

Solutions to
Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications

Yunwei Ren

Contents

2	Normed Spaces. Banach Spaces	2
2.3	Further Properties of Normed Spaces	2
2.4	Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces	3
2.5	Compactness and Finite Dimension	4
2.7	Bounded and Continuous Linear Operators	5
2.8	Linear Functionals	6

2 Normed Spaces. Banach Spaces

2.3 Further Properties of Normed Spaces

4. cf. Prob. 13, Sec 1.2

Proof. The continuity of addition and multiplication follows respectively from the inequalities

$$\|(x_1 + y_1) - (x_2 + y_2)\| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y_1 - y_2\|$$

and

$$\|\alpha_1 x_1 - \alpha_2 x_2\| = \|\alpha_1 x_1 - \alpha_1 x_2 + \alpha_1 x_2 - \alpha_2 x_2\| \leq |\alpha_1| \|x_1 - x_2\| + |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \|x_2\|.$$

□

7.

Proof. Let Y and y_n be defined as in the hint. Then $\|y_n\| = 1/n^2$, constituting a convergent number series. However,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N y_n = (1, 1/4, \dots, 1/N^2, 0, \dots),$$

which is divergent as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

□

8.

Proof. Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in X . Hence, for every $n > 0$, there exists some $K_n > 0$ such that for all $p, q > K_n$, $\|x_p - x_q\| < 1/n^2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that (K_n) is increasing. Since the series $\|x_{K_{n+1}} - x_{K_n}\|$ is bounded by $1/n^2$, it converges. By the hypothesis, the series $(x_{K_{n+1}} - x_{K_n})$ also converges. Hence,

$$x_{K_n} = x_{K_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{K_{i+1}} - x_{K_i}) \rightarrow x \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we show that (x_n) converges to x . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, since (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence, there exists some N_1 such that for all $p, q > N_1$, $\|x_p - x_q\| < \varepsilon$. Meanwhile, since $x_{K_n} \rightarrow x$, once K_n is large enough, $\|x - x_{K_n}\| < \varepsilon$. Let $K_n > N_1$. Then for every $n > K_n$

$$\|x_n - x\| \leq \|x_n - x_{K_n}\| + \|x_{K_n} - x\| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus, X is complete.

□

9.

Proof. Let (x_n) be an absolutely convergent series in Banach space X . Let $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Now we show that s_n is a Cauchy sequence and therefore convergent. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| < \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $N > 0$ such that for all $n > N$, $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \|x_i\| < \varepsilon$. Hence, for every $N < p \leq q$,

$$\|s_q - s_p\| = \left\| \sum_{i=p+1}^q x_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=p+1}^q \|x_i\| < \varepsilon,$$

completing the proof.

□

10.

Proof. Let (e_n) be Schauder basis of X . Denote the underlying field of X by \mathbb{K} and let $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Q}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{W} = \{p + iq : p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Now we show that

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{W}, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

a countable subset of X , is dense in X to derive the separability.

For every $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of Schauder basis, there exists $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\|x - (\beta_1 e_1 + \dots + \beta_n e_n)\| < \varepsilon$. Let $M = \max_i \|e_i\|$. If $M = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, since \mathbb{W} is dense in \mathbb{K} , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{W}$ with $|\alpha_i - \beta_i| < \varepsilon/2^i M$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \right\| &\leq \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_i - \alpha_i) e_i \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i - \beta_i| \|e_i\| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, S is dense in X and therefore X is separable. \square

14.

