Enchan Field Notes v0.2.3

Emergent Spacetime, Geometric Dark Matter, and Rotational Control

Mitsuhiro Kobayashi
Tokyo, Japan
enchan.theory@gmail.com

December 13, 2025

Abstract

These notes collect a minimal, field-theoretic realization of the Enchan framework. The central idea is that spacetime geometry is not fundamental but emerges as a stabilized long-lived configuration of a primordial fluctuation field. In this picture, dark matter is not a new particle species but the geometric imprint of persistent defects—"spacetime wrinkles"—in an emergent spatial order parameter S.

Previous updates (v0.2.2) incorporated the role of rotation in stability, drawing on Kerr black hole mechanics. **In this v0.2.3 update**, we append a note on recent experimental constraints. Specifically, we summarize the null results from a search for macroscopic rotational coupling using ground-based interferometric limits, which, within the tested band and sensitivity limits, rule out the "human-scale strong-coupling" variant of the hypothesis.

The Enchan framework is *not* intended to deny the Standard Model or general relativity. It should be read as a speculative "source-code" layer proposed beneath or alongside existing effective theories, especially in how we interpret the dark-matter sector.

Starting from a conceptual set of effective degrees of freedom—fluctuations F, emergent space S, time T, localized excitations P, and a geometric dark sector D—we derive a simple Lagrangian for the Enchan field,

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu S)(\partial^\mu S) + FS - V(S),$$

and obtain the equation of motion

$$\Box S = F - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}.$$

In the static galactic regime, we introduce a hypothesis that asymptotic configurations with $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$ generate an effective density profile $\rho(r) \propto 1/r^2$, which yields flat rotation curves $v(r) = \text{const}$ without particulate dark matter. We summarize the conceptual structure, its minimal field realization, and possible observational signatures, and we make explicit which elements are assumptions rather than derived results. This v0.2.3 version is intended as a technical backbone and ledger for future refinements.

Contents

1 Overview and Roadmap

The Enchan framework starts from a simple but radical premise: spacetime geometry is not fundamental. Instead, the spatial structure we experience is a stabilized configuration of underlying microscopic fluctuations. In this view, gravity and dark matter are not added on top of a pre-given metric; rather, they emerge from the way this spatial order forms, relaxes, and fails to fully relax.

A companion essay (*"Dark Matter as Spacetime Wrinkles"*, submitted to the Gravity Research Foundation 2026) formulates this idea in conceptual and astrophysical terms: dark matter is identified with persistent topological defects (*wrinkles*) in an emergent metric, whose $1/r^2$ energy-density profile explains flat galactic rotation curves and whose solitonic dynamics are potentially consistent with cluster collisions such as the Bullet Cluster.

The purpose of these notes is different but complementary:

- to spell out the conceptual backbone of the framework, relating fluctuations, space, time, matter, and dark matter;
- to present a minimal scalar-field model—the *Enchan field S*— that realizes these concepts at an effective level;
- to show explicitly how geometric defects in S can reproduce the phenomenology usually attributed to particle dark matter;
- to delineate which parts of the construction are assumptions, which are derived, and which are left as open problems;
- to record, in a programmatic way, how these notes relate to a separate conceptual essay (GRF submission) and to a device-level patent application based on the same underlying picture.

Scope and relation to existing physics

Throughout these notes, "Enchan theory" is intended as a speculative layer *beneath or alongside* existing frameworks:

- The Standard Model and general relativity are treated as effective theories that remain valid wherever they have been observationally tested.
- The Enchan framework proposes a different microscopic picture of how spacetime and the dark sector might emerge, but it is *not* designed to contradict well-established empirical results.
- In particular, ordinary particles such as electrons and protons are assumed to exist as usual; they are accommodated in the Enchan scheme as part of the localized excitation sector P.
- **Technological implementations are explicitly out of scope.** While the theoretical concepts (e.g., rotational control of stability) may inspire engineering applications, this document is strictly limited to cosmological and field-theoretic descriptions. Specific device architectures, sensing algorithms, or industrial applications are distinct from this framework and are reserved for separate documentation.

The structure of these notes is as follows. Chapter 2 summarizes the conceptual structure of Enchan theory. Chapter 3 discusses the physical motivation for the role of rotation, based on Kerr black hole mechanics. Chapter 4 introduces the field-theoretic model for S and derives its equation of motion. Chapter 5 discusses asymptotic configurations and their implication for galactic rotation curves. Chapter 6 outlines observational and theoretical directions. Chapter 7 concludes with a summary. Chapter 8 provides a programmatic mapping between these notes, the essay, and the patent.

