Chapter-1

Deductive proof: A deductive proof consists of a sequence of statements whose truth leads us from some initial statement, called the hypothesis on the given statement (s), to a conclusion statement.

Hypothesis conclusion whenever H holds, I follows

Theorem 1.3: If x>4, then 2x>2.

Solutions First, notice that to the hypothesis H is "724", This hypothesis has a parameter, x, and there is next neither true northfalse. Rather, it's truth depends on the value of the parameter x; e.g., H is true for x = 6 and talse for x = 2.

Likewise, the conclusion c is "2"> x". This stakment also uses parameter x and is true for earthin values of x and not others. For example, c is false for x=3, since 2=8, which is not as large as 2=9. On the other hand, c is true for x=4,

/ Chapter - 1

since 2 = 4= 16. For 2=5, the statement is also true, since 25=32 is at least as larger as 5=25. Thus, we can say that 22 > 22 will be true whenever 20,4. Here as 2x x 20 subject to ment of 72 to

 $0.050, 2x+1.5, (x+1)^2$ $=)2^{\chi}.2^{\chi}.(\chi+1)^{2}$ $=)\chi^{2}.2^{\chi}.(\chi+1)^{2}$

10 < x | 20 H 2 2) 200 (x+1) a forth outloon, level smitheline

21 bal boo . 2 27 (1+02) 0 000 deadlogh Theorem 14. If x is the sum of the squares of four positive integers, then 2x > x2.

Solution: Let a, b, c and I be the four positive integers.

So, x = a+b+c+d- (1)

and a>, 1, 6>, 1, c>, 1, d>, 4>, 10, (2)

from (2) and properties of arithmetic are

from (1),(3) and properties of anithmetic, we get, 25,4. ... (4) x is at least, 1+1+1+1=4 from (4) and theorem 1.3, we get, militaria x ... (5) Additional forms of proof. # Proofs about sets: RU(SNT) = (RUS)n (RUT) # # The contrapositive; Every if then statement has an equivalent form that in some circumstances is easien to priore. The contrapositive of the statement "if H than C' is "if not a then not H". A statement and its contreapositive are either both true on bothe

false, so we can prove either to prove the other.

I statement Justification

To x is in RU(SAT).

Griven

2xis in R or x is in (1) and definition of union

(3) x is in R on x is in (2) and definition of intenseation both 3 and T

	N N
	Tx is in Rus and definition of union
	3 x is in RUT (3) and efinition of union
	Ox is in (RUS) (RUT) (9,(5) and definition of inter- -section
-	1000 000 1.8. (100 det)
	Again 6
	Statement Justification
	1) x is in (RUS) n (RUT) Given
(2) x is in Rus (1) and definition of intersection
Can.	3) x is in RUT (i) and u u y
in Contract	s and T cinions
MARIN TANK	SAT (a) and definition of intensection
00)x is in RU(SNT) (G) and definition of union
÷	A Converge & Contrapositive. The converge of
a	is then statement is the "Other direction", that is, the converse of "if H then " is " If a then H".
100	Inlike, the contrapositive, which is logically against to the original, the converse is not equivalent to the
in ealton	oriaina a alaboment
	magnus stating of

Example 1.11: "If x>4, then 2x>x1" -> main statement If not 2x>x2 then not x>4> contrapositive >17 2x 2x then x24 -> Anothe form of u

#Proof by contradiction: completing the proof by showing that something known to be false, starting rassuming the hypothesis atrue and the conclusion false.

Example: Prove that V5 is an intrational number.

· > Let 15 be a reational number.

So, $\sqrt{5} = \frac{p}{2}$ [P,267, 9 \$0\$ and P, p are co-prime and 9>1] $\Rightarrow 5 = \frac{p}{2}$ [Squaring both sides]

Multiplying both sides by q, we get

Here, 59 are clearly is an integer but at is not as

p and q are coprime numbers and 971.

50, 59 + p

: Therefore, vz is an irrational number.

Proof by counterexamples: It shows that a fire statement can't possibly be correct by showing an instance that contradicts a universal statement.

Theorem 1.13: All primes are odd.

. The integer 2 is a prome, but is even.

Theorem 1.14: There is no paire of integers a and be such that a mod b = 6 mod a

-som: There is three ases. 1 a>b. 2 a 2 b. Baz=1

a mod b = Pb+9, 92 [0, b-1]

It as b then a mod b= c is a onique integen between o and b-1

and b med a = b

Jon So, b mod a > a mod b.

It asb. then
b mod a = a' ics a onigne integer between and a mod b = a o and b a-1

... a mod b > b mod a

a termod $b = a \mod a = 0$ $b \mod a = b \mod b = 0$

So, if at b, then there is no point of integers are a and b such that a med b = b mad a.

Theorem 1.15: a mod b = b mod a if and only if a=b.

>> same as 2.14

Industive proof: It is escential when dealing with recursively defined objects. It is used to prove a statement is true for all values of n.

Bosis step: Sci) is true for i=0 on 1 i-> Parcticular integen

Industive step: It (5(n) is true, 5(n+1) is also true.

Theorem 1.16: For all n>0: 5 in it n(n+1) Base step: for n=0, of pour of - of pour of the your of E izo Inductive Step! Let, $\leq n = n(n+1)(n(2n+1))$ Agam, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i^{2} = (n+1)(n+2)\{2(n+1)+1\}$ = (n+1)(n+2)(2n+3) $=\frac{1}{6}(2n^3+3n^4+6n^4+9n+4n+6)$ $=\frac{1}{6}(2n^{3}+9n^{4}+13n+6)-\cdots(1)$ We can also write $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i^{1} = \sum_{i+1}^{n} i^{1} + (n+1)^{1}$ = m(n+1)(2n+1) + (n+1) $=\frac{1}{6}\left\{ (n^{2}+n)(2n+1)+6n^{2}+12n+6\right\}$ $=\frac{1}{5}\left(2n^{3}+n+2n+n+6n+12n+6\right)$ $=\frac{1}{5}\left(2n^{3}+9n+13n+6\right)-\frac{1}{5}\left(2n+2n+6\right)$

(Chaplur-2)

Example: If x>4, then 2x>x2

Soll: Base step: for x=4, 2x = 24= 16 x= 4= 16 $\therefore 2^{\chi} = \chi^{2} - \dots (1)$

Inductive step:

FOR X>,4

Let, 2x+1> (x+1) -> x=x+1

=> 21.2> (x+1)2 2) x2 2>, x42x+1 [from (1)]

= 2x1> x2+1

シメンタズナル

>>2+1 Ediriding both sides

For x>4, the maximum value of (2+=) is

2.27, So, L.H.S. > R.H.S.

 $\therefore 2^{\chi} > \chi^{\lambda}$