



College of Engineering

# CS CAPSTONE TECHNOLOGY REVIEW

NOVEMBER 27, 2017

## AXOLOTL

PREPARED FOR

KEVIN MCGRATH

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*

PREPARED BY

GROUP 15

WOMBAT

NICK WONG

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*

## CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Media Player Software</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Overview . . . . .	2
1.2	Criteria . . . . .	2
1.3	Kodi Software . . . . .	2
1.4	Clementine . . . . .	2
1.5	VLC Media Player . . . . .	3
1.6	Discussion . . . . .	3
1.7	Conclusion . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Main Storage Medium</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Overview . . . . .	3
2.2	Criteria . . . . .	4
2.3	Hard Drives . . . . .	4
2.4	Solid State Drives . . . . .	4
2.5	M.2 SSD Drives . . . . .	4
2.6	Discussion . . . . .	5
2.7	Conclusion . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Head Unit Module</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Overview . . . . .	5
3.2	Criteria . . . . .	5
3.3	Nvidia Jetson TX2 . . . . .	5
3.4	Nvidia Jetson TX1 . . . . .	5
3.5	Raspberry Pi . . . . .	6
3.6	Discussion . . . . .	6
3.7	Conclusion . . . . .	6
3.8	References . . . . .	7
	<b>References</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 MEDIA PLAYER SOFTWARE

## 1.1 Overview

In the product Axolotl, there is a need for software that allows for the playing of media files. The software chosen will be integrated within the Axolotl OS's media interface. This software should be portable and compatible for the Axolotl OS.

## 1.2 Criteria

Things that should be considered when choosing an open source media player:

- should support at least .mp3 files
- can be implemented into the Axolotl interface directly
- should have an interface that matches the rest of Axolotl OS

## 1.3 Kodi Software

Kodi is an open source media player application that has support for most devices and operating systems. Kodi also allows for their users to develop add-ons that may allow for different web services to be used. There is a large library of skins that may be applied to the software itself to match the interface of the Axolotl OS. The supported audio formats include almost all common types including mp3, flac, wav, and wma. Kodi also has support for the playing of media files from local and network storage mediums. The Kodi software has already been used as the media software in a car infotainment system known as CarPC. [1]

Pros:

- built in support to apply different skins
- support for many audio formats
- user defined add ons for difference services

Cons:

- none yet

## 1.4 Clementine

Clementine is a cross platform music player. It features a queue manager which allows temporary playlists. Clementine also has built in support for songs uploaded to certain web services including: Box, Dropbox, Google Drive, and OneDrive. It features a music visualizer with support for unix systems. The media files that are supported depend on the codecs that are on the system at the time. [2]

Pros:

- small and clean: strictly music player
- queue manager
- visualizer
- built in support for media player through web services
- easy to understand interface

Cons:

- native supported music formats unspecified

## 1.5 VLC Media Player

VLC media player is the most well known media player for all platforms. It has a large library of documentation and supported features. It has support for almost all media formats. The source code is readily available on Git. VLC has a media framework which is ready to be embedded into any application to add multimedia capabilities. [3]

Pros:

- large community with knowledge for development
- source code readily available
- most support for media formats

Cons:

- will have to find matching interface

## 1.6 Discussion

The media player that we will most likely use is the Kodi Software. Kodi and VLC seem to have support for the most common music file formats natively. In contrast, Clementine seems to require further codec addition to allow for as much support as VLC or Kodi.

Clementine has a queue manager which allows for the user to select a song to play next without disturbing the current media. In contrast, neither Kodi or VLC seem to have this kind of function, but it can be written when implementing the media software within the Axolotl OS.

Although the Clementine already has a nice interface that may match the Axolotl's interface, Kodi allows for many different skins in case Clementine's UI does not match. In contrast, VLC does not seem to have much support for interfaces however, in this case we may be able to take more time to create its own interface.

## 1.7 Conclusion

We choose the Kodi software because it has support for all the features that we will most likely need. All three options have support for many different audio file formats, however the fact that Kodi already has documentation on how it has been implemented in an infotainment system similar to the Axolotl, it will more beneficial to have a source to refer to when integrating a media player into the Axolotl OS.

# 2 MAIN STORAGE MEDIUM

## 2.1 Overview

In building the Axolotl, there is a need to have enough storage for any data that will be stored. Depending on the data stored from sensors, the largest constraint for this section would be disk space. When building the Axolotl unit itself, there may be a need to consider the physical size of the storage mediums when choosing one. The retrieval and writing of data will be run in the background especially for logging, so speeds of the storage medium is a constraint, but the lowest priority.

