1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PAHL & McCAY A Professional Law Corporation Stephen D. Pahl, Esq. (State Bar No. 95900) Karen K. McCay, Esq. (State Bar No. 187664) Julie Bonnel-Rogers, Esq. (State Bar No. 176200) 225 West Santa Clara Street Suite 1500 San Jose, California 95113-1752 Telephone: (408) 286-5100 Facsimile: (408) 286-5722 Email: spahl@pahl-mccay.com kmccay@pahl-mccay.com jrogers@pahl-mccay.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA APARTMENT	
9	ASSOCIATION	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
12	CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION, a California Corporation,	) Case No. 16CV304253
13	Plaintiffs	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
14	V.	)
15		) Assigned to Hon William Elfving
16	CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	<ul><li>Assigned to Hon. William Elfving</li><li>Dept.: 3</li></ul>
17	Defendant.	Action Filed: December 21, 2016
18		_)
19	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Stipulation for Temporary Restrainin		Stipulation for Temporary Restraining Order Staying
21	Effective Date and Enjoining Enforcement of Measure V; Order, attached as Exhibit A, was	
22	entered by the above-referenced Court on December 22, 2015.	
23	DATED: December 27, 2016	PAHL & McCAY A Professional Law Corporation
Pahl & McCay A Professional Corp. 24 225 W. Santa Clara Suite 1500 San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 286-5100 25 *2341/034 - 00505688,DOCX.1 26		By: Stephen D. Pahl
27		
28		

1

(Case No. 16CV304253)

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER . . .

PAHL & McCAY 1 A Professional Law Corporation 2 3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Pahl & McCay 24 A Professional Corp. 225 W. Santa Clara San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 286-5100 25 00504840.DOCX. 26 27 28

Suite 1500

\*2341/034 -

ENDORSED

2016 DEC 22 A 9:47

G. DUARTE

Stephen D. Pahl, Esq. (State Bar No. 95900) Karen K. McCay, Esq. (State Bar No. 187664) Julie Bonnel-Rogers, Esq. (State Bar No. 176200) 225 West Santa Clara Street Suite 1500 San Jose, California 95113-1752 Telephone: (408) 286-5100

Facsimile: (408) 286-5722 Email: spahl@pahl-mccay.com kmccay@pahl-mccay.com irogers@pahl-mccay.com

Attorneys for CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION, a California Corporation, **Plaintiffs** v.

Case No. 16-CV-304253

STIPULATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE AND ENJOINING ENFORCEMENT OF MEASURE V

CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendant.

Plaintiff CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION ("CAA") and Defendant CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW ("CITY") hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff CAA has filed suit in Santa Clara County challenging the validity of (a) the rent control ballot measure passed by voters in Defendant CITY on November 8, 2016, enacting the "Mountain View Community Stabilization and Fair Rent Act" (hereinafter referred to as "Measure V" or "CSFRA"), which amended the City of Mountain View Charter to impose rent control and eviction control on certain owners of real property within the City of Mountain View; and (b) the "Urgency Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Mountain View Establishing Just Cause Evictions in the City of Mountain View" (hereinafter referred to as the "Urgency Ordinance"), adopted and made effective by the Mountain View City Council ("MVCC") on

Pahl & McCay
A Professional Corp. 24

225 W. Santa Clara Suite 1500 San Jose, CA 95113 25 (408) 286-5100

\*2341/034 -00504840.DOCX. 26

November 15, 2016, which remains in effect until the CSFRA becomes effective.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff intended to file an Ex Parte Application requesting a temporary restraining order to enjoin Defendant from enforcing both Measure V and the Urgency Ordinance pending resolution of their Complaint for the reasons set forth in Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Temporary Restraining Order.

