

# Real Analysis

Yunwei Ren

## Contents

<b>3</b>	<b>Lebesgue Measure</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	2
3.2	Outer Measure . . . . .	2
3.3	Measurable Sets and Lebesgue Measure . . . . .	3
3.5	Measurable Functions . . . . .	4
3.6	Littlewood's Three Principles . . . . .	6

## 3 Lebesgue Measure

### 3.1 Introduction

1.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $B \setminus A \in \mathfrak{M}$  as long as  $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $B \setminus A$  and  $A$  are disjoint,  $mB = mA + m(B \setminus A) \geq mA$  since  $m$  is nonnegative.  $\square$

2.

*Proof.* Let  $A_0 = E_0$  and  $E_k = A_k \setminus A_{k-1}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Clear that  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  are disjoint for distinct  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $\bigcup A_n = \bigcup E_n$  and  $A_i \subset E_i$  for every  $i$ . Hence,

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) = m\left(\bigcup A_n\right) = \sum mA_n \leq \sum mE_n,$$

where the last inequality comes from Exercise 1.  $\square$

3.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $mA < \infty$ . Then  $mA = m(A \cup \emptyset) = mA + m\emptyset$ , implying that  $m\emptyset = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Outer Measure

5.

*Proof.* We show that  $\{I_n\}$  must cover the entire  $[0, 1]$  by contradiction. Assume that  $x \notin I_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, as  $I_k$  are open and  $n$  is finite, there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  and  $I_k$  are disjoint for every  $k$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists some rational number in  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ , contradicting with the hypothesis that  $\{I_k\}$  covers all rational numbers between 0 and 1.  $\square$

6.

*Proof.* By the definition of the outer measure, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some collection  $\{I_n\}$  of open intervals that covers  $A$  and  $\sum l(I_n) \leq m^*A + \varepsilon$ . Let  $O = \bigcup I_n$ .  $O$  is a countable union of open sets and therefore is also open. And by Proposition 2,  $m^*O \leq \sum l(I_n)$ . Thus,  $m^*O \leq m^*A + \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$  and for each  $n$ , by the previous discussion, we can always get an open set  $O_k$  such that  $A \subset O_k$  and  $m^*O \leq m^*A + \varepsilon_m$ . Let  $G$  be the countable intersection of these open sets. Clear that  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$  set covering  $A$  and  $m^*A = m^*G$ .  $\square$

7.

*Proof.* If  $m^*E = \infty$ , it is trivial. Suppose that  $m^*E \leq \infty$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , collection  $\{I_n\}$  of open intervals covers  $E + x$  iff  $\{I_n - x\}$  covers  $E$ . Since the length of intervals is translation invariant, this implies  $m^*(E + x) = m^*E$ .  $\square$

8.

*Proof.* Clear that  $m^*A \leq m^*(A \cup B)$ . Meanwhile,  $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*A + m^*B = m^*B$ . Hence,  $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*B$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Measurable Sets and Lebesgue Measure

10.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} mE_1 + mE_2 &= mE_1 + m(E_2 \setminus E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) \\ &= m(E_1 \cup (E_2 \setminus E_1)) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) \\ &= m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

11.

*Proof.*  $E_n = (n, \infty)$ .

□

12. This is the countable version of Lemma 9.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove  $m^*(A \cap \bigcup E_i) \geq \sum m^*(A \cap E_i)$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  for every  $n$ ,

$$m^*\left(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \geq m^*\left(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A \cap E_i),$$

where the equality comes from Lemma 9. Since the left hand side is independent of  $n$ , we have

$$m^*\left(A \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(A \cap E_i),$$

completing the proof.

□

13.

