

Why Bootloader Security Matters

Richard Weinberger - sigma star gmbh 2024-07-25



Richard Weinberger

- Co-founder of sigma star gmbh
- Linux kernel developer and maintainer
- Strong focus on Linux kernel, lowlevel components, virtualization, security, code audits

sigma star gmbh

- Software Development & Security Consulting
- Main areas: Embedded Systems, Linux Kernel & Security
- Contributions to Linux Kernel and other OSS projects

Bootloader Security and Verified/Secure Boot

- > Started by boot ROM, starts OS
- Offline modifications of software are bad
- > e.g. evil maid attack
- Run only authenticated software (IoT, SmartPhone)
- › Bootloader is the first step of chain of trust
- The OS (usually!) protects you
- > Hello CRA (Cyber Resilience Act), hello NIS-2 (Network and Information Security)

The Weakest Link: The Bootloader

- > Break the bootloader and control the rest of the system:
 - > Start our own code
 - > Extract secrets (key material, IP)
 - > Impersonate the device

U-Boot and Barebox

BARE BOX

- Extremely common bootloaders for embedded Linux
- > Load and authenticate files from a filesystem
- > Started auditing their critical code paths



- Integer overflow in ext4 symlink code
- Results in attacker driven out of bounds write
- > Unauthenticated attacker can trigger it
- > Both U-Boot and Barebox affected

```
static char *ext4fs_read_symlink(struct ext2fs_node *node)
{
...
    symlink = zalloc(le32_to_cpu(diro->inode.size) + 1);
    if (!symlink)
        return NULL;
...
}
```

- > Integer overflow in squashfs symlink code, like vulnerability #1.
- > Results in attacker driven out of bounds write
- > Unauthenticated attacker can trigger it
- > Both U-Boot and Barebox affected
- > Although they have different squashfs implementations

- > Stack overflow in squashfs symlink code
- Code follows symlinks recursively
- > Results in attacker driven stack smashing
- > Unauthenticated attacker can trigger it
- Only U-Boot affected

```
int sqfs_size(const char *filename, loff_t *size)
{
...
    switch (get_unaligned_le16(&base->inode_type)) {
...
    case SQFS_LSYMLINK_TYPE:
    symlink = (struct squashfs_symlink_inode *)ipos;
    resolved = sqfs_resolve_symlink(symlink, filename);
    ret = sqfs_size(resolved, size);
    free(resolved);
    break;
...
}
```

- Multiple integer overflows in memory allocator
- You ask for N bytes but get much less
- Can get triggered by most filesystem drivers
- Unauthenticated attacker can trigger it
- > Both U-Boot and Barebox affected
- They use Doug Lea's Malloc, but broke it 25 years ago
- Bonus: Another integer overflow in their sbrk()
- Bonus #2: ptrdiff_t too small on x86_64, more overflows

```
/* pad request bytes into a usable size */
#define request2size(req) \
(((long)((req) + (SIZE_SZ + MALLOC_ALIGN_MASK)) < \
(long)(MISIZE + MALLOC_ALIGN_MASK)) ? MINSIZE : \
(((req) + (SIZE_SZ + MALLOC_ALIGN_MASK)) & ~(MALLOC_ALIGN_MASK)
))

Void_t* mALLOc_impl(size_t bytes)
{
...
if ((long)bytes < θ) return NULL;
nb = request2size(bytes); /* padded request size; */
...
}
```

Outcome



- Found 10 vulnerabilities
- At least four beefy vulnerabilities that allow full compromise
- Sent bug reports and patches for all vulnerabilities
- Improved U-Boot's ASAN integration
- > Barebox revived thier fuzzing project

Just Update the Damn Bootloader?!

- Think of downgrade attacks
- > Attacker can always install the old vulnerable bootloader
- Mitigations:
 - Have a revoke list (hard!)
 - > Have an authenticated version counter in hardware

What About Non-Embedded?



What About Non-Embedded?



- > CVE-2024-2312
- > CVE-2024-1048
- CVE-2023-4693
- CVE-2023-4692
- > CVE-2023-4001
- > CVE-2022-28736
- > CVE-2022-28735
- > CVE-2022-28734
- > CVE-2022-28733
- > CVE-2022-3775
- > CVE-2022-2601
- > CVE-2021-46705
- > CVE-2021-20233
- > CVE-2021-20225
- > CVE-2021-3981

٠.

FIN



Thank you!

Questions, Comments?

Richard Weinberger richard@sigma-star.at