $q \vee p$ | Commutative 1 |
| 6. p | Disjunctive Syllogism 5, 3 |
| 7. r | Modus Ponens 6, 4 |

1.12.3

$$\begin{array}{l} c) p \vee q \\ \neg p \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$$

1. Hypoth
1. $p \vee q$ Hypothesis
2. $\neg p$ Hypothesis
- 3.

1. $p \vee q$ Hypothesis
2. $\neg p \vee \neg \neg q$ Double Neg 1
3. $\neg p \rightarrow \neg \neg q$ Contradiction 2
4. $\neg p$ Hypothesis
5. $\neg p \rightarrow q$ Double Neg 3
6. q Modus Ponens 4,5

$$\begin{array}{l} (p \vee q) \\ \neg \neg (p \vee q) \\ \neg (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \times \\ \neg \neg p \vee \neg \neg q \text{ DN} \\ \neg p \rightarrow \neg \neg q \text{ CI} \\ \neg p \quad \text{Hypo} \\ \neg \neg q \text{ MT} \\ q \text{ DN} \end{array}$$

^x
1.12.5

$$\begin{array}{l} c) (C \wedge H) \rightarrow J \\ \neg J \\ \hline \therefore \neg C \end{array}$$

1. $(C \wedge H) \rightarrow J$ Hypothesis
2. $\neg (C \wedge H) \vee J$ Conditional 1
3. $(\neg C \vee \neg H) \vee J$ DeMorgan 2
4. $\neg C \vee (\neg H \vee J)$ Associative 3
5. $\neg C \vee (J \vee \neg H)$ Commutative 4
6. $(\neg C \vee J) \vee \neg H$ Associative 5
7. $C \rightarrow J$ Conditional 6

1.12.5.

$$D) (C \wedge H) \rightarrow J$$

$\neg J$

H

$\therefore \neg C$

1 $(C \wedge H) \rightarrow J$ Conditional Hypothesis

2 $\neg(C \wedge H) \vee J$ Conditional 1

3 $(\neg C \vee \neg H) \vee J$ DeMorgan 2.

4 $\neg C \vee (\neg H \vee J)$ Commutative 3

5 $\neg C \vee (J \vee \neg H)$ Associative 4

6 $(\neg C \vee J) \vee \neg H$ Commutative 5

$\neg J$ Add. Hypothesis

H Hypothesis

$\neg C \vee J \vee \neg H \vee \neg J$ Addition 6, 5

$\neg C \vee J \vee \neg H \vee \neg J \vee H$ Addition 7, 8

$\neg C \vee J \vee \neg J \vee \neg H \vee H$ Associative 9

$\neg C \vee T \vee \neg H \vee H$ Complement 10

$\neg C \vee T \vee T$ Complement 11

$\neg C \vee T$ Domination

T

Domination

1.12.5 C)

$$(C(x) \wedge H(x)) \rightarrow J(x)$$

$$\neg J(x)$$

$$\therefore \neg C(x)$$

Invalid

Counter
Exp

$C(x)$	$H(x)$	$J(x)$	$(C(x) \wedge H(x)) \rightarrow J(x)$	$\neg J(x)$	$\neg C(x)$
T	T	T	T	F	F
T	T	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T

1.12.5 D)

$$(C(x) \wedge H(x)) \rightarrow J(x)$$

$$\neg J(x)$$

$$H(x)$$

$$\therefore \neg C(x)$$

$C(x)$	$H(x)$	$J(x)$	$(C(x) \wedge H(x)) \rightarrow J(x)$	$\neg J(x)$	$\neg C(x)$
T	T	T	T	F	F
T	T	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T