2 Conceptual Structure of the Enchan Framework

In this chapter we summarize the conceptual backbone of the Enchan framework in a way that is compatible with standard field-theoretic language. We deliberately avoid committing to any specific microscopic "axioms" or fixed-point equations; those belong to a more speculative, internal layer of the programme. Here we only introduce the effective degrees of freedom that will be used in the rest of these notes.

Core ingredients

At the level of this document, the Enchan framework is built from the following ingredients:

- A *fluctuation sector* $F(x)$, representing pre-geometric or microscopic inhomogeneities that act as a coarse-grained source for spatial structure.
- A *rotational degree of freedom* $\Omega(x)$, capturing the irreducibly anisotropic, vortical component of the fluctuations. This is motivated by the role of spin and frame dragging in Kerr spacetimes: rotation is treated as a constitutive part of how stability domains are formed.
- An *emergent spatial field* $S(x)$, which summarizes the large-scale ordering of space. In the minimal model of Chapter 4, S is realized as a scalar field whose dynamics are driven by F and stabilized by a self-interaction potential $V(S)$.
- A *geometric dark sector* $D(x)$, defined at the effective level as a functional of S, and in the simplest realization identified with the norm of its spatial gradient:

  $$D(x) \equiv \|\nabla S(x)\|. \tag{1}$$

  This object is interpreted as a measure of "spacetime wrinkles" or scars in the emergent spatial order, and plays the role usually attributed to dark matter.
- A *localized excitation sector* $P(x)$, which denotes ordinary matter and other localized structures (e.g. stars, galaxies, compact objects). In the broader Enchan picture, these are understood as localized defects or concentrations that live on top of the background geometry encoded by S and D, but their detailed realization is left open in the present notes.
- An *effective time direction* T, associated not with a fundamental parameter but with gradients and flows of S at large scales. We will not attempt to construct T explicitly in this version; it suffices to note that, in the Enchan perspective, macroscopic time is tied to the evolution of spatial order rather than imposed *a priori*.

In short, F and Ω provide the driving environment, S captures emergent spatial order, D measures its residual geometric defects, P stands for localized excitations, and T encodes the effective time direction associated with the evolution of S.

From concepts to an effective field model

The more speculative, microscopic side of the Enchan proposal includes various fixed-point relations between these quantities. In this document we do *not* assume or specify those relations in detail. Instead, we adopt the following effective stance:

- The fluctuation sector F is treated as an external source. Its precise microscopic origin is left unspecified, but it is assumed to possess well-defined large-scale statistics.

- The rotational degree Ω is regarded as a control parameter that can modify the stability properties of emergent configurations of S, in analogy with how the spin parameter a_* modifies the ISCO radius in Kerr spacetimes (Chapter 3).
- The field S is modeled as a scalar order parameter whose equation of motion follows from a standard Lagrangian of the form introduced in Chapter 4:

$$\mathscr{L} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu S)(\partial^\mu S) + FS - V(S).$$

- The geometric dark sector D is identified with a functional of S; in the simplest realization used here we take $D = \|\nabla S\|$ and associate the dominant dark-matter energy density with the gradient energy of S:

$$\rho_D \propto (\nabla S)^2.$$

This is deliberately modest: we do not claim to know the full microscopic dynamics of (F, Ω, S), nor do we derive time from first principles. Instead, we specify just enough structure to construct a concrete field model (Chapter 4) and to explore its asymptotic implications for galactic dynamics (Chapter 5).

Internal versus public layers (programmatic note)

The broader Enchan programme entertains a more detailed set of symbolic relations between fluctuations, space, dark sectors and localized excitations, including fixed-point expressions that are intended as an internal "source-code" layer of the framework.

Those internal relations are *not* required for the field-theoretic analysis presented here and are therefore deliberately omitted from this public-facing version of the notes. The present chapter should be read as a conceptual distillation that is sufficient to connect the Enchan picture to standard effective field theory and to observationally accessible questions in cosmology.

3 Physical Motivation: Rotation and Stability

The Enchan conceptual structure posits that emergent spatial order depends critically on a rotational component Ω. Before proceeding to the effective scalar field model, we briefly review the standard general relativistic justification for this dependency.

