### Overview of GPUs and GPGPU Programming

Brian T. Lewis, Intel Labs

#### Overview


- GPU differences from CPUs
- GPU performance considerations
- Discrete & integrated GPUs
- GPGPU programming
- OpenCL and other GPGPU frameworks

# GPUs: massive data-parallelism for little energy

NVIDIA Tesla K40 discrete GPU: 4.3 TFLOPs, 235 Watts, \$5,000



Features	Tesla K40
Number and Type of GPU	1 Kepler GK110B
Peak double precision floating point performance	1.43 Tflops
Peak single precision floating point performance	4.29 Tflops
Memory bandwidth (ECC off)	288 GB/sec
Memory size (GDDR5)	12 GB
CUDA cores	2880




http://forum.beyond3d.com/showpost.php?p=1643034&postcount=107

### Integrated CPU+GPU processors


- More than 90% of processors shipping today include a GPU on die
- Low energy use is a key design goal

Intel 4th Generation Core Processor: "Haswell"



4-core GT2 Desktop: 35 W package 2-core GT2 Ultrabook: 11.5 W package

AMD Kaveri APU





http://www.geeks3d.com/20140114/amd-kaveri-a10-7850k-a10-7700k-and-a8-7600-apus-announced/

Desktop: 45-95 W package Mobile, embedded: 15 W package

### GPU differences from CPUs

- CPU cores optimized for latency, GPUs for throughput
  - CPUs: deep caches, OOO cores, sophisticated branch predictors
  - GPUs: transistors spent on many slim cores running in parallel
- SIMT execution
  - Work-items (logical threads) are partitioned into work-groups
  - The work-items of a work-group execute together in near lock-step
  - Allows several ALUs to share one instruction unit





Typically 256-1024 work-items

per work-group

Figure by Kayvon Fatahalian How Shader Cores Work - Beyond Programmable Shadin

### GPU differences from CPUs

- Shallow execution pipelines
- Low power consumption
- Highly multithreaded to hide memory latency
  - Assumes programs have a lot of parallelism
  - Switches execution to new work-group on a miss
- Separate high-speed local memory
  - Shared by work-items of an executing work-group
  - Might, e.g., accumulate partial dot-products or reduction results
- Coalesced memory accesses
  - Reduces number of memory operations
- Execution barriers
  - Synchronize work-items in work-groups




Figure by Kayvon Fatahalian, How Shader Cores Work – Beyond Programmable Shading

#### GPUs: but what about branches?

- Serially execute each branch path of a conditional branch
  - Too much branch divergence hurts performance




Figure by Kayvon Fatahalian, From Shader Code to a Teraflop: How Shader Cores Work


## For good GPU performance


- Have enough parallelism
  - · Too few work-items hurts memory latency hiding
- Choose appropriate work-group size
  - · Want to keep all execution units fully utilized
- Use fast local memory
  - Has low latency and high bandwidth similar to an L1 cache
- Coalesce memory accesses when possible
  - Maximize memory bandwidth
- · Minimize branch divergence

Tuning GPU performance pays off but can be difficult

– Often little performance portability

#### Traditional GPU software stack





## Discrete & integrated processors

- Different points in the performance-energy design space
  - 235W vs. ~1W for a GPU in a mobile SoC
  - SoCs typically include a GPU & (possibly) other accelerators
- Discrete GPUs
  - Cost of PCIe transfers impacts granularity of offloading
- Integrated GPUs
  - The CPU and GPU share physical memory (DRAM)
    - Avoids cost of transferring data over a PCIe bus to a discrete GPU
  - May also share a common last-level cache
    - E.g., Intel Core processors share the last-level cache
    - Data being offloaded is often in cache

## GPGPU programming: SIMT model

- CPU ("host") program often written in C or C++
  - The CPU specifies number of work-items & work-groups, launches GPU work,
     waits for events & GPU results
- GPU code is written as a sequential kernel in (usually) a C or C++ dialect
  - All work-items execute the same kernel
  - HW executes kernel at each point in a problem domain

E.g., process 1024x1024 image with 1,048,576 work-items

#### **Traditional loops**

#### **Data-Parallel OpenCL**

Credit: Khronos Group, OpenCL Overview

## GPGPU programming: frameworks

OpenCL



· CUDA



Lower-level performance frameworks

• C++ AMP



Renderscript



Higher-level frameworks

HSA



System architecture for efficient accelerator use

## OpenCL

- Cross-platform, cross-vendor standard for heterogeneous computing
  - Key Khronos OpenCL committee members
    - · Apple, Intel, AMD, QUALCOMM, NVIDIA, Adobe, Altera, Broadcom
- Foundation for other parallel compute frameworks
  - E.g., WebCL, Aparapi, PyOpenCL, Harlan
  - OpenCL C kernel language used as compiler target language

### OpenCL basics: architecture

#### C Platform Layer API on host (CPU)

- Query, select. and initialize compute devices (GPU, CPU, DSP, accelerators)
- Execute compute kernels across multiple devices

#### Kernel

- Basic unit of executable offloaded code
- Data-parallel or task-parallel
- Built-in kernels for fixed-functions like camera pipe, video encode/decode, etc.

