Solutions to

$Introductory\ Functional\ Analysis\ with\ Applications$

Yunwei Ren

Contents

2	Normed Spaces. Banach Spaces		2	
	2.3	Further Properties of Normed Spaces	2	
	2.4	Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces	3	
	2.5	Compactness and Finite Dimension	4	
	2.7	Bounded and Continuous Linear Operators	5	
	2.8	Linear Functionals	6	
	2.9	Operators on Finite Dimensional Spaces	7	

2 Normed Spaces. Banach Spaces

2.3 Further Properties of Normed Spaces

4. cf. Prob. 13, Sec 1.2

Proof. The continuity of addition and multiplication follows respectively from the inequalities

$$||(x_1 + y_1) - (x_2 + y_2)|| \le ||x_1 - x_2|| + ||y_1 - y_2||$$

and

$$\|\alpha_1 x_1 - \alpha_2 x_2\| = \|\alpha_1 x_1 - \alpha_1 x_2 + \alpha_1 x_2 - \alpha_2 x_2\| \le |\alpha_1| \|x_1 - x_2\| + |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2| \|x_2\|.$$

7.

Proof. Let Y and y_n be defined as in the hint. Then $||y_n|| = 1/n^2$, constituting a convergent number series. However,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n = (1, 1/4, \dots, 1/N^2, 0, \dots),$$

which is divergent as $N \to \infty$.

8.

Proof. Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence in X. Hence, for every n > 0, there exists some $K_n > 0$ such that for all $p, q > K_n$, $||x_p - x_q|| < 1/n^2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that (K_n) is increasing. Since the series $||x_{K_{n+1}} - x_{K_n}||$ is bounded by $1/n^2$, it converges. By the hypothesis, the series $(x_{K_{n+1}} - x_{K_n})$ also converges. Hence,

$$x_{K_n} = x_{K_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{K_{i+1}} - x_{K_i}) \to x \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Now we show that (x_n) converges to x. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, since (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence, there exists some N_1 such that for all $p, q > N_1$, $||x_p - x_q|| < \varepsilon$. Meanwhile, since $x_{K_n} \to x$, once K_n is large enough, $||x - x_{K_n}|| < \varepsilon$. Let $K_n > N_1$. Then for every $n > K_n$

$$||x_n - x|| \le ||x_n - x_{K_n}|| + ||x_{K_n} - x|| \le 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus, X is complete.

9.

Proof. Let (x_n) be an absolutely convergent series in Banach space X. Let $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_n$. Now we show that s_n is a Cauchy sequence and therefore convergent. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\| < \infty$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some N > 0 such that for all n > N, $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \|x_i\| < \varepsilon$. Hence, for every N ,

$$||s_q - s_p|| = \left\| \sum_{i=p+1}^q x_i \right\| \le \sum_{i=p+1}^q ||x_i|| < \varepsilon,$$

completing the proof.

Proof. Let (e_n) be Schauder basis of X. Denote the underlying field of X by \mathbb{K} and let $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{Q}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{W} = \{p + iq : p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Now we show that

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{W}, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},\,$$

a countable subset of X, is dense in X to derive the separability.

For every $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of Schauder basis, there exists $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $||x - (\beta_1 e_1 + \cdots + \beta_n e_n)|| < \varepsilon$. Let $M = \max_i ||e_i||$. If M = 0, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, since \mathbb{W} is dense in \mathbb{K} , for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{W}$ with $|\alpha_i - \beta_i| < \varepsilon/2^i M$. Hence,

$$\left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i \right\| \le \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i e_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) e_i \right\|$$

$$\le \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i - \beta_i| \|e_i\|$$

$$\le 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus, S is dense in X and therefore X is separable.

14.

Proof. Clear that $\|\cdot\|_0$ is nonnegative. And $\|\alpha\hat{x}\|_0 = \inf_{x \in \hat{x}} \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|\hat{x}\|_0$. Meanwhile, $\|\hat{x} + \hat{y}\|_0 = \inf_{z \in \hat{x} + \hat{y}} \|z\| \le \inf_{z \in \hat{x}} \|z\| + \inf_{z \in \hat{y}} \|z\| = \|\hat{x}\|_0 + \|\hat{y}\|_0$. Finally, we show that $\|\hat{x}\|_0 = 0$ implies $\hat{x} = Y$ and invoke Prob. 4, Sec 2.2 to complete the proof. Since $\|\hat{x}\|_0 = 0$, there exists $(x_n) \subset \hat{x}$ which converges to 0. Since Y is closed, Y is complete and so is its cosets. Therefore, $0 \in \hat{x}$, enforcing \hat{x} to be Y.