In general relativity, the "shape" and "depth" of a gravity well are determined not only by mass M but also by angular momentum J. This is described by the Kerr metric, which provides a precise analog for how rotation modifies the stability domain of the Enchan field.

3.1 The Spin Parameter and Geometry

In the Kerr spacetime geometry, the influence of rotation is quantified by the dimensionless spin parameter a_*:

$$a_* \equiv \frac{cJ}{GM^2}, \qquad -1 \leq a_* \leq 1. \tag{2}$$

When $a_* \neq 0$, the spacetime metric ceases to be static and acquires a cross-term $dt\,d\phi$, leading to *frame dragging* (the Lense–Thirring effect). In the slow-rotation, weak-field limit, the dragging angular velocity is

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{LT}}(r) \simeq \frac{2GJ}{c^2 r^3}. \tag{3}$$

This indicates that the "vacuum" itself is twisted by the rotating source, creating a preferred orientation for stability.

3.2 Modification of Stability Limits (ISCO)

The most significant feature for Enchan theory is how rotation alters the *Innermost Stable Circular Orbit* (ISCO). The ISCO radius r_{ISCO} defines the boundary beyond which stable orbits cannot exist (i.e., matter plunges into the horizon).

For a non-rotating Schwarzschild black hole ($a_* = 0$), this limit is:

$$r_{\mathrm{ISCO}} = 6\left(\frac{GM}{c^2}\right). \tag{4}$$

However, for a maximally rotating Kerr black hole ($a_* \to 1$) in the prograde direction, this limit shrinks drastically:

$$r_{\mathrm{ISCO}} \to 1\left(\frac{GM}{c^2}\right). \tag{5}$$

The exact dependency is given by the standard Kerr relations:

$$\begin{aligned} r^{\pm}_{\mathrm{ISCO}} &= \frac{GM}{c^2}\left[3 + Z_2 \mp \sqrt{(3-Z_1)(3+Z_1+2Z_2)}\right], \\ Z_1 &= 1 + (1-a_*^2)^{1/3}[(1+a_*)^{1/3} + (1-a_*)^{1/3}], \\ Z_2 &= \sqrt{3a_*^2 + Z_1^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

3.3 Interpretation in the Enchan Framework

This relativistic result serves as the core physical motivation for our model:

> *Increasing the rotational control (spin) allows stable structures to exist much deeper within a potential well than would be possible in a static field.*

In the Enchan language, the parameter a_* corresponds to the intensity of the Ω control field. By modulating Ω, the system can actively modify the effective stability radius of the emergent space S, effectively "pushing back" the breakdown of geometry (the horizon). This justifies treating Ω as a fundamental control parameter alongside the fluctuation amplitude F.

3.4 Mapping GR Concepts to Enchan Variables

Based on the correspondence above, we establish the following conceptual mapping between standard General Relativity (GR) and the Enchan framework (Table 1).

Table 1: Correspondence between GR parameters and Enchan control variables.

GR Symbol	**Physical Meaning (GR)**	**Enchan Analog**
M	Mass of the central body	Basic scale of the potential well
a_* (or J)	Spin / Angular Momentum	Intensity of Ω (Rotational Control)
r_{ISCO}	Innermost Stable Circular Orbit	Stability limit of the emergent order S
Ω_{LT}	Frame-dragging frequency	Intrinsic resonant frequency of the field

4 Minimal Enchan Field Model

In the Enchan framework, the emergent spatial order S arises as a stabilized long-lived configuration of a primordial fluctuation field F. A natural way to capture this at a coarse-grained level is to model $S(x)$ as a scalar order parameter whose dynamics is driven by F and stabilized by a self-interaction potential.

4.1 Universality and independence from microscopic details

Following the logic of modern renormalization-group theory, we assume:

> *The large-scale dynamics of S depends only on the universality class of F, not on its detailed microscopic realization.*

This principle ensures that the emergent theory is robust and does not rely on fine-tuning of the underlying fluctuation model. In these notes we treat F as an effective source term with specified large-scale statistics, without committing to a particular microscopic origin.

4.2 Lagrangian formulation

We introduce the *Enchan field* $S(x)$ via the following Lagrangian density:

$$\boxed{\mathscr{L} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu S)(\partial^\mu S) \;+\; FS \;-\; V(S)} \tag{7}$$

where F plays the role of a source term coupling to S, and $V(S)$ is a self-interaction potential.