Proof. Clear that $\|\cdot\|_0$ is nonnegative. And $\|\alpha \hat{x}\|_0 = \inf_{x \in \hat{x}} \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|\hat{x}\|_0$. Meanwhile, $\|\hat{x} + \hat{y}\|_0 = \inf_{z \in \hat{x} + \hat{y}} \|z\| \leq \inf_{z \in \hat{x}} \|z\| + \inf_{z \in \hat{y}} \|z\| = \|\hat{x}\|_0 + \|\hat{y}\|_0$. Finally, we show that $\|\hat{x}\|_0 = 0$ implies $\hat{x} = Y$ and invoke Prob. 4, Sec 2.2 to complete the proof. Since $\|\hat{x}\|_0 = 0$, there exists $(x_n) \subset \hat{x}$ which converges to 0. Since Y is closed, Y is complete and so is its cosets. Therefore, $0 \in \hat{x}$, enforcing \hat{x} to be Y . \square

2.4 Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces

3.

Proof. The reflexive property clearly holds. If there are positive a and b such that $a\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq b\|x\|_0$ for all $x \in X$, then $\|x\|_1/b \leq \|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|/a$. Hence the relation is symmetric. Next we further suppose there exists positive c and d such that that $c\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq d\|x\|_1$. Then $ac\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq bd\|x\|_0$, giving the transitive property. Thus, the axioms of an equivalence relation hold. \square

4.

Proof. Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent. Let $E \subset X$ be any open set with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, i.e., for every $x_0 \in E$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $A = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \delta\} \subset E$. Since $\|\cdot\| \sim \|\cdot\|_0$, there exists some positive c such that $\|x - x_0\| \leq c\|x - x_0\|_0$. Hence, $B = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \delta/c\} \subset A \subset E$. Namely, E is also open with respect to $\|\cdot\|_0$. Interchanging the roles of $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ completes the proof. \square

5.

Proof. Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent. Then for every $x \in X$, there exists some $c > 0$ such that $\|x\|_0 \leq c\|x\|$. Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $N > 0$ such that for all $n, m > N$, $\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon/c$. Hence, $\|x_n - x_m\|_0 < c\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, (x_n) is also a Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_0$. Interchanging the roles of $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ completes the proof. \square

2.5 Compactness and Finite Dimension

5.

Proof. Clear that every point in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n has a closed bounded, and therefore compact, neighborhood. Hence, \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n are locally compact. \square

6.

Proof. Let X be a compact metric space and x any point in X . Let E be a closed neighborhood of x . By Prob 10, E is compact. Thus, X is locally compact. \square

7.

Proof. It suffices to show that $a = \inf_{y \in Y} \|v - y\|$ can actually be obtained. Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be a basis of Y and $y_k = y_{k,1}b_1 + \dots + y_{k,n}b_n$ a sequence in Y with $\|v - y_k\| \rightarrow a$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\|v - y_k\|$ is bounded.

Since Y is a proper subset of Z , v, b_1, \dots, b_n are linearly independent. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4-1, there exists a scalar $c > 0$ such that for every k ,

$$\|v - y_{k,1}b_1 - \dots - y_{k,n}b_n\| \geq c(1 + |y_{k,1}| + \dots + |y_{k,n}|).$$

Hence, the sequence $(y_{k,1}, \dots, y_{k,n})$ of n -tuples is bounded and therefore has a convergent subsequence. Consequently, (y_k) also has a convergent subsequence. Suppose that it converges to $z \in Z$. Note that $\|v - z\| = a$ and as Y is closed, $z \in Y$. Thus, a can be attained in Y . \square

8.

Proof. Since the unit ball B with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$ in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n is compact and $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous, by 2.5-7, $x \mapsto \|x\|$ can attain its minimum, denoted by a , on B . Due to the positive definite property of a norm, a is positive. Hence, $0 < a \leq \|x\|/\|x\|_2$. Namely, $a\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|$. \square

9.

Proof. For every $(x_n) \subset M \subset X$, since X is compact, there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) which converges to some $y \in X$. Since M is closed, $y \in M$. Hence, M is compact. \square

10.

Proof. From 1.3-4 and the definition of closed sets, we conclude that a mapping is continuous iff the preimage of a closed set under it is also a closed set. Hence, to show that the inverse of T is also continuous, it suffices to show that the image of a closed set $A \subset X$ under T is again a closed set. Since X is compact and A is closed, A is compact. Since T is continuous, by 2.5-6, $T(A)$ is compact and therefore closed. Hence, T is a homeomorphism. \square

2.7 Bounded and Continuous Linear Operators

2.