1 $(C(x) \wedge H(x)) \rightarrow J(x)$ Hypo

2 $(\neg(C(x) \wedge H(x))) \vee J(x)$ Conditional 1

3 $(\neg C(x) \vee \neg H(x)) \vee J(x)$ DeMorgan 2

4 $J(x) \vee (\neg C(x) \vee \neg H(x))$ Commutative 3

5 $\neg J(x)$ Hypothesis

6 $(\neg C(x) \vee \neg H(x))$ Disjunctive Syllogism 4, 5

7 $H(x)$ Hypothesis

8 $\neg H(x) \vee \neg C(x)$ Commutative 6

9 $H(x) \rightarrow \neg C(x)$ Conditional Identity 8

10 $\neg C(x)$ Modus Ponens 7, 9

1.1.1

$$P(a) = (P(a), \dots, P(a))$$

$$P(a) = (P(a), \dots, P(a))$$

$$\therefore P(a) = P(a)$$

$$1. \quad (P(a), \dots, P(a)) \text{ Hypothesis}$$

$$2. \quad \dots \text{ is a particular element}$$

$$3.$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} a & P & Q \end{array} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} P(a) = (P(a), \dots, P(a)) \\ Q(a) = (Q(a), \dots, Q(a)) \\ P(a) = F \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} a & P & Q \end{array} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} P(a) = F \\ Q(a) = F \end{array}$$

✓ When $P(a) \vee Q(a)$ is true, $P(a)$ is false and $Q(a)$ is true, $\neg(P(a) \vee Q(a))$ is false. However the conjunction $P(a) \wedge Q(a)$ is false, invalidating the argument.

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} a & P & Q \end{array} \right] \quad P(a) \vee Q(a)$$

$$1. \quad \forall x \quad S(x) \supset D(x) \text{ Hypothesis}$$

$$2. \quad \forall x \quad S(x) \vee D(x) \text{ Contradiction 1}$$

$$3.$$

1.3.5

1) $M(x)$: Missed class

$D(x)$: Deletion

$$\forall x \quad S(x) \supset D(x)$$

$$\neg M(\text{Penelope})$$

$$\therefore \neg D(\text{Penelope})$$

1. 13, 5

$$E) \forall x (M(x) \vee D(x)) \rightarrow \neg A(x)$$

Penelope (P) is Student

$$A(\text{Penelope})$$

$$\therefore \neg D(\text{Penelope})$$

$$1. \forall x (M(x) \vee D(x)) \rightarrow \neg A(x) \quad \text{Hyp}$$

$$2. \text{Penelope (P) is student} \quad \text{Hyp}$$

$$3. (M(P) \vee D(P)) \rightarrow \neg A(P) \quad \text{Uni Inst. 1, 2}$$

$$4. (\neg(M(P) \vee D(P))) \vee \neg A(P) \quad \text{Conditional 3}$$

$$5. (\neg M(P) \wedge \neg D(P)) \vee \neg A(P) \quad \text{DeMorg 4}$$

$$6. \neg A(P) \vee (\neg M(P) \wedge \neg D(P)) \quad \text{Commutative 5}$$

$$7. A(P) \rightarrow (\neg M(P) \wedge \neg D(P)) \quad \text{Conditional 6}$$

$$8. A(P) \quad \text{Hyp.}$$

$$9. (\neg M(P) \wedge \neg D(P)) \quad \text{Modus Ponens 8, 7}$$

$$10. \neg D(P) \wedge \neg M(P) \quad \text{Commutative 9}$$

$$11. \neg D(P) \quad \text{Simplification 10}$$

2.2.1 C) If x is a real number and $x \leq 3$ then
 $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$

$$\begin{array}{ll} 12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0 & 12 - 7(3) + 3^2 \geq 0 \\ x^2 - 7x + 12 \geq 0 & 12 - 21 + 9 \geq 0 \\ (x-4)(x-3) & 0 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Direct Proof: Assume - If x is a real number and $x \leq 3$, assume $x=3$. Since $x=3$, we can plug 3 into each x in the expression $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$.

$$12 - 7(3) + (3)^2 \geq 0$$

When evaluated we get
 $0 \geq 0$

Direct Proof: Assume that x is a real number and $x \leq 3$. Since $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$, we can factor this to get

$$(x-4)(x-3) \geq 0$$

Since, evaluating x is a real number and $x \leq 3$ by definition we can evaluate the factorization as
 $x=3$ or $x=4$