We use the standard convention

$$\mathscr{L} = T - V, \tag{8}$$

so that the Hamiltonian is bounded below and the potential exerts a restoring force. The term FS represents the coupling between the primordial fluctuation source and the emergent spatial field. The potential $V(S)$ controls stability, vacuum selection, and defect formation.

4.3 On the form of the potential $V(S)$

Just as the Higgs field's "Mexican-hat" potential is fixed by the requirement of spontaneous symmetry breaking, the specific form of $V(S)$ in the Enchan theory will be constrained by the requirement that S supports stable topological defects ("spacetime wrinkles"):

$$\text{stable geometric wrinkles} \iff \text{topological defects of } S. \tag{9}$$

These defects are intended to realize the dark-matter sector defined by $D \equiv \|\nabla S\|$.

In this minimal model we do not specify a unique form of $V(S)$. Instead, we assume that $V(S)$ belongs to a class of potentials that admit nontrivial topological configurations and whose far-field behavior can support asymptotic configurations with $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$. Constructing explicit examples of such potentials in full three-dimensional geometry is an important open problem.

4.4 Euler–Lagrange equation of motion

Varying Eq. (7) with respect to S gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu S)} = \partial^\mu S, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial S} = F - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}. \tag{11}$$

Thus the Euler–Lagrange equation is

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu S = F - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}. \tag{12}$$

Equivalently,

$$\boxed{\Box S = F - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}} \tag{13}$$

where $\Box = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$ is the d'Alembert operator.

This expresses the Enchan principle in dynamical form:

$$\text{space is driven by fluctuations and stabilized by potential curvature.} \tag{14}$$

For the purposes of these notes, we treat the energy density associated with S in the usual way, with a dominant contribution from the gradient term in regimes where the field varies slowly in time:

$$\rho_S \sim \frac{1}{2}(\nabla S)^2 + V(S). \tag{15}$$

In the next chapter we focus on regimes where $(\nabla S)^2$ dominates and explore the consequences for galactic dynamics.

Status of dimensional analysis in v0.2.3

In this v0.2.3 version we do not yet fix the mass dimensions of F, S and $V(S)$ in a fully systematic way. The coupling term FS in Eq. (7) should ultimately be accompanied by an explicit coupling constant so that the total mass dimension of $\mathscr{L}$ is mass^4 in four spacetime dimensions, as in standard relativistic field theory.

Here we treat the model as an effective description and leave the precise normalization and dimensional counting to a future, more complete version (v0.3 and beyond), where $[S]$, $[F]$ and the mass-dimension of $V(S)$ will be fixed and checked systematically. The present notes should therefore be read as specifying the *structure* of the coupling, not its fully normalized form.

Symmetries and conservation laws (programmatic remark)

At the level of the minimal field model, we treat S as a Lorentz scalar and assume that the Lagrangian (7) is Poincaré invariant in the usual sense. This guarantees the standard Noether currents associated with translations and Lorentz transformations, and thus energy–momentum conservation for the Enchan field in regimes where the background is approximately Minkowskian.

At the same time, the broader Enchan framework allows for the possibility that the pre-geometric fluctuation sector (ε, F) lives at a more microscopic level where different symmetries—or their breaking—may be relevant. In this v0.2.3 version we do not attempt to specify these microscopic symmetries. The question of how the symmetries of (ε, F) flow to the effective Poincaré symmetry of S is deferred to future work and is regarded as a key part of a more complete Enchan cosmology.

5 Asymptotic Structure and Galactic Dynamics

On galactic scales, the background configuration of S is expected to evolve slowly compared to orbital timescales. As a first approximation, we therefore neglect time derivatives and take a static limit of Eq. (13):

$$\Box S \approx -\nabla^2 S, \tag{16}$$

so that

$$\nabla^2 S = \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - F. \tag{17}$$

We are interested in asymptotic regimes where the influence of F and $V'(S)$ on the right-hand side combines to support configurations with a specific large-radius behavior of ∇S.

