

Abstract interpretation

Mathematical Tools

Inspiré des notes de cours du MPRI d'Antoine Miné, CNRS/ENS Ulm

Introduction

Concrete semantics

$$S_i \in \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}(\{I, X\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$$

(S₀) assume X in [0, 1000]; S₀ = {(i, x) : i, x ∈ ℤ}

(S₁) I := 0 S₁ = {(i, x) ∈ S₀ : x ∈ [0, 1000]}

(S₂) S₂ = {(0, x) : ∃i, (i, x) ∈ S₁}

while (S₃) I < X do S₃ = S₂ ∪ S₅

(S₄) I := I + 2; S₄ = {(i, x) ∈ S₃ : i < x}

(S₅) S₅ = {(i + 2, x) : (i, x) ∈ S₄}

(S₆) S₆ = {(i, x) ∈ S₃ : i ≥ x}

- smallest solution of a system of equations
- strongest invariant (and an inductive invariant)
- not computable in general

Abstract semantics

$$S_i^\# \in \mathcal{D}^\#$$

(S₀) assume X in [0, 1000]; S₀[#] = T[#]

(S₁) I := 0 S₁[#] = F₁[#](S₀[#])

(S₂) S₂[#] = F₂[#](S₁[#])

while (S₃) I < X do S₃[#] = S₂[#] ∪[#] S₅[#]


(S₄) I := I + 2; S₄[#] = F₄[#](S₃[#])

(S₅) S₅[#] = F₅[#](S₄[#])

(S₆) S₆[#] = F₆[#](S₃[#])

- D[#] subset of properties of interest (with a machine repr.)
- F[#] : D[#] → D[#] over-approximates the effect of F : D → D in D[#] (with effective algorithms).

Numeric abstract domain examples



concrete sets \mathcal{D}	{(0, 3), (5.5, 0), (12, 7), ...}	not comp.
abs. polyhedra $\mathcal{D}_p^\#$	$6X + 11Y \geq 33 \wedge \dots$	exp. cost
abs. octagons $\mathcal{D}_o^\#$	$X + Y \geq 3 \wedge Y \wedge 0 \wedge \dots$	cubic cost
abs. intervals $\mathcal{D}_i^\#$	$X \in [0, 12] \wedge Y \in [0, 8]$	linear cost

Trade-off between cost and expressiveness/precision.

Galois connection

$$(\mathcal{D}, \subseteq) \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha]{\gamma} (\mathcal{D}^\#, \subseteq^\#)$$

$$\alpha(X) \subseteq^\# Y^\# \Leftrightarrow X \subseteq \gamma(Y^\#)$$

- $\alpha(X)$ is the best abstraction of X in $\mathcal{D}^\#$
- $F^\# = \alpha \circ F \circ \gamma$ is the best abstraction of F in $\mathcal{D}^\# \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\#$

Example. (interval domain $\mathcal{D}_i^\#$)

- $[l_1, h_1] \subseteq_i^\# [l_2, h_2] \Leftrightarrow l_1 \geq l_2 \wedge h_1 \leq h_2$
- $\gamma_i([l, h]) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : l \leq x \leq h\}$
- $\alpha_i(X) = [\min X, \max X]$

Resolution by iteration and extrapolation

Problem. the equation system is recursive : $\vec{S}^\# = \vec{F}^\#(\vec{S}^\#)$

Solution. resolution by iteration : $\vec{S}^{\#0} = \emptyset^\#,$

$\vec{S}^{\#i+1} = \vec{F}^\#(\vec{S}^{\#i})$

e.g. $S_3^\# : I \in \emptyset, I = 0, I \in [0, 2], I \in [0, 4], \dots, I \in [0, 1000]$

Problem. infinite or very long sequence of iterate in $\mathcal{D}^\#$

Solution. extrapolation operator ∇

e.g. $[0, 2] \nabla [0, 4] = [0, +\infty[$

- remove unstable bounds and constraints
- ensures the convergence in finite time
- inductive reasoning (through generalisation)

Order theory

Definition. Set $X, \sqsubseteq \in X \times X$ is a **partial order** iff

1. reflexive: $\forall x \in X, x \sqsubseteq x$
2. antisymmetric: $\forall x, y \in X, x \sqsubseteq y \wedge y \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow x = y$
3. transitive: $\forall x, y, z \in X, x \sqsubseteq y \wedge y \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq z$

(X, \sqsubseteq) is a **poset** (partially ordered set).