2.4 Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces

3.

Proof. The reflexive property clearly holds. If there are positive a and b such that $a||x||_0 \le ||x||_1 \le b||x||_0$ for all $x \in X$, then $||x||_1/b \le ||x||_0 \le ||x||/a$. Hence the relation is symmetric. Next we further suppose there exists positive c and d such that that $c||x||_1 \le ||x||_2 \le d||x||_1$. Then $ac||x||_0 \le ||x||_2 \le bd||x||_0$, giving the transitive property. Thus, the axioms of an equivalence relation hold.

4.

Proof. Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent. Let $E \subset X$ be any open set with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, i.e., for every $x_0 \in E$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $A = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \delta\} \subset E$. Since $\|\cdot\| \sim \|\cdot\|_0$, there exists some positive c such that $\|x - x_0\| \le c\|x - x_0\|_0$. Hence, $B = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \delta/c\} \subset A \subset E$. Namely, E is also open with respect to $\|\cdot\|_0$. Interchanging the roles of $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ completes the proof.

Proof. Suppose the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent. Then for every $x \in X$, there exists some c > 0 such that $\|x\|_0 \le c\|x\|$. Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some N > 0 such that for all n, m > N, $\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon/c$. Hence, $\|x_n - x_m\|_0 < c\|x_n - x_m\| \le \varepsilon$. Thus, (x_n) is also a Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_0$. Interchanging the roles of $\|\cdot\|_0$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ completes the proof. \square

2.5 Compactness and Finite Dimension

5.

Proof. Clear that every point in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n has a closed bounded, and therefore compact, neighborhood. Hence, \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n are locally compact.

6.

Proof. Let X be a compact metric space and x any point in X. Let E be a closed neighborhood of x. By Prob 10, E is compact. Thus, X is locally compact. \square

7.

Proof. It suffices to show that $a = \inf_{y \in Y} ||v-y||$ can actually be obtained. Let $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ be a basis of Y and $y_k = y_{k,1}b_1 + \cdots + y_{k,n}b_n$ a sequence in Y with $||v-y_k|| \to a$. We may assume without loss of generality that $||v-y_k||$ is bounded.

Since Y is a proper subset of Z, v, b_1, \ldots, b_n are linearly independent. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4-1, there exists a scalar c > 0 such that for every k,

$$||v - y_{k,1}b_1 - \dots - y_{k,n}b_n|| \ge c(1 + |y_{k,1}| + \dots + |y_{k,n}|).$$

Hence, the sequence $(y_{k,1}, \ldots, y_{k,n})$ of *n*-tuples is bounded and therefore has a convergent subsequence. Consequently, (y_k) also has a convergent subsequence. Suppose that it converges to $z \in Z$. Note that ||v - z|| = a and as Y is closed, $z \in Y$. Thus, a can be attained in Y.

8.

Proof. Since the unit ball B with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$ in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{C}^n is compact and $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous, by 2.5-7, $x \mapsto \|x\|$ can attain its minimum, denoted by a, on B. Due to the positive definite property of a norm, a is positive. Hence, $0 < a \le \|x/\|x\|_2\|$. Namely, $a\|x\|_2 \le \|x\|$.

9.

Proof. For every $(x_n) \subset M \subset X$, since X is compact, there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) which converges to some $y \in X$. Since M is closed, $y \in M$. Hence, M is compact.

Proof. From 1.3-4 and the definition of closed sets, we conclude that a mapping is continuous iff the preimage of a closed set under it is also a closed set. Hence, to show that the inverse of T is also continuous, it suffices to show that the image of a closed set $A \subset X$ under T is again a closed set. Since X is compact and A is closed, A is compact. Since T is continuous, by 2.5-6, T(A) is compact and therefore closed. Hence, T is a homeomorphism.

2.7 Bounded and Continuous Linear Operators

2.