WHEREAS, in order to allow an opportunity for the CITY to review the claims raised in the Complaint and the arguments raised in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities related thereto and to avoid uncertainty and confusion arising from the implementation of Measure V, pending resolution of this legal challenge and to ensure the Urgency Ordinance remains in place so long as the Temporary Restraining Order remains in effect, the CITY has agreed to the issuance of a Temporary Restraining Order staying the effective date of Measure V and enjoining Defendant from enforcing Measure V only until February 3, 2017, or, if a Motion for Preliminary Injunction is filed by Plaintiff on or before February 3, 2017, when such Motion is decided by the Court, whichever is later.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

- Plaintiff provided CITY with timely notice of their intent to bring this Ex Parte
   Application before the Court on Thursday, December 22, 2016.
- 2. The Parties stipulate to an order of the Court staying the effective date of Measure V and enjoining Defendant from making any attempts to enforce Measure V until February 3, 2017, or, if a Motion for Preliminary Injunction is filed by Plaintiffs on or before February 3, 2017, when such Motion is decided by the Court, whichever is later.
- 3. Plaintiff agrees not to seek a temporary restraining order enjoining enforcement of the Urgency Ordinance recognizing that the Urgency Ordinance contains verbatim excerpts from Measure V relating to the eviction control provisions of Measure V, and that the Urgency Ordinance will remain in effect unless and until a Court rules otherwise. During the pendency of this Order, the Rental Housing Dispute Resolution Program Ordinance remains in effect.
- 4. All parties agree that this Stipulation in no way precludes Plaintiff from seeking a Preliminary Injunction as to both Measure V and the Urgency Ordinance in the future, and that

this Stipulation in no way indicates that the CITY is in agreement with the claims made in the 1 Complaint or any of the arguments contained in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities. 2 The Parties agree that this Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, each of 3 which shall be deemed an original, and which together shall constitute a single Stipulation. Once 4 the original of this Stipulation has been duly executed, a copy thereof shall be valid for all 5 6 purposes. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED DATED: December 20, 2016 PAHL & McCAY 8 A Professional Law Corporation 9 10 11 DATED: December 21, 2016 12 CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW 13 14 15 16 Based on the Stipulation of the Parties and good cause appearing therefore: 17 IT IS SO ORDERED as follows: 18 The effective date of Measure V is hereby stayed and Defendant CITY OF MOUNTAIN 19 VIEW is enjoined from making any attempts to enforce Measure V until February 3, 2017, or, if a 20 Motion for Preliminary Injunction is filed by Plaintiffs on or before February 3, 2017, when such 21 Motion is decided by the Court, whichever is later. Beth McGowen DEC 2 2 2016 22 Dated: 23 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Professional Corp. 25 26 00504840.DOCX. 27 28

(Case No.)

STIPULATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER ...

Pahl & McCay

408) 286-5100 \*2341 034 -

W. Santa Clara Suite 1500 San Jose, CA 95113

	1	California Apartment Association v. City of Mountain View Case No.: 16CV304253	
	2	Case 110 10C v 304233	
3 <u>I</u>		PROOF OF SERVICE	
	4	State of California ) ) xx	
	5	County of Santa Clara )	
	6	over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address 225 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 1500, San Jose, California 95113-1752. On the date mentioned below, I caused a true copy(ies) of the following document(s) to be served on	
	7		
	8 parties below using the method(s) checked:		
	9	Notice of Entry of Order	
10 O		On the Addressee(s) below named in said action by:	
	11	First Class Mail. I am familiar with the regular mail collection and processing practices of the business. The mail will be deposited with	
	12	the United States Postal Service on the same day following ordinary business practices. I enclosed the above-mentioned document(s) in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Post Office mail box at San Jose, California.  Facsimile at the fax numbers shown after each name below.	
	13		
	14		
	15	By Personal Delivery.	
	16	By Federal Express pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1005.	
	17	By Electronic Mail.	
18 19			
		Addressee(s):	
	20	Jannie L. Quinn City of Mountain View	
	21	500 Castro St. Mountain View, CA 94041	
	22	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 27, 2016, at San Jose, California.	
	23	loregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 27, 2010, at bair 3000, Carronna.	
Pahl & McCay 24 A Professional Corp. 225 W Santa Clara St. Suite 1500 25	Tamara Meek		
Suite 1500 San Jose, CA 951 (408) 286-5100			
2341-034 00505791.DO0			
	•		