5.1 Hypothesis on galactic asymptotics

In this minimal version of the Enchan field model, we do *not* derive the far-field behavior of S from a fully specified potential $V(S)$ and source F. Instead, we introduce the following working hypothesis:

Hypothesis 1 (galactic asymptotics). *For a suitable class of potentials $V(S)$ and large-scale effective sources F, there exist stable or long-lived configurations of S in three spatial dimensions such that, at large radii,*

$$\|\nabla S\|(r) \propto \frac{1}{r} \qquad (r \to \infty). \tag{18}$$

This hypothesis is motivated by known examples of topological defects, such as global monopoles and related configurations, whose energy densities scale as $1/r^2$ at large radii. However, in the present notes we treat Eq. (18) explicitly as an *assumption*, not as a proved theorem.

5.2 Geometric dark sector and effective density profile

By definition,

$$D(r) = \|\nabla S\|, \tag{19}$$

and we identify the dominant dark-matter contribution to the energy density with the gradient energy of S,

$$\rho_D(r) \propto (\nabla S)^2. \tag{20}$$

If Hypothesis 1 holds, then at large radii we have

$$\rho_D(r) \propto \frac{1}{r^2}. \tag{21}$$

In a Newtonian approximation, the enclosed mass $M(r)$ then grows linearly with r:

$$M(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi x^2 \rho_D(x)\, dx \propto \int_0^r 4\pi x^2 \frac{1}{x^2}\, dx \propto r, \tag{22}$$

so that the circular velocity of a test particle is

$$v^2(r) = \frac{GM(r)}{r} = \text{const.} \tag{23}$$

Thus, flat rotation curves emerge naturally from the asymptotic geometry of the Enchan field S, without the need for particulate dark matter, provided that the defect spectrum of S includes configurations with $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$ as in Hypothesis 1.

5.3 Status and limitations of the asymptotic assumption

It is important to emphasize that, at this stage, the condition $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$ is an *asymptotic requirement* rather than a fully derived result. In particular:

- In three spatial dimensions, the simplest harmonic solutions of $\nabla^2 S = 0$ yield $S \sim \text{const} + B/r$, not $\log r$. Achieving $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$ in a fully consistent defect configuration generally requires a nontrivial interplay between the potential $V(S)$, the source term F, and the geometry.
- Known examples such as global monopoles and related topological defects provide useful guidance but do not yet uniquely fix the Enchan potential or defect spectrum.

A central task for future work is therefore to construct explicit, fully three-dimensional defect solutions of Eq. (17) that realize the desired asymptotics and satisfy cosmological and astrophysical constraints.

6 Observational Signatures and Open Problems

The minimal Enchan field model sketched here is deliberately modest in scope, but it already suggests several concrete directions for further theoretical and observational study.

6.1 Rotation-curve structure

Under Hypothesis 1, the asymptotic condition $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$ implies a robust $1/r^2$ density profile at large radii. Deviations from this scaling near a core scale r_c, where the influence of $V(S)$ and F is stronger, may lead to characteristic features in high-resolution galactic rotation curves. Comparing such features with existing data offers a near-term test of the model.

6.2 Cluster dynamics and lensing

If the dark sector $D = \|\nabla S\|$ dominates the gravitational potential on cluster scales, the spatial distribution of S should be imprinted in weak- and strong-lensing maps. Systems such as the Bullet Cluster, which are often used to argue for particulate dark matter, become important testbeds: solitonic or defect-like configurations of S should pass through baryonic plasma without drag, potentially reproducing the observed separation between gas and lensing mass.

6.3 Constraints from macroscopic rotational-coupling searches (v0.2.3 note)

Recent feasibility studies and null searches using public interferometer data have placed important constraints on the scaling of the geometric coupling constants postulated in the Enchan framework.

Hypothesis tested (restricted form)

We investigated a restricted "strong-coupling" variant of the Enchan programme in which macroscopic rotation or vibration of ordinary matter induces an additional, non-GR geometric response that is:

1. Detectable as a non-reciprocal optical phase bias in interferometric readouts (Sagnac-like effect), and/or
2. Manifests as a narrowband, approximately stationary feature in strain-sensitive instruments within a representative human-scale frequency band (10–100 Hz).

Methodology

Two complementary constraint routes were pursued to bound the coupling strength:

Detectability studies for interferometric phase readouts. Using standard interferometric scaling relations, we quantified how thermally induced non-reciprocity (Shupe effect) and polarization-related visibility fluctuations bound achievable sensitivity in practical ground-based configurations. The goal of this study was not device design, but to estimate whether the hypothesized coupling could plausibly rise above dominant, well-known noise terms in realistic environments.

