

Comment Group 9's Draft from Group 1

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:-

- Structure of the paper has some issues. Definitions of P-RBAC entities were not immediately after the introduction of P-RBAC and there were some non-related sections in between.
- There were enough papers in reference that had a recent publish date to make sure the authors are up to date.
- There were some grammatical and spelling errors that need to be checked.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:-

- References don't follow the same format.
- Citations are not correct and need to be managed better.
- Figures are excessively used and are unnecessary for the subjects that the accompanied.
- There are some grammatical and spelling errors that need to be checked.