

PROOF FOR ANDERSON LOCALIZATION

...

This paper is dedicated to our advisors.

ABSTRACT. This paper gives a new proof of localization for one dimension Anderson model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Anderson model is given by a class of discrete analogs of Schrödinger operators H_ω with real *i.i.d* potentials $\{V_\omega(n)\}$:

$$(1.1) \quad (H_\omega \Psi)(n) = \Psi(n+1) + \Psi(n-1) + V_\omega(n)\Psi(n),$$

where $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega = S^\mathbb{Z}$, $S = \text{supp}\{\mu\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be compact and contains at least two points, μ is a borel probability on \mathbb{R} . *i.e.* for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $V_\omega(n)$ is *i.i.d.* random variables depending on ω_n in (S, μ) , but we will consider V_ω in the product probability space $(S^\mathbb{Z}, \mu^\mathbb{Z})$ as a whole instead.

We say that H_ω exhibits the pectral localization property in an interval I if for *a.e.* ω , H_ω has only pure point spectrum in I and its eigenfunction $\Psi(n)$ decays exponentially in n . We are gonna give a new proof for Anderson model based on the large deviation estimates and subharmonicity of Lvpapunov exponents.

2. MAIN IDEA

We are gonna introduce the main idea in this section.

Definition 1 (*g.e.v.*). We call E a generalized eigenvalue (denote as *g.e.v.*), if there exists a nonzero polynomially bounded function $\Psi(n)$ such that $H\Psi = E\Psi$. We call $\Psi(n)$ generalized eigenfunction.

Then due to the fact from [1] that: *spectrally almost surely*,

$$\sigma(H) = \overline{\{E : E \text{ is g.e.v.}\}},$$

We only need to show:

Theorem 2.1. *For a.e. ω , \forall g.e.v. E , the corresponding generalized eigenfunction $\Psi_{\omega,E}(n)$ decays exponentially in n .*

In order to get the dacaying speed of Ψ , We estimates the decaying speed of the Green's functions.

Definition 2. Assume $[a, b]$ is an interval, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $H_{[a,b],\omega}$ to be the the operator H_ω resticted to $[a, b]$ with zero boundary condition outside $[a, b]$.

Note that it can be expressed as a " $b - a + 1$ "-dim matrix.

Date: July 23, 2017.

Key words and phrases. Anderson Localization, spectrum.

Definition 3. The Green's function defined on $[a, b]$ for H_ω with energy $E \notin \sigma(H)$ is

$$G_{[a,b],E,\omega} = (H_{[a,b],\omega} - E)^{-1}$$

Note that this can be expressed as a " $b - a + 1$ "-dim matrix, too. Denote its x line y column elements as $G_{[a,b],E,\omega}(x, y)$.

By the well-known formula:

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(x) = G_{[a,b],E,\omega}(x, a)\Psi(a) + G_{[a,b],E,\omega}(x, b)\Psi(b), \quad x \in [a, b]$$

If one can get that, the Green's function near n , say, for example on $[n-k, n+k]$, is decaying somehow exponentially in n as n growing, then since Ψ on the right-hand-side is polynomially bounded, $\Psi(n)$ on the left-hand-side will decay exponentially in n , too.

This, together with another purposes for using large deviation estimates, which you will see in !!!!(one lemma), inspires us to define "regular and singular".

Definition 4. $\mathcal{A}_{[a,b]} = \{[a, b], [a, b-1], [a+1, b], [a+1, b-1]\}$

Definition 5. For $c > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is (c, k, E, ω) -regular, if $\exists A \in \mathcal{A}_{[x-k, x+k]}$, s.t.

$$G_{A,E,\omega}(x, \partial A) \leq e^{-c|x-\partial A|}$$

i.e. if $A = [x_1, x_2]$

$$G_{A,E,\omega}(x, x_i) \leq e^{-c|x-x_i|}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

Otherwise, we call it singular.

So we only need to prove

Theorem 2.2. $\exists \Omega_0$ with $P(\Omega_0) = 1$, s.t. $\forall \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_0$, take \tilde{E} to be any g.e.v. of $H_{\tilde{\omega}}$, $\exists N = N(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\omega}), C = C(\tilde{E}), \forall n > N, 2n+1$ is $(C, n, \tilde{E}, \tilde{\omega})$ regular.

Remark 1. It's similar for even terms. We omit them only because of notation reasons.

Remark 2. Because if we achieve this, denote the corresponding polynomially bounded generalized eigenfunction as $\Psi(n) = \Psi_{\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{E}}(n) \leq M(1+n)^p$, for $p > 0$. Then $\forall n > N, \exists A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{[n+1, 3n+1]}$, s.t.

$$G_{A,E,\omega}(x, \partial A_n) \leq e^{-C|x-\partial A_n|}$$

so by equation 2.1, and $|x - \partial A_n| \geq n - 1$.

$$|\Psi(2n+1)| \leq M e^{-C(n-1)} (1+3n+1)^p$$

So for large enough n , $\Psi(2n+1)$ decays exponentially in n . Similarly for even terms and we will get Theorem 2.1.

The main technique is to find the proper set Ω_0 . Roughly speaking, the idea is: a point x is (C, k, E, ω) -singular means the corresponding pair (E, ω) is in some "bad" "large deviation set" for operators restricted near x , say, $H_{[x-k, x+k]}$. We can then pick proper set Ω_1 such that these bad sets have small measures. Then pick Ω_2 such that E is not only in these bad sets, but also stay very close to eigenvalues of $H_{[x-k, x+k]}$ which are also in these bad sets. In this case, if we pick $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ and if 0 and $2n+1$ are both $(C, n, \tilde{E}, \tilde{\omega})$ -singular, then \tilde{E} will be close to both eigenvalues $E_{i,n}$ of $H_{[-n,n]}$ near 0 and eigenvalues $E_{j,n}$ of $H_{[n+1, 3n+1]}$ near $2n+1$.

So now \tilde{E} is in bad sets for both intervals and are closed to both e.v., where each e.v. only belongs to bad sets for their own intervals. Which leads to a contradiction because the bad sets are so small that we can pick Ω_3 by Borel Cantelli, such that eventually all the eigenvalues of $[n+1, 3n+1]$ can't stay in bad set for $[-n, n]$,

[1] [2] [3] [4]

REFERENCES

- [1] Barry Simon. Schrödinger semigroups. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 7(3):447–526, 1982.
- [2] Henrique von Dreifus and Abel Klein. A new proof of localization in the anderson tight binding model. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 124(2):285–299, 1989.
- [3] Svetlana Ya Jitomirskaya. Metal-insulator transition for the almost mathieu operator. *Annals of Mathematics*, 150(3):1159–1175, 1999.
- [4] Hans L Cycon, Richard G Froese, Werner Kirsch, and Barry Simon. *Schrödinger operators: With application to quantum mechanics and global geometry*. Springer, 2009.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, ROWLAND 410C