Search for narrowband stationary features in public strain data. We analyzed representative segments of publicly available high-sensitivity interferometer data (public LIGO/Virgo strain data via GWOSC [4]) and searched the 10–100 Hz band for persistent narrow spectral features consistent with the restricted hypothesis. Candidate lines were cross-checked against known instrumental artifacts (e.g., scattering arches, calibration lines, and suspension resonances) to assess whether any statistically compelling anomaly remained.

Results (null within scope)

Across the tested parameter space and analysis settings, no evidence was found for an additional strong geometric response beyond known instrumental/systematic features. In particular, the detectability study indicates that dominant thermal non-reciprocity places stringent practical bounds on how large any putative effect could be before it becomes indistinguishable from environmental drift in compact, ground-based configurations. Similarly, strain data analysis yielded no candidates exceeding typical strain noise levels in that band (order 10^{-23}–$10^{-22}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$) that could not be vetted as instrumental noise.

Conclusion and implication for model-building

The above results do not falsify the broader Enchan hypothesis space. They do, however, rule out—within the tested band, sensitivity targets, and analysis assumptions—the specific "human-scale strong-coupling" realization. Future versions of the theory should therefore:

- Treat the coupling strength as bounded from above by these null results (i.e., the effect must be weaker than the current observational limits).
- Shift emphasis toward either microphysical/quantum regimes or genuinely strong-gravity/cosmological regimes where the relevant scales may differ by many orders of magnitude.

Remark on "resonance" in astrophysical strong gravity. Damped post-merger oscillations ("ring-down") observed in compact-binary signals are consistent with the quasi-normal-mode response of perturbed black-hole spacetimes in general relativity. This phenomenon reflects a strong-gravity transient excited by a large perturbation and should not be conflated with a laboratory-scale, externally driven macroscopic rotational coupling. Consequently, similarity in frequency band alone (e.g., 10–100 Hz) does not imply scale invariance; the relevant control parameters are the strength of curvature and relativistic compactness, which differ by many orders of magnitude between astrophysical mergers and terrestrial rotating apparatus.

6.4 Cosmological evolution

Embedding Eq. (13) in a cosmological FRW background would allow a systematic study of how the Enchan field contributes to structure formation. Key questions include:

- Can an ensemble of Enchan defects seed early structures in a way that alleviates current tensions in early-galaxy observations?
- How does the defect network evolve with cosmic time, and does it respect constraints from the cosmic microwave background and large-scale structure?

Limitations of the present v0.2.3 model

The discussion in this chapter is intentionally qualitative. In particular:

- We do not yet embed Eq. (13) into the full Einstein equations. A consistent treatment of lensing, light-cone structure, and cosmological evolution requires specifying how $T_{\mu\nu}[S]$ couples to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, or whether $g_{\mu\nu}$ is itself an emergent functional of S. This is left open in v0.2.3.
- We do not derive detailed constraints from the cosmic microwave background or large-scale structure. Known bounds on defect networks (e.g. cosmic strings, global monopoles) provide important guidance, but a quantitative comparison for Enchan-type defects remains to be carried out.
- Beyond the generic prediction of asymptotically flat rotation curves from a $1/r^2$-type gradient energy profile, we do not propose in v0.2.3 a set of sharp, unique observational signatures that would distinguish the Enchan framework from other dark-matter models.

These limitations are not accidental but reflect the intended scope of this version: to provide a minimal, technically standard field-theoretic backbone on top of which more detailed cosmological and observational analyses can be built.

7 Conclusion

We have presented a minimal, field-theoretic realization of the Enchan framework, in which an emergent scalar field S models stabilized spatial order and its defects play the role of geometric dark matter. Starting from a compact set of effective degrees of freedom relating fluctuations, space, time, localized excitations, and a dark sector, we introduced a simple Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu S)(\partial^\mu S) + FS - V(S),$$

derived the equation of motion

$$\Box S = F - \frac{\partial V}{\partial S},$$

and, under a stated hypothesis on the asymptotic behavior of ∇S, showed how configurations with $\|\nabla S\| \propto 1/r$ naturally lead to a $1/r^2$ density profile and flat galactic rotation curves.

Many ingredients are still missing from a fully developed Enchan cosmology: a detailed specification of $V(S)$, explicit defect solutions in expanding backgrounds, a consistent coupling to ordinary matter and to the metric in general relativity, and a quantitative confrontation with cosmological data. Nevertheless, the notes demonstrate that the Enchan concepts admit a mathematically standard and observationally relevant field-theoretic realization, provided one is willing to treat the key asymptotic behavior as a hypothesis to be tested rather than a theorem already proved.

