ML:Advice for Applying Machine Learning  Deciding What to Try Next
<ul> <li>Errors in your predictions can be troubleshooted by:</li> <li>Getting more training examples</li> <li>Trying smaller sets of features</li> <li>Trying additional features</li> <li>Trying polynomial features</li> <li>Increasing or decreasing λ</li> </ul>
Don't just pick one of these avenues at random. We'll explore diagnostic techniques for choosing one of the above solutions in the following sections.  Evaluating a Hypothesis  A hypothesis may have low error for the training examples but still be inaccurate (because of overfitting).  With a given dataset of training examples, we can split up the data into two sets: a training set and a
test set. The new procedure using these two sets is then: 1. Learn $\Theta$ and minimize $J_{train}(\Theta)$ using the training set 2. Compute the test set error $J_{test}(\Theta)$
1. For linear regression: $J_{test}(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2m_{test}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{test}} (h_{\Theta}(x_{test}^{(i)}) - y_{test}^{(i)})^2$ 2. For classification ~ Misclassification error (aka 0/1 misclassification error): $err(h_{\Theta}(x), y) = \frac{1}{0}  \text{if } h_{\Theta}(x) \geq 0.5 \text{ and } y = 0 \text{ or } h_{\Theta}(x) < 0.5 \text{ and } y = 1 \\ \text{otherwise}$ This gives us a binary 0 or 1 error result based on a misclassification.
The average test error for the test set is $ \text{Test Error} = \frac{1}{m_{test}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{test}} err(h_{\Theta}(x_{test}^{(i)}), y_{test}^{(i)}) $ This gives us the proportion of the test data that was misclassified.
<ul> <li>Model Selection and Train/Validation/Test</li> <li>Sets</li> <li>Just because a learning algorithm fits a training set well, that does not mean it is a good hypothesis.</li> <li>The error of your hypothesis as measured on the data set with which you trained the parameters will be lower than any other data set.</li> </ul> In order to choose the model of your hypothesis, you can test each degree of polynomial and look at the
<ul> <li>Without the Validation Set (note: this is a bad method - do not use it)</li> <li>1. Optimize the parameters in Θ using the training set for each polynomial degree.</li> <li>2. Find the polynomial degree d with the least error using the test set.</li> <li>3. Estimate the generalization error also using the test set with J<sub>test</sub>(Θ<sup>(d)</sup>), (d = theta from polynomial with lower error);</li> <li>In this case, we have trained one variable, d, or the degree of the polynomial, using the test set. This will cause our error value to be greater for any other set of data.</li> <li>Use of the CV set</li> <li>To solve this, we can introduce a third set, the Cross Validation Set, to serve as an intermediate set that we can train d with. Then our test set will give us an accurate, non-optimistic error.</li> <li>One example way to break down our dataset into the three sets is:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Training set: 60%</li> <li>Cross validation set: 20%</li> <li>Test set: 20%</li> <li>We can now calculate three separate error values for the three different sets.</li> <li>With the Validation Set (note: this method presumes we do not also use the CV set for regularization)</li> <li>Optimize the parameters in Θ using the training set for each polynomial degree.</li> <li>Find the polynomial degree d with the least error using the cross validation set.</li> <li>Estimate the generalization error using the test set with J<sub>test</sub>(Θ<sup>(d)</sup>), (d = theta from polynomial with lower error);</li> <li>This way, the degree of the polynomial d has not been trained using the test set.</li> </ul>
(Mentor note: be aware that using the CV set to select 'd' means that we cannot also use it for the validation curve process of setting the lambda value).  Diagnosing Bias vs. Variance  In this section we examine the relationship between the degree of the polynomial d and the underfitting or overfitting of our hypothesis.
<ul> <li>We need to distinguish whether bias or variance is the problem contributing to bad predictions.</li> <li>High bias is underfitting and high variance is overfitting. We need to find a golden mean between these two.</li> <li>The training error will tend to decrease as we increase the degree d of the polynomial.</li> <li>At the same time, the cross validation error will tend to decrease as we increase d up to a point, and then it will increase as d is increased, forming a convex curve.</li> <li>High bias (underfitting): both J<sub>train</sub>(Θ) and J<sub>CV</sub>(Θ) will be high. Also, J<sub>CV</sub>(Θ) ≈ J<sub>train</sub>(Θ).</li> <li>High variance (overfitting): J<sub>train</sub>(Θ) will be low and J<sub>CV</sub>(Θ) will be much greater than J<sub>train</sub>(Θ).</li> <li>The is represented in the figure below:</li> </ul>
Underfitting (high biais)  Overfitting (high variance) $J_{\text{training}}(\Theta)$ $J_{\text{training}}(\Theta)$
Optimal value for d  Regularization and Bias/Variance  Instead of looking at the degree d contributing to bias/variance, now we will look at the regularization parameter λ.