Proof. First suppose T to be bounded and let A be any bounded set in X . Then there exists $K < \infty$ such that for all $x \in A$, $\|x\| < K$. Due to the boundedness of T , $\|Tx\| \leq \|T\|\|x\| < K\|T\|$. Namely, $T(A)$ is also bounded.

Now suppose that T maps bounded sets in X into bounded sets in Y . Clear that the unit ball B of X is bounded and therefore so is $T(B)$. Namely, $\|Tx/\|x\|\|$ is bounded for $x \neq 0$.¹ Hence, T is bounded. \square

3.

Proof. For every x with $\|x\| < 1$, $\|Tx\| \leq \|T\|\|x\| < \|T\|$. \square

4.

Proof. Suppose that the linear operator T is continuous at $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. For every $(x_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$, by the continuity of T at x_0

$$\|Tx_n - Tx_0\| = \|T(x_n - x_0 + x_0) - Tx_0\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, T is continuous. \square

7.

Proof. The inequality implies $\mathcal{N}(T) = 0$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6-10, T^{-1} exists. For every $y \in Y$, suppose that $y = Tx$. Then

$$\|T^{-1}y\| = \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{b}\|Tx\| = \frac{1}{b}\|y\|.$$

Thus, T^{-1} is bounded. \square

12.

Proof. The compatibility follows immediately from the definition of the supremum. Suppose $\|x\|_1 = \max_j |\xi_j|$ and $\|y\|_2 = \max_j \|\eta_j\|$, then

$$Ax = \begin{bmatrix} x_1\alpha_{11} + \cdots + x_n\alpha_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ x_1\alpha_{r1} + \cdots + x_n\alpha_{rn} \end{bmatrix}$$

¹Note that the two $\|\cdot\|$ here are different norms.

Since for all j , $x_j \leq \|x_j\|_1$,

$$\frac{\max_j |x_1\alpha_{j1} + \cdots + x_n\alpha_{jn}|}{\|x\|_1} = \max_j \left| \frac{x_1}{\|x\|_1}\alpha_{j1} + \cdots + \frac{x_n}{\|x\|_1}\alpha_{jn} \right| \leq \max_j \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_{jk}|.$$

Hence,

$$\|A\| \geq \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_1} \quad \text{for all } x. \quad (1)$$

Suppose that maximum of $\sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_{jk}|$ is obtained at $j = p$. Then choosing x_k to be $\text{sgn } \alpha_{pk}$ shows that the equality in (1) can actually be attained. Hence, $\|A\| = \max_j \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_{jk}|$. \square

2.8 Linear Functionals

8.

Proof. For every $x_1, x_2 \in N(M^*)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ and $f \in M^*$,

$$f(ax_1 + bx_2) = af(x_1) + bf(x_2) = 0.$$

Hence, $ax_1 + bx_2 \in N(M^*)$. Namely, $N(M^*)$ is a vector space. \square

9.

Proof. First we show the uniqueness. Suppose that $x = \alpha_1 x_0 + y_1 = \alpha_2 x_0 + y_2$. Then $0 = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)x_0 + (y_1 - y_2)$. Hence,

$$0 = f((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)x_0 + (y_1 - y_2)) = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)f(x_0) + f(y_1) - f(y_2).$$

Since $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{N}(f)$, $f(y_1) - f(y_2) = 0$ while $f(x_0) \neq 0$ as $x_0 \notin \mathcal{N}(f)$. Hence, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, which forces y_1 and y_2 to coincide.

For the existence, it suffices to show that for any fixed x , the function $g(\alpha) = f(x - \alpha x_0)$ has a zero. It is easy to verify that $\alpha = f(x)/f(x_0)$ is a zero of g . Note that $x_0 \notin \mathcal{N}(f)$ and therefore $f(x_0) \neq 0$. \square

10.