Proof. First suppose T to be bounded and let A be any bounded set in X. Then there exists $K < \infty$ such that for all $x \in A$, ||x|| < K. Due to the boundedness of T, $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x|| < K||T||$. Namely, T(A) is also bounded.

Now suppose that T maps bounded sets in X into bounded sets in Y. Clear that the unit ball B of X is bounded and therefore so is T(B). Namely, ||Tx/||x||| is bounded for $x \neq 0$. Hence, T is bounded.

3.

Proof. For every x with ||x|| < 1, $||Tx|| \le ||T|| ||x|| < ||T||$.

4.

Proof. Suppose that the linear operator T is continuous at $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(T)$. For every $(x_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $||x_n - x|| \to 0$, by the continuity of T at x_0

$$||Tx_n - Tx|| = ||T(x_n - x + x_0) - Tx_0|| \to 0.$$

Hence, T is continuous.

7.

Proof. The inequality implies $\mathcal{N}(T) = 0$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6-10, T^{-1} exists. For every $y \in Y$, suppose that y = Tx. Then

$$||T^{-1}y|| = ||x|| \le \frac{1}{b}||Tx|| = \frac{1}{b}||y||.$$

Thus, T^{-1} is bounded.

12.

Proof. The compatibility follows immediately from the definition of the supremum. Suppose $||x||_1 = \max_i |\xi_i|$ and $||y||_2 = \max_i ||\eta_i||$, then

$$Ax = \begin{bmatrix} x_1\alpha_{11} + \dots + x_n\alpha_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ x_1\alpha_{r1} + \dots + x_n\alpha_{rn}. \end{bmatrix}$$

¹Note that the two $\|\cdot\|$ here are different norms.

Since for all $j, x_j \leq ||x_j||_1$,

$$\frac{\max_{j} |x_{1}\alpha_{j1} + \dots + x_{n}\alpha_{jn}|}{\|x\|_{1}} = \max_{j} \left| \frac{x_{1}}{\|x\|_{1}} \alpha_{j1} + \dots + \frac{x_{n}}{\|x\|_{1}} \alpha_{jn} \right| \le \max_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\alpha_{jk}|.$$

Hence,

$$||A|| \ge \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_1}$$
 for all x . (1)

Suppose that maximum of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\alpha_{jk}|$ is obtained at j=p. Then choosing x_k to be $\operatorname{sgn} \alpha_{pk}$ shows that the equality in (1) can actually be attained. Hence, $||A|| = \max_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\alpha_{jk}|$.

2.8 Linear Functionals

8.

Proof. For every $x_1, x_2 \in N(M^*)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ and $f \in M^*$,

$$f(ax_1 + bx_2) = af(x_1) + bf(x_2) = 0.$$

Hence, $ax_1 + bx_2 \in N(M^*)$. Namely, $N(M^*)$ is a vector space.

9.

Proof. First we show the uniqueness. Suppose that $x = \alpha_1 x_0 + y_1 = \alpha_2 x_0 + y_2$. Then $0 = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)x_0 + (y_1 - y_2)$. Hence,

$$0 = f((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)x_0 + (y_1 - y_2)) = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)f(x_0) + f(y_1) - f(y_2).$$

Since $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{N}(f)$, $f(y_1) - f(y_2) = 0$ while $f(x_0) \neq 0$ as $x_0 \notin \mathcal{N}(f)$. Hence, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, which forces y_1 and y_2 to coincide.

For the existence, it suffices to show that for any fixed x, the function $g(\alpha) = f(x - \alpha x_0)$ has a zero. It is easy to verify that $\alpha = f(x)/f(x_0)$ is a zero of g. Note that $x_0 \notin \mathcal{N}(f)$ and therefore $f(x_0) \neq 0$.

10.

Proof. First we suppose that $x_1, x_2 \in x_0 + \mathcal{N}(f) \in X/\{$. Then together with Prob. 9, $x_i = x_0 + y_i$ where $y_i \in \mathcal{N}(f)$. Hence, for i = 1, 2, $f(x_i) = f(x_0) + f(y_i) = f(x_0)$.

For the converse, note that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ implies $f(x_1 - x_2) = 0$. Namely, $x_1 - x_2 \in \mathcal{N}(f)$. Hence, x_1, x_2 belongs to the same element in $X/\mathcal{N}(f)$.