#### Kernel Language Specification

- Subset of ISO C99 with language extensions
- Well-defined numerical accuracy: IEEE 754 rounding with specified max error
- Rich set of built-in functions: dot, sin, cos, pow, log ...



## OpenCL basics: memory & work-items

#### Memory management is explicit

- Application must move data from host → global → and back
- Command queue
  - Used to enqueue kernels & data transfers
  - Performed in-order or out-of-order
- Work-items/work-groups
- C99 kernel language restrictions
  - No recursion since often no HW call stack
  - No function pointers

#### Work-group example




```
# Work-items = # pixels
# Work-groups = # tiles
Work-group size = (tile width * tile height)
```

http://www.slideshare.net/Khronos\_Group/open-cl-overviewsiggraphasianov13

## OpenCL basics: executing programs

- 1. Query for OpenCL devices
- 2. Create context for selected devices
- 3. Select kernels
- 4. Create memory objects
- 5. Copy memory objects to devices
- 6. Enqueue kernels for execution
- 7. Copy kernel results back to host



http://www.slideshare.net/Khronos\_Group/open-cl-overviewsiggraphasianov13

## OpenCL 2.0 changes

#### Shared Virtual Memory (SVM)

- Previously: CPU & OpenCL devices don't share same address space, so no pointer sharing
- OpenCL 2.0: SVM required, three kinds of sharing
  - · Coarse-grain buffer sharing: pointer sharing in buffers
  - Fine-grain buffer sharing
  - · Fine-grain system sharing: all memory shared with coherency
- Fine-grain system sharing
  - · Can directly use any pointer allocated on the host (malloc/free), no need for buffers
  - · Both host & devices can update data using optional C11 atomics & fences

#### Dynamic Parallelism

- Allows a device to enqueue kernels onto itself no round trip to host required
- Provides a more flexible execution model
  - · Very common example: kernel A enqueues kernel B, B decides to enqueue A again, ...

## OpenCL 2.0 changes

#### C11 atomics

- Coordinate access to data accessed by multiple work-items & host threads
  - · Atomic loads/stores, compare & exchange, read-modify-write operations, fences ...
- Support for OpenCL features like global/local memory, memory scopes, ...

#### OpenCL memory model

- With SVM and coherency, even more potential for data races
- Based on C11 memory model
- Specifies which memory operations are guaranteed to happen in which order & which memory values each read operation will return
  - · Defines data race, when atomics synchronize, when sequential consistency is guaranteed, ...
  - · Supports OpenCL barriers, global/local memory, scopes, host API operations, ...

#### NVIDIA CUDA



- Popular GPGPU framework, Similar to OpenCL
- Like OpenCL:
  - SVM with CUDA Unified Virtual Memory
    - Somewhat like OpenCL's coarse-grain buffer sharing, no coherency, avoids manual data copying
    - · Uses special virtual memory pointers, specialized allocation APIs
  - Device self-enqueuing of kernel invocations
  - Device-to-CPU fences: \_\_threadfence\_system()
- Differences from OpenCL:
  - Host & kernel code in same source file, NVCC compiler
  - Kernel code is C++ subset
    - Includes virtual methods, function pointers (to device functions)
    - · No exceptions, RTTI, C++ Standard Library
  - Device malloc/free
  - Atomics are only atomic on same device

```
void sortfile(FILE *fp, int N) {
  char *data;
  cudaMallocManaged(&data, N);
  fread(data, 1, N, fp);
  qsort<<<...>>>(data,N,1,compare);
  cudaDeviceSynchronize();
  use_data(data);
  cudaFree(data);
}
```



- Microsoft's C++ AMP (Accelerated Massive Parallelism)
  - Part of Visual C++, integrated with Visual Studio, built on Direct3D
  - "Performance for the mainstream"
- STL-like library for multidimensional array data
  - Special convenience support for 1, 2, and 3 dimensional arrays on CPU or GPU
  - C++ AMP runtime handles CPU<->GPU data copying
  - Tiles enable efficient processing of sub-arrays
    - · Essentially matches sub-arrays with work-groups to process them
- parallel\_for\_each
  - Executes a kernel (C++ lambda) at each point in the extent
  - restrict() clause specifies where to run the kernel: cpu (default) or direct3d (GPU)
    - · Typical requirements for C++ code of amp kernels: no virtual methods, function pointers, ...
    - · In future, might have specifiers for pure (side-effect free) & write-only code