Proof. First we suppose that $x_1, x_2 \in x_0 + \mathcal{N}(f) \in X/\mathcal{N}(f)$. Then together with Prob. 9, $x_i = x_0 + y_i$ where $y_i \in \mathcal{N}(f)$. Hence, for $i = 1, 2$, $f(x_i) = f(x_0) + f(y_i) = f(x_0)$.

For the converse, note that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ implies $f(x_1 - x_2) = 0$. Namely, $x_1 - x_2 \in \mathcal{N}(f)$. Hence, x_1, x_2 belongs to the same element in $X/\mathcal{N}(f)$.

To show $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}(f) = 1$, we show that $X/\mathcal{N}(f)$ and \mathbb{K} are isomorphic. For every $\hat{x} \in X/\mathcal{N}(f)$, define $I(\hat{x}) = f(x)$. By the previous discussion, this definition is well-defined. Clear that I is linear and therefore is injective. And by the linearity of f , I is surjective. Thus, I is an isomorphism between $X/\mathcal{N}(f)$ and \mathbb{K} . Hence, $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}(f) = 1$. \square

11.

Proof. Put $N = \mathcal{N}(f_1) = \mathcal{N}(f_2)$ and choose $x_0 \in X \setminus N$. By Prob. 9, for every $x \notin N$, $x = \alpha x_0 + y$ where $y \in N$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = \frac{\alpha f_1(x_0) + f_1(y)}{\alpha f_2(x_0) + f_2(y)} = \frac{f_1(x_0)}{f_2(x_0)}.$$

\square

12.

Proof. Prob. 10, justifies the discussion on hyperplanes parallel to the $\mathcal{N}(f)$. It suffices to show that $H_1 = b + \mathcal{N}(f)$ for some $b \in X$. Choose $x_1 \in H_1$. Then

$$x \in \mathcal{N}(f) \Leftrightarrow x + x_1 \in x_1 + \mathcal{N}(f) \Leftrightarrow f(x + x_1) = f(x) + f(x_1) = 1 \Leftrightarrow x + x_1 \in H_1.$$

Hence, $H_1 = x_1 + \mathcal{N}(f)$. Namely, H_1 is a hyperplane parallel to $\mathcal{N}(f)$. \square

13.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists a $y_1 \in Y$ such that $f(y_1) \neq c \neq 0$. Then for every $d \in \mathbb{K}$, by the linearity of f , $f(dy_1/c) = d$. Contradiction. Hence, $f = 0$ on Y . \square

14.

Proof. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_1 \in X$ with $f(x_1) = 1$ such that $\tilde{d} + \varepsilon \geq \|x_1\|$. Hence,

$$\|f\|(\tilde{d} + \varepsilon) \geq \|f\|\|x_1\| \geq |f(x_1)| = 1.$$

Since the choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\|f\|\tilde{d} \geq 1$. Meanwhile, there exists $x_2 \in X$ with $\|x_2\| = 1$ such that $|f(x_2)| \geq \|f\| - \varepsilon$. Put $x_3 = x_2/f(x_2)$. Then $f(x_3) = 1$. Hence,

$$(\|f\| - \varepsilon)\tilde{d} \leq |f(x_2)|\|x_3\| = \|x_2\| = 1,$$

which implies $\|f\|\tilde{d} \leq 1$. Thus, $\|f\|\tilde{d} = 1$. \square

15.

Proof. For every x with $\|x\| \leq 1$, $f(x) \leq \|f\|\|x\| \leq c$. Hence, $x \in X_{c_1}$. Meanwhile, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of the supremum, there exists a x with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $|f(x)| > \|f\| - \varepsilon$. By the linearity of f , we may remove the $|\cdot|$ on the right side. Hence, $f(x) \notin X_{c_1}$ where $c = \|f\| - \varepsilon$. \square