Comment Group 8's Draft from Group 1

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:

   - Paper talks about CIA issues from the e-Voting point of view and also mentions other issues such as coercion which is very relevant in voting.

   - Paper's prime focus was on coercion in e-Voting and suggests the use of blind signatures using RSA while presenting relative works and their short comings to buttress their objectives which was very well written.

   - Paper also mentions the impact of passive and active attacks on e-Voting in a concise manner.

   - Paper however did not describe the process of coercion for readers to get some idea about how it is done in e-Voting.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:

   - Algorithm presented was not in the proper format so it was very difficult to confirm the accuracy of their algorithm.

   - There were some minor typographical errors and spelling mistakes that needed to be addressed.

   - The paper flowed quite well from background, problems, related works and conclusion.