Without antisymmetry, \sqsubseteq is a **preorder**.

Examples. (\mathbb{Z}, \leq), ($\mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq$), $\forall S, (S, =)$.

Usage.

- logic: ordered by implication \Rightarrow
- approximations: \sqsubseteq is an information order
- program verification: program semantics \sqsubseteq specification

Definitions.

- c is an **upper bound** of a and b if $a \sqsubseteq c$ and $b \sqsubseteq c$
- c is an **least upper bound** of a and b (**lub** or **join**) if
 - c is and upper bound of a and b
 - for every upper bound d of a and b , $c \sqsubseteq d$

Unique and noted $a \sqcup b$.

Generalized to upper bounds of arbitrary sets : $\sqcup Y, Y \subseteq X$.

$a \sqcap b, \sqcap Y$ are **greatest lower bounds** (**glb** or **meet**) if

$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a, b$ and $\forall c, c \sqsubseteq a, b \Rightarrow c \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b$.

$C \subseteq X$ is a **chain** in (X, \sqsubseteq) if it is totally ordered :

$\forall x, y \in C, x \sqsubseteq y \vee y \sqsubseteq x$.

Poset (X, \sqsubseteq) is a **complete partial order** (**CPO**) if every

chain C (incl. \emptyset) has a least upper bound $\sqcup C$.

A CPO has a **least element** $\sqcup \emptyset$, denoted \perp .

Examples.

- (\mathbb{N}, \leq) not complete, $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \leq)$ compl.

- $(\{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, \leq)$ not compl. but $(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}, \leq)$ compl.
- $\forall Y, (\mathcal{P}(Y), \subseteq)$ compl.

Definition. Poset $(X, \sqsubseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap)$ is a **lattice** with

1. a lub $a \sqcup b$ for every pair of a and b
2. a glb $a \sqcap b$ for every pair of a and b

Examples.

- integer intervals: $(\{[a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \leq b\} \cup \{\emptyset\}, \subseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap)$ where $[a, b] \sqcup [c, d] = [\min(a, c), \max(b, d)]$.
- divisibility: $(\mathbb{N}^*, |, \gcd, \text{lcm})$

Definition. Poset $(X, \sqsubseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \perp, \top)$ is a **complete lattice** with

1. a lub $\sqcup S$ for every set $S \subseteq X$
2. a glb $\sqcap S$ for every set $S \subseteq X$
3. a least element \perp
4. a greatest element \top

Examples.

- real segment $[0, 1]$: $([0, 1], \leq, \max, \min)$
- powersets: $(\mathcal{P}(S), \subseteq, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, S)$
- finite lattices
- integer intervals with finite and infinite bounds

Derivation

Complete posets or lattices $(X, \sqsubseteq_X, \dots)$ and $(Y, \sqsubseteq_Y, \dots)$, we can derive new ones by: duality, adding a least element \perp (lifting), product, point-wise lifting by some set S , sublattice.

