# ADVANCED DATABASES Databases II

Author: Eng. Carlos Andrés Sierra, M.Sc. cavirguezs@udistrital.edu.co

Full-time Adjunct Professor Computer Engineering Program School of Engineering Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas

2025-III





#### Outline

- Object-Oriented Databases (OODB)
- NoSQL Databases
- Parallel Databases
- Oistributed Databases





#### Outline

- Object-Oriented Databases (OODB)
- 2 NoSQL Databases
- Parallel Databases
- 4 Distributed Databases





#### What is an OODB?

- Combines object-oriented programming and database principles.
- Stores objects with their methods and attributes.
- Supports complex data and inheritance





#### What is an OODB?

- Combines object-oriented programming and database principles.
- Stores objects with their methods and attributes.
- Supports complex data and inheritance.





#### **OODB** Features

- Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism.
- Direct object storage and identity.
- Supports OQL (Object Query Language).





#### **OODB** Features

- Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism.
- Direct object storage and identity.
- Supports OQL (Object Query Language).





#### OODB Architecture

- Integrates:
  - Object-Oriented Language Runtime
  - Persistence Engine
  - Query Processor (OQL)
- Common deployment: embedded or client-server.





#### Persistence Mechanisms

- By Reachability: Root object persistence.
- By Explicit Marking: Annotate persistable objects.
- By Modification: Modified objects are persisted.





#### Persistence Mechanisms

- By Reachability: Root object persistence.
- By Explicit Marking: Annotate persistable objects.
- By Modification: Modified objects are persisted.





#### Persistence Mechanisms

- By Reachability: Root object persistence.
- By Explicit Marking: Annotate persistable objects.
- By Modification: Modified objects are persisted.





7/31

Databases II

## OODB Query Example (OQL)

#### **OQL**

SELECT s.name FROM Student s WHERE s.average() > 4.0

- Queries can follow object references.
- Better for nested, recursive, or complex types.





## OODB Query Example (OQL)

#### **OQL**

SELECT s.name FROM Student s WHERE s.average() > 4.0

- Queries can follow object references.
- Better for nested, recursive, or complex types.





#### Outline

- Object-Oriented Databases (OODB)
- NoSQL Databases
- Parallel Databases
- 4 Distributed Databases





- Key-Value: Redis, DynamoDB
- Document: MongoDB, CouchDB
- Column-Family: Cassandra, HBase
- Graph: Neo4j, ArangoDE





- Key-Value: Redis, DynamoDB
- Document: MongoDB, CouchDB
- Column-Family: Cassandra, HBas
- Graph: Neo4j, ArangoDB





- **Key-Value**: Redis, DynamoDB
- Document: MongoDB, CouchDB
- Column-Family: Cassandra, HBase
- Graph: Neo4j, ArangoDE





• **Key-Value**: Redis, DynamoDB

Document: MongoDB, CouchDB

• Column-Family: Cassandra, HBase

• **Graph**: Neo4j, ArangoDB





## CAP Theorem Deep Dive

#### Consistency (C)

Latest write is visible to all reads.

#### Availability (A)

System always responds.

#### Partition Tolerance (P)

Tolerates network splits.

Only two can be guaranteed at the same time.





## CAP: Practical Examples

Database	CAP Preference	Comment
MongoDB	A + P	Eventual Consistency
Cassandra	A + P	Tunable consistency
Spanner	C + P	Sacrifices availability





- Shared-nothing architecture enables scalability.
- Sharding: Distributes data across partitions
- Replication: Ensures fault-tolerance
- Routers coordinate requests across shards (e.g., mongos in MongoDB).





- Shared-nothing architecture enables scalability.
- Sharding: Distributes data across partitions.
- Replication: Ensures fault-tolerance
- Routers coordinate requests across shards (e.g., mongos in MongoDB).





- Shared-nothing architecture enables scalability.
- **Sharding**: Distributes data across partitions.
- Replication: Ensures fault-tolerance.
- Routers coordinate requests across shards (e.g., mongos ir MongoDB).





- Shared-nothing architecture enables scalability.
- Sharding: Distributes data across partitions.
- Replication: Ensures fault-tolerance.
- Routers coordinate requests across shards (e.g., mongos in MongoDB).





## Case Study: MongoDB vs PostgreSQL

- MongoDB: JSON-like schema, scalable, flexible.
- PostgreSQL: ACID-compliant, strong relational support.
- Trade-offs depend on data model complexity vs transactional needs.





## Case Study: MongoDB vs PostgreSQL

- MongoDB: JSON-like schema, scalable, flexible.
- PostgreSQL: ACID-compliant, strong relational support.
- Trade-offs depend on data model complexity vs transactional needs.





### Case Study: Redis with Web API

- In-memory key-value store.
- Excellent for caching, sessions, and real-time analytics.
- Integrates easily with web applications.





### Case Study: Redis with Web API

- In-memory key-value store.
- Excellent for caching, sessions, and real-time analytics.
- Integrates easily with web applications.