*Proof.* First we suppose that  $m^*E < \infty$ . By Proposition 5, there exists some open set  $O \supset E$  such that  $m^*O \leq m^*E + \varepsilon$ . If  $E$  is measurable, then by the definition,

$$m^*(O \setminus E) = m^*O - m^*E \leq \varepsilon.$$

Namely, (ii) holds. Meanwhile,  $O \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of disjoint open intervals  $\{I_n\}$ . Since  $mO = m^*O$  is bounded and  $mO = \sum l(I_n)$ , there exists some integer  $N > 0$  such that  $mO - \sum_{n=1}^N l(I_n) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^N I_n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(U \triangle E) &= m^*((U \cup E) \setminus (U \cap E)) \\ &\leq m^*(O \setminus (U \cap E)) \\ &= m^*((O \setminus U) \cup (O \setminus E)) \\ &\leq m^*(O \setminus U) + m^*(O \setminus E) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (ii) implies (vi). Now we show that (vi) implies (ii). If  $m^*(U \triangle E) < \varepsilon$ , then there exists some countable collection  $\{J_n\}$  of open interval such that

$$\sum l(J_n) \leq m^*(U \triangle E) + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

Let  $J = \bigcup J_n$  and  $O = U \cup J$ .  $m^*J < 2\varepsilon$ . And  $O$  is open and covers  $E$ . Meanwhile,

$$m^*(O \setminus E) \leq m^*(U \setminus E) + m^*(J \setminus E) < 3\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (ii) holds.

Now, let  $E$  be an arbitrary set and  $E_n = E \cap (-n, n)$ , which is a set with finite measure. Then by the previous discussion, there exists some open set  $O_n \supset E_n$  with  $m^*(O_n \setminus E_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ . Let  $O = \bigcup O_n$ , an open set covering  $E$  and

$$m^*(O \setminus E) \leq \sum m^*(O_n \setminus E_n) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (i) implies (ii). Now we suppose (ii) holds and let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$ , then there exists a sequence of open sets  $\langle O_n \rangle$  such that  $m^*(O_n \setminus E) < 1/n$ . Let  $G = \bigcap O_n \in G_\delta$ .  $m^*(G \setminus E) \leq m^*(O_n \setminus E) \leq 1/n$ . Since the left hand side is independent of  $n$ ,  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ . If (iv) holds, then by Lemma 6,  $G \setminus E$  is measurable. Since  $G \in G_\delta$  is also measurable,  $E$  is measurable. Hence, (iv) implies (i).

By the previous result, for any measurable  $E$ , there exists some closed set  $F \subset E$  such that  $\bar{F}$ , which is open, contains  $\text{bar}E$  and  $m^*(\bar{F} \setminus \bar{E}) < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $m^*(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ . We can proceed in a similar manner as we did in the last paragraph to prove that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), leading to the final conclusion.  $\square$

### 3.5 Measurable Functions

19.

*Proof.* For every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $D$  is measurable, there exists a sequence of  $\alpha_n \in D \cap (\beta - 1/n, \beta)$ . As

$$\{x : f(x) > r\} \Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f(x) > r - 1/n\} \Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f(x) > \alpha_n\}$$

and  $\{x : f(x) > \alpha_n\}$  are measurable, so is  $\{x : f(x) > r\}$ . Hence,  $f$  is measurable.  $\square$

21.

*Proof.*

(a) It follows immediately from  $\{x : f(x) > \alpha\} = \{x \in D : f(x) > \alpha\} \cup \{x \in E : f(x) > \alpha\}$ .

(b) For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the sets  $\{x : f(x) > \alpha\}$  and  $\{x : g(x) > \alpha\}$  are the same. And for  $\alpha < 0$ ,

$$\{x : f(x) > \alpha\} = \{x : g(x) > \alpha\} \setminus \bar{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x : g(x) > \alpha\} = \{x : f(x) > \alpha\} \cup \bar{D}.$$

Hence,  $f$  is measurable iff  $g$  is measurable.  $\square$

22.(d)

*Proof.* Since  $f$  and  $g$  are finite almost everywhere, the set  $A$  consisting of points where  $f + g$  is of the form  $\infty - \infty$  or  $-\infty + \infty$  is of measure zero (and hence measurable). Therefore no matter how it is defined,  $\{x \in A : f + g > \alpha\}$  is measurable for every  $\alpha$ . Namely, the restriction of  $f + g$  to  $A$  is measurable. Meanwhile, clear that the restriction to  $D \setminus A$  is measurable where  $D$  is the domain of  $f$ . Hence, by Exercise 21,  $f$  is measurable.  $\square$

**23.**

*Proof.*

(a) Let  $A_n = \{x : |f(x)| > n\}$ , a sequence of measurable sets. As  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ ,  $mA_{n+1} \leq mA_n$ . Since  $A = \bigcap A_n = \{x : |f(x)| = \infty\}$ ,  $mA_1 \leq m[a, b]$  is finite and  $mA = 0$ , by Proposition 14, there exists some  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $mA_n < \varepsilon/3$ . Set  $M = N$  to complete the proof.