<ul> <li>Large λ: High bias (underfitting)</li> <li>Intermediate λ: just right</li> <li>Small λ: High variance (overfitting)</li> <li>A large lambda heavily penalizes all the Θ parameters, which greatly simplifies the line of our resulting function, so causes underfitting.</li> <li>The relationship of λ to the training set and the variance set is as follows:</li> </ul>
Low $\lambda$ : $J_{train}(\Theta)$ is low and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ is high (high variance/overfitting). Intermediate $\lambda$ : $J_{train}(\Theta)$ and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ are somewhat low and $J_{train}(\Theta) \approx J_{CV}(\Theta)$ . Large $\lambda$ : both $J_{train}(\Theta)$ and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ will be high (underfitting /high bias) The figure below illustrates the relationship between lambda and the hypothesis:
Overfitting (high variance) $ \begin{array}{c}                                     $
<ol> <li>In order to choose the model and the regularization λ, we need:</li> <li>Create a list of lambda (i.e. λ∈{0,0.01,0.02,0.04,0.08,0.16,0.32,0.64,1.28,2.56,5.12,10.24});</li> <li>Select a lambda to compute;</li> <li>Create a model set like degree of the polynomial or others;</li> <li>Select a model to learn Θ;</li> <li>Learn the parameter Θ for the model selected, using J<sub>train</sub>(Θ) with λ selected (this will learn Θ for the next step);</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Compute the train error using the learned Θ (computed with λ) on the J<sub>train</sub>(Θ) without regularization or λ = 0;</li> <li>Compute the cross validation error using the learned Θ (computed with λ) on the J<sub>CV</sub>(Θ) without regularization or λ = 0;</li> <li>Do this for the entire model set and lambdas, then select the best combo that produces the lowest error on the cross validation set;</li> <li>Now if you need visualize to help you understand your decision, you can plot to the figure like above with: (λ x Cost J<sub>train</sub>(Θ) and (λ x Cost J<sub>CV</sub>(Θ));</li> <li>Now using the best combo Θ and λ, apply it on J<sub>test</sub>(Θ) to see if it has a good generalization of the problem.</li> <li>To help decide the best polynomial degree and λ to use, we can diagnose with the learning curves, that is the next subject.</li> </ol>
Learning Curves  Training 3 examples will easily have 0 errors because we can always find a quadratic curve that exactly touches 3 points.  • As the training set gets larger, the error for a quadratic function increases.  • The error value will plateau out after a certain m, or training set size.  With high bias  Low training set size: causes $J_{train}(\Theta)$ to be low and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ to be high.  Large training set size: causes both $J_{train}(\Theta)$ and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ to be high with $J_{train}(\Theta) \approx J_{CV}(\Theta)$ .
If a learning algorithm is suffering from <b>high bias</b> , getting more training data <b>will not (by itself) help much</b> . For high variance, we have the following relationships in terms of the training set size: With high variance Low training set size: $J_{train}(\Theta)$ will be low and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ will be high. Large training set size: $J_{train}(\Theta)$ increases with training set size and $J_{CV}(\Theta)$ continues to decrease without leveling off. Also, $J_{train}(\Theta) < J_{CV}(\Theta)$ but the difference between them remains significant.