Fixpoints

Definition. A function $f : (X, \sqsubseteq_X, \dots) \rightarrow (Y, \sqsubseteq_Y, \dots)$ is

- **monotonic** if $\forall x, x' \in X, x \sqsubseteq x' \Rightarrow f(x) \sqsubseteq_Y f(x')$
- **strict** if $f(\perp_X) = \perp_Y$
- **continuous between CPOs** if C chain $\subseteq X, \{f(c) : c \in C\}$ is a chain in Y and $f(\sqcup_X C) = \sqcup_Y \{f(c) : c \in C\}$
- a **complete \sqcup -morphism between complete lattices** if $\forall S \subseteq X, f(\sqcup_X S) = \sqcup_Y \{f(s) : s \in S\}$
- **extensive** if $X = Y$ and $\forall x, x \sqsubseteq_X f(x)$

Definition. Given $f : (X, \sqsubseteq) \rightarrow (X, \sqsubseteq)$

- x is a **fixpoint** of f if $f(x) = x$
- x is a **prefixpoint** of f if $x \sqsubseteq f(x)$
- x is a **postfixpoint** of f if $f(x) \sqsubseteq x$

$$\text{fp}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = x\}$$

$$\text{lfp}_x f = \min_{\sqsubseteq} \{y \in \text{fp}(f) : x \sqsubseteq y\} \text{ if exists}$$

$$\text{lfp} f = \text{lfp}_{\perp} f$$

Tarski's fixpoint theorem. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is monotonic in a complete lattice X then $\text{fp}(f)$ is a complete lattice.

Kleene fixpoint theorem. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous in a CPO X and $a \sqsubseteq f(a)$ then $\text{lfp}_a(f)$ exists.

Definition. (S, \sqsubseteq) is a **well-ordered set** if:

- \sqsubseteq is a total-order on S
- every $X \subseteq S$ such that $X \neq \emptyset$ has a least element $\sqcap X \in X$

Consequence.

- Any elt $x \in S$ has a **successor** $x + 1 = \sqcap\{y : x \sqsubset y\}$
- If $\exists y, x = y + 1$, x is a **limit** and $x = \sqcup\{y : y \sqsubset x\}$

Examples. (\mathbb{N}, \leq) , $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \leq)$

Definition. Given $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $a \in X$, the **transfinite iterates** of f are:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 &= a \\ x_n &= f(x_{n-1}) \text{ if } n \text{ is a successor ordinal} \\ x_n &= \sqcup\{x_m : m < n\} \text{ if } n \text{ is a limit ordinal} \end{cases}$$

Tarski's fixpoint theorem. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is monotonic in a complete lattice X and $a \sqsubseteq f(a)$ then $\text{lfp}_a(f) = x_\delta$ for some ordinal δ .

Definition. An ascending chain C in (X, \sqsubseteq) is a sequence $c_i \in X$ such that $i \leq j \Rightarrow c_i \leq c_j$.

A poset (X, \sqsubseteq) satisfies the **ascending chain condition (ACC)** iff for every ascending chain C , $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \geq i, c_i = c_j$ (similar definition for the **descending chain condition**).

Examples. $(\mathcal{P}(X), \sqsubseteq)$ is ACC/DCC iff X is finite, $(\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp\}, \sqsubseteq)$ where $x \sqsubseteq y \Leftrightarrow x = \perp \vee x = y$ is ACC/DCC. $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ is DCC but not ACC.


Kleene finite fixpoint theorem. If $f : X \leftarrow X$ is monotonic in an AAC poset X and $a \sqsubseteq f(a)$ then $\text{lfp}_a f$ exists.

Definition. Given posets (C, \leq) and (A, \sqsubseteq) .

$(\alpha : C \rightarrow A, \gamma : A \rightarrow C)$ is a **Galois connection** iff

$$\forall a \in A, c \in C, \alpha(c) \sqsubseteq a \Leftrightarrow c \leq \gamma(a)$$

denoted $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$



α is the **upper adjoint** or **abstraction**; A is the **abstract domain**.

γ is the **lower adjoint** or **concretization**; C is the **concrete domain**.