## 2.2 Criteria

Things that should be considered when choosing a Storage Medium:

- large disk space
- physical constraint
- sufficient read/write speeds

## 2.3 Hard Drives

The most common method of storage which has superior disk space with respect to price. The speed of an HDD depends on the rate at which the drive spins. Speeds vary from 5400, to 15,000 RPM. The physical size of a hard drive can either be 2.5 inches, or 3.5 inches. [4]

Pros:

- large amounts of disk space with respect to price
- many different form factors

Cons:

- lower read/write speeds

## 2.4 Solid State Drives

The much faster type of storage medium with lower disk space with respect to price. The speed of an SSD far surpasses HDD's. SSD's come in many physical form factors: 5.25 inch, 3.5 inch, 2.5 inch, and 1.8 inch. [4]

Pros:

- fast read/write speeds
- many different form factors

Cons:

- pricey

## 2.5 M.2 SSD Drives

A new type of SSD which is internally mounted. It has similar read/write speeds with the SSD. The benefit of having the drive mounted directly is so that it does not have to deal with overhead within the bus that SSD's are connected to. [5] [6]

Pros:

- allows for direct attachment to main module
- exceeds speeds of the 6Gbps SATA

Cons:

- main module must support the connection type

## 2.6 Discussion

Each option has no constraint with data space, but if price becomes a factor, then HDD is clearly the best. In terms of physical constraint, both HDD and SSD come in similar form factors. In terms of speed, both types of the SSD are much faster in comparison to the HDD. However, the benefit of choosing the M.2 SSD drive is being able to mount the drive to the head unit itself in contrast to using SATA. This is only possible if the head module we choose has support for this method of connection.

## 2.7 Conclusion

The most likely option would be the HDD. Although price may not be a constraint, the product does not strictly require read/write speeds to be much faster than what an HDD offers. However as described later in this document, since the Jetson TX2 has support for all three options, it would not be impossible to choose all three options for the project.

## 3 HEAD UNIT MODULE

The Axolotl head unit must be built on a module which has compatibility for all the requirements specified within the requirements document. In summary, the chosen head unit must support the media interface of an infotainment system, the ability to retrieve and log data from multiple sensors throughout the car it is placed in, and function as a GPS.

### 3.1 Overview

What should be considered when choosing the main module:

### 3.2 Criteria

- compatible with all requirements specified in requirements document

### 3.3 Nvidia Jetson TX2

The Jetson TX2 features:

- NVIDIA Pascal GPU
- 2 Denver CPUs with the A57 microarchitecture
- 32 GB Flash Storage
- Bluetooth compatible
- I/O with HDMI, PCI-E x4, M.2 Key E

This is the newer version of the Jetson TX1, with faster speeds. This module was designed for computational tasks for intelligent devices. With multiple CPUs, it couples raw computing power with power efficiency. [7]

### 3.4 Nvidia Jetson TX1

The Jetson TX1 features:

- NVidia Maxwell GPU
- ARM Cortex A57
- 16 GB Flash Storage

- Bluetooth compatible
- I/O with HDMI, PCI-E x 4, M.2 Key E

This module has similar features to the TX2, but with lower technical specifications. Almost all features supported in the TX2 are also supported in the TX1. [8]

### 3.5 Raspberry Pi

The newest Raspberry Pi 3 features:

- 4 x ARM Cortex A53
- Broadcom VideoCore IV GPU
- Bluetooth compatible
- I/O with HDMI, microSD, 3.5mm analogue audio-video jack

This module is a basic single-board general purpose computer. It excels with its versatility and a wide array of documentation online. [9]

### 3.6 Discussion

There has already been an infotainment system that has been developed on the Raspberry Pi similar to the Axolotl. In comparison, the Jetson TX2 and TX1 will have no documentation in creating a infotainment system. The Raspberry Pi only has support for microSD card storage without its adapter board in contrast to full support on the TX1 and TX2. The TX2 is vastly superior to the TX1 and the Raspberry Pi in terms of computing power.

### 3.7 Conclusion

The Nvidia Jetson TX2 will most likely be chosen mainly because it is already available. The Raspberry Pi should be sufficient for developing something like an infotainment system, however in Axolotl, there needs to be a considerable amount of computing power in order to process and format the amount of logs within the Black Box Portion. In addition to the logs, it must be able to work simultaneously with all of the additional sensors that will be included, and this is where the Raspberry Pi falls short. The Axolotl also needs to be able to save and process GPS data. Because of this, in comparison to the Raspberry Pi and the TX1, the TX2 and its low powered CPUs will be necessary to finish the project.

### 3.8 References

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kodi, "About kodi," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://kodi.tv/about>
- [2] Clementine, "Clementine about and features," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://www.clementine-player.org/>
- [3] VideoLAN, "Vlc features," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://www.videolan.org/vlc/features.html>
- [4] SEAGATE, "Choosing high performance storage is not about rpm anymore," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://www.seagate.com/tech-insights/choosing-high-performance-storage-is-not-about-rpm-anymore-master-ti/>
- [5] J. L. Jacobi, "M.2 ssd roundup: Tiny drives deliver huge performance," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://www.pcworld.com/article/2977024/storage/m2-ssd-roundup-tiny-drives-deliver-huge-performance.html>
- [6] A. Cunningham, "Understanding m.2, the interface that will speed up your next ssd," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://arstechnica.com/gadgets/2015/02/understanding-m-2-the-interface-that-will-speed-up-your-next-ssd/>
- [7] Nvidia, "Harness ai at the edge with the jetson tx2 developer kit," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.nvidia.com/embedded/buy/jetson-tx2-devkit>
- [8] —, "Harness ai at the edge with the jetson tx1 developer kit," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.nvidia.com/embedded/buy/jetson-tx1-devkit>
- [9] MagPi, "Raspberry pi 3 is out now," 2017, [Online; accessed 21-November-2017]. [Online]. Available: <https://www.raspberrypi.org/magpi/raspberry-pi-3-specs-benchmarks/>