If a learning algorithm is suffering from high variance, getting more training data is likely to help.  More on Bias vs. Variance Typical learning curvefor high bias(at fixed model complexity):  test error
More on Bias vs. Variance Typical learning curve for high variance(at fixed model complexity):
train error  N (training set size)
Deciding What to Do Next Revisited  Our decision process can be broken down as follows:  • Getting more training examples
Our decision process can be broken down as follows:  Getting more training examples  Fixes high variance  Trying smaller sets of features  Fixes high variance  Adding features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features  Fixes high bias
Our decision process can be broken down as follows:  Getting more training examples  Fixes high variance  Trying smaller sets of features  Fixes high variance  Adding features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features
Getting more training examples  Fixes high variance  Trying smaller sets of features  Fixes high variance  Adding features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features  Fixes high bias  Decreasing λ  Fixes high bias  Increasing λ  Fixes high variance  A neural network with fewer parameters is prone to underfitting. It is also computationally expensive. In this case you can use regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting.  Using a single hidden layer is a good starting default. You can train your neural network on a number of hidden layers using your cross validation set.  Model Selection:  Choosing M the order of polynomials.  How can we tell which parameters Θ to leave in the model (known as "model selection")?  There are several ways to solve this problem:  Get more data (very difficult).  Choose the model which best fits the data without overfitting (very difficult).  Reduce the opportunity for overfitting through regularization.  Blas: approximation error (Difference between expected value and optimal value)
Our decision process can be broken down as follows:  Getting more training examples  Fixes high variance  Trying smaller sets of features  Fixes high variance  Adding features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features  Fixes high bias  Decreasing Notice of the set o
Cour decision process can be broken down as follows:  Getting more training examples  Fixes high variance  Trying smaller sets of features  Fixes high variance  Adding features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features  Fixes high bias  Decreasing λ  Fixes high bias  Increasing λ  Fixes high bias  Increasing λ  Fixes high variance  Diagnosing Neural Networks  A neural network with fewer parameters is prone to underfitting. It is also computationally cheaper.  A large neural network with more parameters is prone to overfitting. It is also computationally expensive. In this case you can use regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting.  Using a single hidden layer is a good starting default. You can train your neural network on a number of hidden layers using your cross validation set.  Model Selection:  Choosing M the order of polynomials.  How can we tell which parameters Θ to leave in the model (known as "model selection")?  There are several ways to solve this problem:  Get more data (very difficult).  Choose the model which best fits the data without overfitting (very difficult).  Reduce the opportunity for overfitting through regularization.  Bias: approximation error (Difference between expected value and optimal value)  High Bias = Underfitting (BU)  Jrapin (Θ) and Jcγ (Θ) both will be high and Jrapin (Θ) = Jcγ (Θ)  Variance: estimation error due to finite data  High Variance = Overfitting (VO)  Jrapin (Θ) is low and Jcγ (Θ) ≫ Jrapin (Θ)  Trutition for the bias-variance trade-off:  Complex model ⇒ more rigid ⇒ does not change as much with changes in X ⇒ high variance, low bias.  Simple model ⇒ more rigid ⇒ does not change as much with changes in X ⇒ low variance, high bias.  One of the most important goals in learning: finding a model that is just right in the bias variance = overfitting.
Cour decision process can be broken down as follows:  Getting more training examples  Fixes high variance  Typing smaller sels of features  Fixes high variance  Adding features  Fixes high bias  Adding polynomial features  Fixes high bias  Decreasing λ  Fixes high bias  Increasing λ  Fixes high variance  Diagnosing Neural Networks  A neural network with fewer parameters is prone to underfitting. It is also computationally cheaper.  A large neural network with more parameters is prone to overfitting. It is also computationally cheapers.  Along neural network with more parameters is prone to overfitting. It is also computationally cheapers.  Along neural network with more parameters is prone to overfitting. It is also computationally expensive. In this case you can use regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting.  Using a single hidden layer is a good starting default. You can train your neural network on a number of hidden layers using your cross validation set.  Model Selection:  Choosing it the order of polynomials.  How can we tell which parameters S to leave in the model (known as "model selection")?  There are several ways to solve this problem:  Cat more data (very difficult).  Choose the model which best fits the data without overfitting (very difficult).  Choose the model which best fits the data without overfitting (very difficult).  High Blas = Underfitting (EU)  J <sub>xvin</sub> (Θ) and J <sub>xvi</sub> (Θ) both will be high and J <sub>xvin</sub> (Θ) = J <sub>xvin</sub> (Θ)  Variance: estimation error (bifference between expected value and optimal value)  High Variance — OverFitting (VO)  J <sub>xvin</sub> (Θ) is low and J <sub>xvi</sub> (Θ) > J <sub>xvin</sub> (Θ)  Intuition for the blas-variance trade-off:  Complex model => sensitive to dista => much affected by changes in X => high variance, high blas.  Simple model => more rigid => does not change as much with changes in X => kingh variance => cverifiting.  Complex model => achieve in the same fixed to noise leading to large variance => cverifiting.  Large values of λ pull weight parameters to zero leading to
