# Real Analysis

# Yunwei Ren

# Contents

3	$\operatorname{Leb}$	esgue Measure 2	
	3.1	Introduction	
	3.2	Outer Measure	
	3.3	Measurable Sets and Lebesgue Measure	
	3.5	Measurable Functions	
	3.6	Littlewood's Three Principles	
4	The	Lebesgue Integral 7	
	4.2	The Lebesgue Integral of a Bounded Function	
	4.3	The Integral of a Nonnegative Function	
	4.4	The General Lebesgue Integral	
5	Differentiation and Integration		
	5.1	Differentiation of Monotone Functions	
	5.2	Functions of Bounded Variation	
	5.4	Absolute Continuity	
	5.5	Convex Functions	
6	The	Classical Banach Spaces 19	
	6.1	The $L^p$ Spaces	
	6.2	The Minkowski and Hölder Inequalities	
	6.3	Convergence and Completeness	
	6.4	Approximation in $L^p$	

# 3 Lebesgue Measure

## 3.1 Introduction

1.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $B \setminus A \in \mathfrak{M}$  as long as  $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $B \setminus A$  and A are disjoint,  $mB = mA + m(B \setminus A) \ge mA$  since m is nonnegative.  $\square$ 

2.

*Proof.* Let  $A_0 = E_0$  and  $E_k = A_k \setminus A_{k-1}$  for  $k \ge 1$ . Clear that  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  are disjoint for distinct i and j,  $\bigcup A_n = \bigcup E_n$  and  $A_i \subset E_i$  for every i. Hence,

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) = m\left(\bigcup A_n\right) = \sum mA_n \le \sum mE_n,$$

where the last inequality comes from Exercise 1.

3.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $mA < \infty$ . Then  $mA = m(A \cup \varnothing) = mA + m\varnothing$ , implying that  $m\varnothing = 0$ .

## 3.2 Outer Measure

**5**.

*Proof.* We show that  $\{I_n\}$  must cover the entire [0,1] by contradiction. Assume that  $x \notin I_k$  for k = 1, 2, ..., n. Then, as  $I_k$  are open and n is finite, there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  and  $I_k$  are disjoint for every k. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists some rational number in  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ , contradicting with the hypothesis that  $\{I_k\}$  covers all rational numbers between 0 and 1.

6.

*Proof.* By the definition of the outer measure, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some collection  $\{I_n\}$  of open intervals that covers A and  $\sum l(I_n) \leq m^*A + \varepsilon$ . Let  $O = \bigcup I_n$ . O is a countable union of open sets and therefore is also open. And by Proposition 2,  $m^*O \leq \sum l(I_n)$ . Thus,  $m^*O \leq m^*A + \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$  and for each n, by the previous discussion, we can always get an open set  $O_k$  such that  $A \subset O_k$  and  $m^*O \leq m^*A + \varepsilon_m$ . Let G be the countable intersection of these open sets. Clear that G is a  $G_\delta$  set covering A and  $m^*A = m^*G$ .

7.

*Proof.* If  $m^*E = \infty$ , it is trivial. Suppose that  $m^*E \leq \infty$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , collection  $\{I_n\}$  of open intervals covers E + x iff  $\{I_n - x\}$  covers E. Since the length of intervals is translation invariant, this implies  $m^*(E + x) = m^*E$ .

8.

Proof. Clear that  $m^*A \leq m^*(A \cup B)$ . Meanwhile,  $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*A + m^*B = m^*B$ . Hence,  $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*B$ .

## 3.3 Measurable Sets and Lebesgue Measure

10.

Proof.

$$mE_1 + mE_2 = mE_1 + m(E_2 \setminus E_1) + m(E_1 \cap E_2)$$
  
=  $m(E_1 \cup (E_2 \setminus E_1)) + m(E_1 \cap E_2)$   
=  $m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2)$ .

11.

Proof. 
$$E_n = (n, \infty)$$
.