## Demo time!

Databases II





15 / 31

#### Outline

- Object-Oriented Databases (OODB)
- 2 NoSQL Databases
- Parallel Databases
- 4 Distributed Databases





### Parallel DB Concepts

- Uses multiple processors to speed up query execution.
- Exploits parallelism in data access and computation.
- Reduces query response time for big datasets.





- Horizontal: Distributes rows.
- Vertical: Splits columns.
- Hash Partitioning: Uniform distribution via hash.
- Range Partitioning: Based on value intervals





- Horizontal: Distributes rows.
- Vertical: Splits columns.
- Hash Partitioning: Uniform distribution via hash
- Range Partitioning: Based on value intervals





- Horizontal: Distributes rows.
- Vertical: Splits columns.
- Hash Partitioning: Uniform distribution via hash.
- Range Partitioning: Based on value intervals





- Horizontal: Distributes rows.
- Vertical: Splits columns.
- Hash Partitioning: Uniform distribution via hash.
- Range Partitioning: Based on value intervals.





## Parallel Query Cost Model

- Startup cost (S): Fixed overhead.
- Communication cost (C): Inter-node data transfer.
- Computation cost (T): Local processing time.
- Total:  $T_{total} = S + C + T$





#### Parallel DB Architectures

- Shared Memory: Easy communication, poor scalability.
- Shared Disk: Easier fault-tolerance, contention risk.
- Shared Nothing: Best scalability, harder coordination.





#### Parallel DB Architectures

- Shared Memory: Easy communication, poor scalability.
- Shared Disk: Easier fault-tolerance, contention risk.
- Shared Nothing: Best scalability, harder coordination





#### Parallel DB Architectures

- Shared Memory: Easy communication, poor scalability.
- Shared Disk: Easier fault-tolerance, contention risk.
- Shared Nothing: Best scalability, harder coordination.





### Case Study: PostgreSQL + Citus

- Open-source extension for PostgreSQL enabling parallel, distributed queries.
- MPP architecture (massively parallel processing) for scaling out across nodes.
- Good for real-time analytics, multi-tenant SaaS, and large OLAP workloads.
- Supports sharding, replication, and distributed transactions.





### Case Study: PostgreSQL + Citus

- Open-source extension for PostgreSQL enabling parallel, distributed queries.
- MPP architecture (massively parallel processing) for scaling out across nodes.
- Good for real-time analytics, multi-tenant SaaS, and large OLAP workloads.
- Supports sharding, replication, and distributed transactions.





#### Outline

- Object-Oriented Databases (OODB)
- 2 NoSQL Databases
- Parallel Databases
- Oistributed Databases





#### What is a Distributed DB?

- Data stored across multiple physical nodes.
- Appears as a single logical database.
- Must ensure consistency, availability, and partition tolerance.





## Transparency Goals

- Location: Hide physical location of data.
- Replication: Hide duplication.
- Fragmentation: Hide data partitioning.





- Client-Server Model: Clients query; servers store data.
- Federated Model: Semi-autonomous DBs collaborate.
- Peer-to-Peer: Nodes act as both client and server.
- Layers: Global schema, transaction manager, local engines





- Client-Server Model: Clients query; servers store data.
- Federated Model: Semi-autonomous DBs collaborate.
- Peer-to-Peer: Nodes act as both client and server
- Layers: Global schema, transaction manager, local engines





- Client-Server Model: Clients query; servers store data.
- Federated Model: Semi-autonomous DBs collaborate.
- Peer-to-Peer: Nodes act as both client and server.
- Layers: Global schema, transaction manager, local engines





- Client-Server Model: Clients query; servers store data.
- Federated Model: Semi-autonomous DBs collaborate.
- Peer-to-Peer: Nodes act as both client and server.
- Layers: Global schema, transaction manager, local engines.





## Two-Phase Commit Protocol (2PC)

Prepare Phase: Coordinator asks all participants to prepare.

Commit Phase: If all vote yes, coordinator commits.

Issue: Blocking if coordinator crashes during commit.





## Paxos (Simplified Consensus)

Needed for agreement in distributed systems.

Roles:

• Proposer: Suggests a value.

• Acceptor: Votes.

• Learner: Learns chosen value.

• Ensures consistency even if nodes fail.





2025-III

## Case Study: Apache Cassandra

- Highly available and scalable NoSQL database.
- Designed for big data applications.
- Supports multi-data center replication.
- Offers a rich query language (CQL).





### Case Study: Apache Cassandra

- Highly available and scalable NoSQL database.
- Designed for big data applications.
- Supports multi-data center replication.
- Offers a rich query language (CQL).





#### Outline

- ① Object-Oriented Databases (OODB)
- 2 NoSQL Databases
- Parallel Databases
- 4 Distributed Databases





#### Conclusion

- Data systems have evolved for scalability and complexity.
- Choosing the right DB model depends on the workload requirements.
- Understanding design trade-offs is key for architects.





## Thanks!

# **Questions?**



Repo: https://github.com/EngAndres/ud-public/tree/main/courses/databases-ii