If $x=3$ we can plug x into the above expression to get
 $(3-4)(3-3) \geq 0$

✓ which evaluates to $0 \geq 0$

✗ However $x=4$ we plugged in to the expression yields

2.2.1 D) The product of two odd integers is an odd integer

$$(n)(j) = nj$$

$$\bullet (2k+1)(2k+1) = 4k^2 + 2k + 2k + 1 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$$

$$(2k+1)(2j+1) = 4kj + 2k + 2j + 1 = 2(2kj + k + j) + 1$$

Direct Proof

* Assume the product of two odd integers "n" and "j"

If the product of two odd integers is an odd integer let us express two non-consecutive odd integers as "n" and "j". If by definition n is an odd integer and j is an odd integer let us express them as $(2k+1)$ and $(2j+1)$ where "k" and "j" are integers.

If we evaluate the product of these integers we using the expressions $(2k+1)$ and $(2j+1)$, we get $4kj^2 + 2k + 2j + 1$. We can factor this expression to $2(2kj + k + j) + 1$. We know that k and j are any integer, and the expression above shows we multiply this expression by "2" to get an even integer. We also know that an even number + an odd number is an odd number, and "1" is an odd number, thus producing an odd integer.

2.3.1 D) For every integer n , if $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is even, then n is odd.

$$n = 2k \quad \text{---} \quad 2(k^2 - 2k) + 7$$

$$(2k)^2 - 2(2k) + 7 \text{ is odd}$$

$$2k^2 - 4k + 7 \quad +7 \text{ is odd} \quad k \text{ is integer} \quad 2k^2 - 4k \text{ is even (even} \cdot \text{int} = \text{even)}$$

Proof by Contrapositive

We assume that n is even, and have $n = 2k$ symbolizing an even integer. When " $2k$ " is plugged into the expression $n^2 - 2n + 7$, we get $2k^2 - 2(2k) + 7$, which factored becomes $2(k^2 - 2k) + 7$. We know that " k " is any integer and that when multiplied by 2, we get an even integer. We then add this integer by " 7 " an odd integer which ~~pro~~ when summed by the evaluation of " k " gives us an odd number. This odd number is contrapositive to " $n^2 - 2n + 7$ is even", validating the theorem.

F) For every non-zero real number " x ", if " x " is irrational, then $1/x$ is also irrational

$$x = \frac{a}{b} \quad \begin{matrix} a \neq 0 \\ b \neq 0 \end{matrix} \quad \frac{1}{a/b} = \frac{1}{a} \div \frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{b}{1} = \frac{b}{a}$$

if ~~x~~ $x = \frac{a}{b}$ which is rational, and ~~also~~ through ~~contrapositive~~ evaluation of $\frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{\frac{a}{b}} = \frac{b}{a} = x$

G) For every pair of real numbers x and y if $x^3 + xy^2 \leq x^2y + y^3$ then $x \leq y$

$$x(x^2 + y^2) \leq y(x^2 + y^2)$$

2.5.1 C) If integers x and y have the same parity, then $x+y$ is even.

Case 1. x and y are odd

$$x+y = (2k+1) + (2k+1) = 4k+2 \quad \text{even} \quad 2(2k+1)$$

Case 2. x and y are even

$$x+y = (2k) + (2k) = 4k \quad \text{even} \quad 2(2k)$$

Proof By Cases

In Case 1. we assume that x and y have a parity of odd integers. By definition, odd integers are integers expressed as $(2k+1)$ where k is any integer. When we substitute x and y with $(2k+1)$, we get the expression $(2k+1) + (2k+1)$, which is evaluated as $4k+2$. We can factor "2" out of $4k+2$, giving us $2(2k+1)$. " $2k+1$ " is an odd integer and when multiplied by "2" an even integer, the product is always even.

In case 2. we assume that x and y have a parity of even integers. By definition even integers can be expressed as " $2k$ ". By substituting x and y with $2k$, their sum $x+y$, is $2k+2k=4k$. We can factor $4k$, to give us $2(2k)$. " $2k$ " is an even integer, and when multiplied by another even integer "2" we know the product is also always even.