12. This is the countable version of Lemma 9.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove  $m^*(A \cap \bigcup E_i) \ge \sum m^*(A \cap E_i)$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  for every n,

$$m^*\left(A\cap\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right)\geq m^*\left(A\cap\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right)=\sum_{i=1}^n m^*(A\cap E_i),$$

where the equality comes from Lemma 9. Since the left hand side is independent of n, we have

$$m^*\left(A\cap\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}E_i\right)\geq\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}m^*(A\cap E_i),$$

completing the proof.

13.

*Proof.* First we suppose that  $m^*E < \infty$ . By Proposition 5, there exists some open set  $O \supset E$  such that  $m^*O \le m^*E + \varepsilon$ . If E is measurable, then by the definition,

$$m^*(O \setminus E) = m^*O - m^*E \le \varepsilon.$$

Namely, (ii) holds. Meanwhile,  $O \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of disjoint open intervals  $\{I_n\}$ . Since  $mO = m^*O$  is bounded and  $mO = \sum l(I_n)$ , there exists some integer N > 0 such that  $mO - \sum_{n=1}^{N} l(I_n) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} I_n$ .

$$m^*(U \triangle E) = m^*((U \cup E) \setminus (U \cap E))$$

$$\leq m^*(O \setminus (U \cap E))$$

$$= m^*((O \setminus U) \cup (O \setminus E))$$

$$\leq m^*(O \setminus U) + m^*(O \setminus E)$$

$$< 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (ii) implies (vi). Now we show that (vi) implies (ii). If  $m^*(U \triangle E) < \varepsilon$ , then there exists some countable collection  $\{J_n\}$  of open interval such that

$$\sum l(J_n) \le m^*(U \triangle E) + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

Let  $J = \bigcup J_n$  and  $O = U \cup J$ .  $m^*J < 2\varepsilon$ . And O is open and covers E. Meanwhile,

$$m^*(O \setminus E) \le m^*(U \setminus E) + m^*(J \setminus E) < 3\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (ii) holds.

Now, let E be an arbitrary set and  $E_n = E \cap (-n, n)$ , which is a set with finite measure. Then by the previous discussion, there exists some open set  $O_n \supset E_n$  with  $m^*(O_n \setminus E_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ . Let  $O = \bigcup O_n$ , an open set covering E and

$$m^*(O \setminus E) \le \sum m^*(O_n \setminus E_n) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence, (i) implies (ii). Now we suppose (ii) holds and let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$ , then there exists a sequence of open sets  $\langle O_n \rangle$  such that  $m^*(O_n \setminus E) \langle 1/n$ . Let  $G = \bigcap O_n \in G_\delta$ .  $m^*(G \setminus E) \leq m^*(O_n \setminus E) \leq 1/n$ . Since the left hand side is independent of n,  $m^*(G \setminus E) = 0$ . If (iv) holds, then by Lemma 6,  $G \setminus E$  is measurable. Since  $G \in G_\delta$  is also measurable, E is measurable. Hence, (iv) implies (i).

By the previous result, for any measurable E, there exists some closed set  $F \subset E$  such that  $\bar{F}$ , which is open, contains barE and  $m^*(\bar{F} \setminus \bar{E}) < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $m^*(E \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ . We can proceed in a similar manner as we did in the last paragraph to prove that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), leading to the final conclusion.

## 3.5 Measurable Functions

### 19.

*Proof.* For every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , since D is measurable, there exists a sequence of  $\alpha_n \in D \cap (\beta - 1/n, \beta)$ . As

$$\{x: f(x) > r\}$$
  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x: f(x) > r - 1/n\}$   $\Leftrightarrow$   $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x: f(x) > \alpha_n\}$ 

and  $\{x: f(x) > \alpha_n\}$  are measurable, so is  $\{x: f(x) > r\}$ . Hence, f is measurable.  $\square$ 

## 21.

Proof.

- (a) It follows immediately from  $\{x: f(x) > \alpha\} = \{x \in D: f(x) > \alpha\} \cup \{x \in E: f(x) > \alpha\}.$
- (b) For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the sets  $\{x: f(x) > \alpha\}$  and  $\{x: g(x) > \alpha\}$  are the same. And for  $\alpha < 0$ ,

$$\{x:\, f(x)>\alpha\}=\{x:\, g(x)>\alpha\}\setminus \bar{D}\quad \text{and}\quad \{x:\, g(x)>\alpha\}=\{x:\, f(x)>\alpha\}\cup \bar{D}.$$

Hence, f is measurable iff q is measurable.

