

Comment Group 4's Draft from Group 1

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:-

- The detailed description of the topic was good and explained explicitly. It would be easy for anyone to understand and follow.
- The authors did a great job at giving a general overview about Bluetooth and how it works.
- The authors did not explicitly clarify which algorithms they would be using to solve the defined problem. This can be improved on.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:-

- There were some typo and grammar errors that we spotted while we were reading the paper.
- More than 40% of the references had no year or timestamp. The reference formatting could be improved. This is one of the most important part of a good report.
- The authors should try to get more recent papers/references within the past 5 years. This will help their report to be updated with the latest methods and strategies being used.