2.2.1 c)

If x is a real number and $x \leq 3$,
then $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$

$$12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$$

$$(x-3)(x-4) \geq 0$$

$$x=4 \text{ or } x=3$$

$$12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$$

$$x^2 - 7x + 12 \geq 0$$

$$x^2 - 7x \geq -12$$

$$x(x-7) \geq -12$$

$$\sqrt{x^2 - 7x} \geq \sqrt{-12}$$

$$x - 7x \geq \sqrt{12}$$

$$+7x \quad +7x$$

$$x \geq \sqrt{12} + 7x$$

$$\text{Let } x=3$$

$$12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$$

$$12 - 7(3) + 3^2 \geq 0$$

$$12 - 21 + 9 \geq 0$$

$$-21 + 21 \geq 0$$

$$0 \geq 0$$

Proof

Direct Proof

Assume $x=3$

Since, $12 - 7x + x^2$ is
a quadratic, we
can factor x to
either be $x=4$
or $x=3$. If we

assume $x \leq 3$ and
insert $x=3$ into the quadratic
we get $0 \geq 0$
which proves true.

2.2.1 D)

The product of two odd integers is an odd integer.

Assume arbitrary odd integer elements:
 ~~$a + b = c$~~ ~~$a + a = b$~~ $a + b = c$

Assume a, b, c are odd integers, thus can be expressed as $(2k+1)$

$$(2k+1) + (2k+1) = (2k+1)$$

$$4k+2 = (2k+1) \quad (2k+1)(2k+1)$$

$$2k+1 + 2k+1 = c$$

$$\frac{4k+1}{4} = \frac{c}{4}$$

$$\frac{k+1}{-1} = \frac{c}{4} - 1$$

$$k = \left(\frac{c}{4}\right) - 1$$

$$(2k+1)(2k+1) = c$$

$$4k^2 + 2k(2k+1)$$

$$4k^2 + 4k + 1 = c$$

$$4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2k+1$$

$$\frac{(2k+1)(2k+1)}{2k+1} = \frac{2k+1}{2k+1}$$

$$2k+1 = 1 \text{ odd}$$

1.13.5

$$\text{E) } \cancel{\forall x (M(x) \rightarrow D(x))}$$

$$\text{E) } \forall x \left(\overset{T/F}{M(x)} \vee \overset{T}{D(x)} \right) \rightarrow \overset{F}{\neg A(x)}$$

Penelope is student M, D, A, M
 $A(\text{Penelope}) \quad T$

$\therefore \neg D(\text{Penelope}) \quad F$ Valid

1. $\forall x (M(x) \vee D(x)) \rightarrow \neg A(x)$

2. Penelope is student

3. $M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope}) \rightarrow \neg A(\text{Penelope})$ unint

4. $\neg (M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope})) \vee \neg A(\text{Penelope})$

$$(\neg M(P) \wedge \neg D(P)) \vee \neg A(P)$$

$$(\neg A(P) \vee \neg M(P)) \wedge (\neg A(P) \vee \neg D(P))$$

$$(\neg A(P) \vee \neg D(P)) \wedge (\neg A(P) \vee \neg M(P))$$

$$(\neg A(P) \vee \neg D(P)) \text{ simp}$$

213.5

$\forall x M(x) \rightarrow D(x)$
 $\neg M(\text{Penelope})$

 $\therefore D(\text{Penelope})$

- 1 $\forall x M(x) \rightarrow D(x)$
- 2 Penelope is a postgraduate student
- 3 ~~$M(\text{Penelope}) \rightarrow D(\text{Penelope})$~~ line 2
- 4 $\neg M(\text{Penelope})$
- 5 $\neg M(\text{Penelope}) \vee D(\text{Penelope})$ Condi. 3

$\neg M(x)$	$M(x)$	$D(x)$	$M(x) \rightarrow D(x)$
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

2.4.1 (c) The Average of 3 real numbers is \geq to at least one of the numbers.

Arg.

$$(x+y+z)/3 \geq x \text{ or } y \text{ or } z$$

Case

I. $(x+y+z)/3 \not\geq x \text{ or } y \text{ or } z$

II.

Proof.

Proof by contradiction. Assume the average of 3 real numbers is not \geq to one of the numbers, if one