To show codim $\mathcal{N}(f) = 1$, we show that $X/\mathcal{N}(f)$ and \mathbb{K} are isomorphic. For every $\hat{x} \in X/\mathcal{N}(f)$, define $I(\hat{x}) = f(x)$. By the previous discussion, this definition is well-defined. Clear that I is linear and therefore is injective. And by the linearity of f, I is surjective. Thus, I is an isomorphism between $X/\mathcal{N}(f)$ and \mathbb{K} . Hence, codim $\mathcal{N}(f) = 1$.

11.

Proof. Put $N = \mathcal{N}(f_1) = \mathcal{N}(f_2)$ and choose $x_0 \in X \setminus N$. By Prob. 9, for every $x \notin N$, $x = \alpha x_0 + y$ where $y \in N$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Hence,

$$\frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = \frac{\alpha f_1(x_0) + f_1(y)}{\alpha f_2(x_0) + f_2(y)} = \frac{f_1(x_0)}{f_2(x_0)}.$$

Proof. Prob. 10, justifies the discussion on hyperplanes parallel to the $\mathcal{N}(f)$. It suffices to show that $H_1 = b + \mathcal{N}(f)$ for some $b \in X$. Choose $x_1 \in H_1$. Then

$$x \in \mathcal{N}(f) \Leftrightarrow x + x_1 \in x_1 + \mathcal{N}(f) \Leftrightarrow f(x + x_1) = f(x) + f(x_1) = 1 \Leftrightarrow x + x_1 \in H_1.$$

Hence, $H_1 = x_1 + \mathcal{N}(f)$. Namely, H_1 is a hyperplane parallel to $\mathcal{N}(f)$.

13.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists a $y_1 \in Y$ such that $f(y_1) \neq c \neq 0$. Then for every $d \in \mathbb{K}$, by the linearity of f, $f(dy_1/c) = d$. Contradiction. Hence, f = 0 on Y.

14.

Proof. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x_1 \in X$ with $f(x_1) = 1$ such that $\tilde{d} + \varepsilon \ge ||x_1||$. Hence,

$$||f||(\tilde{d} + \varepsilon) \ge ||f||||x_1|| \ge |f(x_1)| = 1.$$

Since the choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $||f||\tilde{d} \ge 1$. Meanwhile, there exists $x_2 \in X$ with $||x_2|| = 1$ such that $|f(x_2)| \ge ||f|| - \varepsilon$. Put $x_3 = x_2/f(x_2)$. Then $f(x_3) = 1$. Hence,

$$(||f|| - \varepsilon)\tilde{d} \le |f(x_2)|||x_3|| = ||x_2|| = 1,$$

which implies $||f||\tilde{d} \leq 1$. Thus, $||f||\tilde{d} = 1$.

15.

Proof. For every x with $||x|| \le 1$, $f(x) \le ||f|| ||x|| \le c$. Hence, $x \in X_{c_1}$. Meanwhile, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of the supremum, there exists a x with ||x|| = 1 such that $|f(x)| > ||f|| - \varepsilon$. By the linearity of f, we may remove the $|\cdot|$ on the right side. Hence, $f(x) \notin X_{c_1}$ where $c = ||f|| - \varepsilon$.

2.9 Operators on Finite Dimensional Spaces

8.

Proof. Let $\{b_2, \ldots, b_n\}$ be a basis of Z and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ a basis of X. Define $f \in X^*$ to be $f(b_i) = \delta_{1i}$. Clear that $\mathcal{N}(f) = Z$. By Prob. 11, Sec 2.8, f is uniquely determined up to a scalar multiple.

12.

Proof. Let $\varphi: X \to \mathbb{K}^p$ be defined by $x \mapsto [f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x)]^T$. It can be verified that φ is a linear operator. Since dim X = n > p, φ can not be injective. Hence, there exists $0 \neq x \in X$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$.

13.

Proof. Let $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ be a basis of Z and $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ a basis of X. Define $\tilde{f} \in X^*$ to be identical with f on b_1, \ldots, b_m and 0 on b_{m+1}, \ldots, b_n . Clear that $\tilde{f}|_Z = f$.