Comment Group 5's Draft from Group 1

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:-

- The introduction section is a nicely written and is sufficiently detailed.
- The second paragraph of Related Work, contains a lot of information that are not detailed sufficiently, making it harder to understand. For example, the BTS model is mentioned, but there isn't any definition of it.
- The section Limitations is not clear enough. It can't be understood by someone that is not familiar with the ideas mentioned beforehand.
- There are no new ideas described and evaluated in this paper.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:-

- Structure of the paper has some issues. Definitions of P-RBAC entities were not immediately after the introduction of P-RBAC and there were some non-related sections in between.
- There were some grammatical and spelling errors that need to be checked.
- There were enough papers in reference that had a recent publish date to make sure the authors are up to date.