From a broader perspective, these notes are intended as a transportable description and ledger of the framework: they make explicit which parts are speculative, which parts are conventional field theory, and how the Enchan picture relates to existing physics. This should allow future readers—human or machine—to pick up the thread, refine the assumptions, and test the consequences against data.

8 Programmatic Context and Long-Term Roadmap

This final chapter briefly records how the present notes relate to other Enchan-related documents, and how they are intended to evolve over time.

8.1 Multi-format realization of a single hypothesis

Enchan theory is meant to be a single underlying hypothesis about a possible "source code" for the physical universe, realized simultaneously in several formats:

- A *conceptual essay* (e.g. a Gravity Research Foundation submission) which presents the emergent-spacetime and geometric dark-matter picture in narrative and astrophysical terms, with a focus on existing observations such as galactic rotation curves, gravitational lensing, and early-galaxy data.
- The present *Enchan Field Notes*, which express the same picture in the language of effective field theory, with explicit field-theoretic constructions, equations of motion, and clearly stated hypotheses.
- A *device-level patent application*, which asks: assuming the Enchan picture is approximately correct, what kinds of spacetime-modulation or "metric control" devices might be conceivable in principle, even if they are far beyond current engineering?
- A broader *narrative and observational-literature program*, in which ideas about fluctuations, emergent space, time, and defects are explored at the level of human perception and story, as a kind of cognitive laboratory for the same concepts.

These are not independent projects but different projections of the same underlying attempt to model spacetime and dark matter as emergent structures.

8.2 Role of these notes as a ledger

Within this multi-format picture, the present notes play a specific role:

- They serve as a *ledger* or *versioned notebook* in which the mathematical and physical content of the Enchan framework is recorded in a compact, technical form.
- They explicitly mark which elements are assumptions, which are standard constructions in field theory, and which are hypotheses awaiting confirmation or refutation.
- They provide a natural location for incorporating new references, numerical experiments, and consistency checks over time (e.g. v0.2, v1.0, and beyond).

In particular, if future simulations or observations falsify key Enchan assumptions (for example, if no defect spectrum can reproduce the required asymptotics within acceptable cosmological bounds), these notes are intended to record that fact and to provide a starting point for formulating alternative hypotheses.

8.3 Long-term roadmap (10–20 year horizon)

The intended time scale for developing or discarding the Enchan framework as a physical hypothesis is not one or two years but on the order of one or two decades. Very schematically, one can imagine the following stages:

Near term (v0.x series).

- Clarify dimensional analysis and normalization of the FS coupling, including explicit mass dimensions for F, S and $V(S)$.
- Construct at least one explicit example of a potential $V(S)$ and source configuration F that supports nontrivial defects in three dimensions, and explore them numerically in simplified settings.
- Refine the axiomatic structure as needed in light of these examples.

Medium term (v1.x series).

- Embed the Enchan field in an explicit coupling to the metric, via either $T_{\mu\nu}[S]$ in Einstein's equations or a more radical emergent-metric construction.
- Compare the resulting model with galactic rotation curves and lensing data, at least in simplified or idealized systems.
- Begin to confront the defect spectrum with basic cosmological constraints, including the cosmic microwave background.

Longer term.

- Perform more systematic cosmological simulations of defect networks and structure formation in Enchan-like models, using them to test the framework against a wider range of observations.
- Either progressively tighten the Enchan picture into a more predictive theory, or, if it fails, document how and where it breaks down and treat it as a stepping stone toward a more accurate description.

In all of these stages, the guiding principle is that Enchan theory is to be treated as a serious but speculative hypothesis: it should be sharpened, criticized, and, if necessary, falsified in the usual scientific manner.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks informal collaborators and reviewers for their critical feedback on earlier versions of this framework.

References

[1] T. W. B. Kibble, Topology of cosmic domains and strings. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 9(8):1387, 1976.

[2] M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Gravitational field of a global monopole. *Physical Review Letters*, 63(4):341, 1989.

[3] L. Perivolaropoulos, Frustrated topological defects. *Physical Review D*, 105(12):124002, 2022.

[4] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Open Data from the First and Second Observing Runs of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo. *SoftwareX*, 13:100658, 2021. https://www.gw-openscience.org