## Basic Elements of C++ AMP coding

```
void AddArrays(int n, int * pA, int * pB, int * pC)
parallel_for_each:
                                                     restrict(direct3d): tells the compiler
execute lambda on
                                                    to check that this code can execute
the accelerator
                      array_view<int,1> a(n, pA);
                                                    on DirectX hardware
once per thread
                      array_view<int,1> b(n, pB);
                      array\_view < int, 1 > sum(n, pC);
                                             array_view: wraps the data to
                      parallel_for_each(
                                             operate on the accelerator
                            sum.grid,
grid: the number and
                            [=](index<1> idx) mutable restrict(direct3d)
shape of threads to
execute the lambda
                                  sum[idx] = a[idx] + b[idx];
                                                           array_view variables captured and
                                                           copied to device (on demand)
index: the thread ID that is running the
```

lambda, used to index into captured arrays

- Higher-level than CUDA or OpenCL: simpler & less performance control
  - Emphasis on mobile devices & cross-SoC performance portability

#### Programming model

- C99-based kernel language, JIT-compiled, single input-single output
- Automatic Java class reflection
- Intrinsics: built-in, highly-tuned operations, e.g. ScriptIntrinsicConvolve3x3
- Script groups combine kernels to amortize launch cost & enable kernel fusion

#### Data type:

- 1D/2D collections of elements, C types like int and short2, types include size
- Runtime type checking

#### Parallelism

- Implicit: one thread per data element, atomics for thread-safe access
- Thread scheduling not exposed, VM-decided




- Heterogeneous System Architecture from the HSA Foundation
  - Key members: AMD, QUALCOMM, ARM, SAMSUNG, TI
- System architecture easing efficient use of accelerators, SoCs
  - Intended to support high-level parallel programming frameworks
    - E.g., OpenCL, C++ AMP, C++, C#, OpenMP, Java Ma

Many HSA member companies are also active with Khronos in the OpenCL™ working group


- Accelerator requirements

· Full-system SVM, memory coherency, preemption, user-mode dispatch




# Backup

# Dynamic estimates of irregularity




- BFS, Btree, ConnComp, FaceDetect, SkipList & SSSP exhibit a lot of irregularities (>50%)
- FaceDetect exhibits maximum percentage of memory irregularities

#### Ultrabook: Speedup & Energy savings compared to multicore CPU



Average speedup of 2.5x and energy savings of 2x vs. multicore CPU

### OpenCL papers vs. other parallel APIs



Paper count in 2013:

- ▶ OpenCL up 31%
- ▶ OpenMP up 6%
- ▶ CUDA down 6% (but still most popular)

### C++ AMP at a Glance

- restrict(direct3d, cpu)
- parallel\_for\_each
- class array
   T,N>
- class array\_view<T,N>
- class index<N>
- class extent<N>
- class grid<N>
- class accelerator
- class accelerator\_view

- class tiled\_grid<Z,Y,X>
- class tiled\_index<Z,Y,X>
- class tile\_barrier
- tile\_static storage class

## **OpenACC**



- Automatically maps compute-intensive loops to accelerators
  - Supports either vector or parallel accelerators, e.g. GPUs and Xeon Phi
  - OpenACC compilers manage offloading & data movement based on directives/pragmas
    - · Compilers from CAPS enterprise, Cray, and The Portland Group (PGI)/NVIDIA
  - Works with existing HPC programming models like OpenMP, MPI, CUDA & OpenCL
- Some key C++ directives for C++ (similar ones for Fortran)
  - #pragma acc kernels [clause [[, ] clause]...] { structured block }
    - · Defines a program region to be compiled into one or more kernels
  - #pragma acc loop [clause [[,] clause]...] statement
    - The clauses specify how to accelerate the following loop: e.g., gang(64)
  - copy(list), copyin(list), and copyout(list)
    - · Copy specified data to & from the accelerator

### OpenACC



```
void convolution SM N(typeToUse A[M][N], typeToUse B[M][N])
  int i, j, k;
  int m=M, n=N;
  // Compile following region into a sequence of kernels
  #pragma acc kernels pcopyin(A[0:m]) pcopy(B[0:m])
    double c11, c12, c13, c21, c22, c23, c31, c32, c33;
    c11 = +2.0f; c21 = +5.0f; c31 = -8.0f;
    c12 = -3.0f; c22 = +6.0f; c32 = -9.0f;
    c13 = +4.0f; c23 = +7.0f; c33 = +10.0f;
    // Execute the loop iterations in parallel across a number of gangs
    #pragma acc loop gang(64)
    for (int i = 1; i < M - 1; ++i) {
      // Execute the loop in parallel using the specified workers within the gangs
      #pragma acc loop worker(128)
      for (int j = 1; j < N - 1; ++j) {
       B[i][j] = c11 * A[i-1][j-1] + c12 * A[i+0][j-1] + c13 * A[i+1][j-1]
               + c21 * A[i-1][j+0] + c22 * A[i+0][j+0] + c23 * A[i+1][j+0]
               + c31 * A[i-1][j+1] + c32 * A[i+0][j+1] + c33 * A[i+1][j+1];
  } // kernels region
```