(b) We consider the restriction of  $f$  on to the set  $E = [a, b] \setminus \{x : |f(x)| \geq M\}$ , which is also a measurable real-valued function. To keep our notation simple, we denote the restriction by  $f$  still. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some integer  $N$  with  $0 < 2M/N < \varepsilon$ . Let  $E_n = \{x : x \in [-M + (n-1)\varepsilon, -M + n\varepsilon]\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) and define

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i) \chi_{E_i},$$

where  $x_n \in E_n$  is arbitrary. Clear that  $\varphi$  is a simple function and satisfy all the requirements.

(c) Suppose that  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $E_i$  is measurable and therefore by Proposition 15, there exists a finite union  $U_i$  of open intervals such that  $m(U_i \triangle E_i) < \varepsilon$ . Let

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \chi_{U_i}.$$

Clear that  $g$  and  $\varphi$  only may differ on a set with measure  $N\varepsilon$ . (d) Suppose that  $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \chi_{U_i}$  is a step function. We may assume without loss of generality that  $U_i$  are disjoint and  $\bigcup U_i = [a, b]$ . And suppose that  $\{x_0 = a < x_1 < \dots < x_N = b\}$  are the endpoints of the intervals. For each  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ , define

$$f(x) = (x - x_i + \varepsilon)g(x_i - \varepsilon) + (x_i + \varepsilon - x)g(x_i + \varepsilon), \quad x \in (x_i - \varepsilon, x_i + \varepsilon),$$

and  $f(x) = g(x)$  for the other points. (We assume that  $\varepsilon$  is small enough so that  $f$  is well-defined.) Clear that  $f$  is continuous and equals  $g$  except on a set of measure less than  $2N\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**24.**

*Proof.* For measurable  $f$ , we show that  $\mathcal{A} = \{E : f^{-1}[E] \text{ is measurable}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra first. As the domain, denoted by  $D$ , of a measurable function is measurable,  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then since  $f^{-1}[\bar{E}] = D \cap \overline{f^{-1}[E]}$ ,  $f^{-1}[\bar{E}]$  is also measurable and therefore  $\bar{E} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\langle E_n \rangle$  is a sequence of sets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, as

$$f^{-1}\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}[E_n],$$

$\bigcup E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

By the definition of a measurable function, every open interval belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since the collection of all Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all open intervals,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Namely,  $f^{-1}[B]$  is measurable as long as  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

### 3.6 Littlewood's Three Principles

30.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$  and  $\delta_n = \eta/2^n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ). By Proposition 24, for each  $n$ , there exists some  $A_n$  with measure less than  $\delta_n$  such that for all  $x \in E_n \setminus A_n$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon_n$  for  $m$  large enough. Let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , the measure of which is less than  $\sum \eta/2^n = \delta$ . Meanwhile, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by construction, for all  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for  $m$  large enough. Namely,  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $E \setminus A$ .  $\square$

31.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_n = \delta/2^n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), then by Proposition 22, there exists continuous  $g_n$  such that  $E_n = \{x : |f(x) - g_n(x)| \geq \varepsilon_n\}$  is of measure less than  $\varepsilon_n$ . Let  $E = \bigcup E_n$ , the measure of which is less than  $\delta$  and  $g_n$  converges to  $f$  on  $[a, b] \setminus E$ .

By Egoroff's Theorem, there exists some  $A \subset [a, b] \setminus E$  with  $mA < \delta$  such that  $g_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $[a, b] \setminus (E \cup A)$ . Since  $E \cup A$  is measurable, by Proposition 15, there exists some open set  $O \supset E \cup A$  such that  $m(O \setminus (E \cup A)) < \delta$ . Let  $F = [a, b] \setminus O$ . We know that

1.  $F$  is a closed set.
2.  $mF < 3\delta$ .
3.  $g_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $F$ .

Hence,  $f$  is continuous on  $F$ . And by Problem 2.40, there exists some continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in F$ .

If  $f$  is defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , we can apply the previous result on each  $[n, n+1]$  and "stick" the functions together as we did in Problem 23(c) to get the function required.  $\square$