Cetting more staining examples  Fixes high variance  • Toying smaller sets of features  Fixes high bias  • Adding features  Fixes high bias  • Adding features  Fixes high bias  • Adding polynomal features  Fixes high bias  • Decreasing λ  Fixes high bias  • Increasing λ  Fixes high bias  • A sural network with fewer parameters is prone to underfitting, it is also computationally chaeper.  • A large neural network with more parameters is prone to evertiting, it is also computationally chaepers.  • A large neural network with more parameters is prone to evertiting, it is also computationally expensive. In this case you can use regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting theapers are regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting versions in the size you can use regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting versions in the size you can use regularization (increase λ) to address the overfitting versions in the model (known as "nodel selection")?  There are several ways to solve this problem:  • Get more data large difficulty.  • Get more data large difficulty.  • Reduce the opportunity for overfitting frough regularization.  Blass: approximation error (Difference between expected value and optimal value)  • High Blass = Uncerfitting (RU)  • Include (I) and Include the parameters to a continue trade-off:  • Complex model ⇒ sensitive to data ⇒ much affected by changes in X ⇒ high variance, high brain.  • If the most important goals in learning; finding a model that is just right in the bas-variance trade-off:  • Complex model ⇒ more rigid ⇒ does not change as much with changes in X ⇒ high variance, high brain.  • In results of the most important goals in learning; finding a model that is just right in the base-variance trade-off:
Getting more training examples.  Fixes high variance  Tying smaller acts of features.  Fixes high variance  Adding obtinance  Adding obtinance  Adding obtinance  Adding obtinance  Adding obtinance  Adding obtinance  Bosonsing A  Fixes high bias  Adding obtinance  Diagnosing Neural Networks  Anexal network with lever parameters is prone to underfitting. It is also computationally charged in the property of the p
Celting more training examples Floss high variance  • Trying smaller sets of features Floss high variance  • Adding features Floss high variance  • Adding features Floss high bass  • Adding boynomial features Floss high bass  • Increasing X Floss high variance  Diagnosing Neural Networks  • A coural retwork with flower parameters is prone to underfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with flower parameters is prone to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with flower parameters is prone to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterisens is prose to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterisens is prose to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterisens is prose to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterisens is prose to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterisens is prose to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterisens is prose to overfitting. It is also computationally changes  • A large persual retwork with row gasterises is prose to overfitting.  • A large persual retwork with retwork is retworked to the section of the description of the retwork of the prosent is a gasterise to provide the prosent is a provide retworked to the provide retworked to t
Certification process can be foreign own as follows:  • Certification process can be foreign own as follows:  • Reset high sociarizes  Files high sociarizes  • Adding polyborisal feetures  Files high bas  • Adding polyborisal feetures  Files high bas  • Adding polyborisal feetures  Files high bas  • Increasing A  • Representation of the process of the pro
Creating most training commotions  Finest light has based  1. Trying is writer less of fections  Finest light has based  2. Audit or fections  Finest light has  3. Audit or fections  Finest light has  3. Audit or fections  Finest light has  4. Audit or fections  Finest light has  5. Horeasing A.  Finest light has  5. Incessing A.  Finest light has  5. Incessing A.  Finest light has  6. Increasing A.  Finest light has  6. A usual motions with force a care-read in promotion to underfitting, it is due computationally electropers.  7. A upper nord moved with motion parameters is prome to underfitting, it is due computationally electropers.  8. A usual motions with force a care-read is promotion to underfitting, it is due computationally electropers.  9. A upper nord of moved with motion parameters is promotion to underfitting, it is due computationally electropers.  9. A upper nord of moved with motion parameters is promotion to underfitting, it is due computationally electropers.  9. A upper nord of moved with motion parameters in promotion to underfitting, it is due to computationally electropers.  9. A upper nord of moved with motion parameters in promotion promotion promotion of the computation of the title electropers.  1. Company Minion and detail programmes.  1. Company Minion and detail programm
Controller on controller extension extension to extension extensio
Control provide the control of the c
Cut does on process can be advanted.  - Botta province access  Fleet high year acces.  - Adding stage year features.  Fleet high table.  - Adding stage years features.  Fleet high table.  - Adding stage years features.  Fleet high table.  - Adding stage years features.  - Processing it.  - Lever high year acce.  - Processing it.  - Lever high year acce.  - Processing it.  - Lever high year acce.  - Processing it.  - Adding stage years features.  - Adding stage years features.  - Processing it.  - Adding stage years features.  - Adding yea
Out descriptions and the foreign region is a control of the contro
Contracts above the property of the contract o
Content   Cont
Security of the content of the conte
Control   Cont
Section   Comment   Comm
Section of the content of the conten