Properties. Assume $\forall a, c, \alpha(c) \sqsubseteq a \Leftrightarrow c \leq \gamma(a)$

- $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is **extensive** : $\forall c, c \leq \gamma(\alpha(c))$
- $\alpha \circ \gamma$ is **reductive** : $\forall a, \alpha(\gamma(a)) \sqsubseteq a$
- α is monotonic
- γ is monotonic

- $\gamma \circ \alpha \circ \gamma = \gamma$
- $\alpha \circ \gamma \circ \alpha = \alpha$
- $\alpha \circ \gamma$ and $\gamma \circ \alpha$ are idempotent

Corollary/Definition. $(\alpha : C \rightarrow A, \gamma : A \rightarrow C)$ is a Galois connection if:

- γ is monotonic
- α is monotonic
- $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is extensive
- $\alpha \circ \gamma$ is reductive

Corollary. Given $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$, each adjoint can be **uniquely defined** in term of the other:

- $\alpha(c) = \sqcap\{a : c \leq \gamma(a)\}$
- $\gamma(a) = \vee\{c : \alpha(c) \sqsubseteq a\}$

Corollary. Given $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$, then

- $\forall X \subseteq C$, if $\vee X$ exists, then $\alpha(\vee X) = \sqcup\{\alpha(x) : x \in X\}$
- $\forall X \subseteq C$, if \sqcap exists, then $\gamma(\sqcap) = \wedge\{\gamma(x) : x \in X\}$

Deriving Galois connections


Corollary. Given $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$ and

$(C', \leq') \xleftrightarrow[\alpha']{\gamma'} (A', \sqsubseteq')$, we can construct new Galois connections by duality, composition, point-wise lifting by some set S , functional lifting of monotonic operators.

Galois embeddings

Definition. If $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$, (α, γ) is a **Galois embedding** – denoted $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$ – if it satisfies one of the following properties:


- α is surjective
- γ is injective
- $\alpha \circ \gamma = id$



Corollary. A Galois conn. can be made into an embedding by **quotienting** A by the equivalence relation

$$a \equiv a' \Leftrightarrow \gamma(a) = \gamma(a').$$

Definition. $\rho : X \rightarrow X$ is an **upper closure** in the poset (X, \sqsubseteq) if it is monotonic, extensive and idempotent.



Corollary. Given $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$, $\gamma \circ \alpha$ is an upper closure on (C, \leq) .

Corollary. Given an upper closure ρ on (X, \sqsubseteq) , we have $(X, \sqsubseteq) \xleftrightarrow[\rho]{id} (\rho(X), \sqsubseteq)$.

Remark. We can rephrase abstract interpretation using upper closures instead of Galois connections, but we lose : the notion of **abstract representation** and the ability to have **several distinct** abstract representations for a single concrete object.

Sound, best, and exact abstractions

Definitions. Given $(C, \leq) \xleftrightarrow[\alpha]{\gamma} (A, \sqsubseteq)$

- $a \in A$ is a **sound abstraction** of $c \in C$ if $c \leq \gamma(a)$ or $\alpha(c) \sqsubseteq a$.
- Given $c \in C$, its **best abstraction** is $\alpha(c)$
- $g : A \rightarrow A$ is a **sound abstraction** of $f : C \rightarrow C$ if $\forall a \in A, (f \circ \gamma)(a) \leq (\gamma \circ f)(a)$
- Given $f : C \xrightarrow{\gamma} C$, its **best abstraction** is $\alpha \circ f \circ \gamma$
- $g : A \rightarrow A$ is an **exact abstraction** of $f : C \rightarrow C$ if $f \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ g$

Corollary. If g and g' abstract respectively f and f' then

- if f and f' are sound abstractions and f is monotonic then $g \circ g'$ is a sound abstraction of $f \circ f'$
- if g, g' are exact abstractions then $g \circ g'$ is an exact abstraction
- if g and g' are best abstractions, then $g \circ g'$ in **not** always a best abstraction

Fixpoint abstraction example theorem. Let $(C, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ be a complete lattice, $g : A \rightarrow A$ a sound abstraction of a monotonic $f : C \xrightarrow{\gamma} C$ and a a postfixpoint of g then a is a sound abstraction of $\text{lfp} f$.