## 22.(d)

*Proof.* Since f and g are finite almost everywhere, the set A consisting of points where f+g is of the form  $\infty-\infty$  or  $-\infty+\infty$  is of measure zero (and hence measurable). Therefore no matter how it is defined,  $\{x \in A : f+g > \alpha\}$  is measurable for every  $\alpha$ . Namely, the restriction of f+g to A is measurable. Meanwhile, clear that the restriction to  $D \setminus A$  is measurable where D is the domain of f. Hence, by Exercise 21, f is measurable.

Proof.

- (a) Let  $A_n = \{x : |f(x)| > n\}$ , a sequence of measurable sets. As  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ ,  $mA_{n+1} \leq mA_n$ . Since  $A = \bigcap A_n = \{x : |f(x)| = \infty\}$ ,  $mA_1 \leq m[a,b]$  is finite and mA = 0, by Proposition 14, there exists some N such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $mA_n < \varepsilon/3$ . Set M = N to complete the proof.
- (b) We consider the restriction of f on to the set  $E = [a, b] \setminus \{x : |f(x)| \ge M\}$ , which is also a measurable real-valued function. To keep our notation simple, we denote the restriction by f still. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some integer N with  $0 < 2M/N < \varepsilon$ . Let  $E_n = \{x : x \in [-M + (n-1)\varepsilon, -M + n\varepsilon]\}$  (n = 1, 2, ..., N) and define

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i) \chi_{E_i},$$

where  $x_n \in E_n$  is arbitrary. Clear that  $\varphi$  is a simple function and satisfy all the requirements.

(c) Suppose that  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}$ . For each i = 1, ..., N,  $E_i$  is measurable and therefore by Proposition 15, there exists a finite union  $U_i$  of open intervals such that  $m(U_i \triangle E_i) < \varepsilon$ . Let

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \chi_{U_i}.$$

Clear that g and  $\varphi$  only may differ on a set with measure  $N\varepsilon$ . (d) Suppose that  $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \chi_{U_i}$  is a step function. We may assume without loss of generality that  $U_i$  are disjoint and  $\bigcup U_i = [a, b]$ . And suppose that  $\{x_0 = a < x_1 < \dots < x_N = b\}$  are the endpoints of the intervals. For each  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ , define

$$f(x) = (x - x_i + \varepsilon)g(x_i - \varepsilon) + (x_i + \varepsilon - x)g(x_i + \varepsilon), \quad x \in (x_i - \varepsilon, x_i + \varepsilon),$$

and f(x) = g(x) for the other points. (We assume that  $\varepsilon$  is small enough so that f is well-defined.) Clear that f is continuous and equals g except on a set of measure less then  $2N\varepsilon$ .

## 24.

Proof. For measurable f, we show that  $\mathcal{A} = \{E : f^{-1}[E] \text{ is measurable}\}\$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra first. As the domain, denoted by D, of a measurable function is measurable,  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then since  $f^{-1}[\bar{E}] = D \cap \overline{f^{-1}[E]}$ ,  $f^{-1}[\bar{E}]$  is also measurable and therefore  $\bar{E} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\langle E_n \rangle$  is a sequence of sets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, as

$$f^{-1}\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}[E_n],$$

 $\bigcup E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

By the definition of a measurable function, every open interval belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since the collection of all Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all open intervals,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Namely,  $f^{-1}[B]$  is measurable as long as  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

## 3.6 Littlewood's Three Principles

### 30.

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$  and  $\delta_n = \eta/2^n$  (n = 0, 1, ...). By Proposition 24, for each n, there exists some  $A_n$  with measure less than  $\delta_n$  such that for all  $x \in E_n \setminus A_n$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon_n$  for m large enough. Let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , the measure of which is less than  $\sum \eta/2^n = \delta$ . Meanwhile, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by construction, for all  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for m large enough. Namely,  $f_n$  converges to f uniformly on  $E \setminus A$ .

## 31.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_n = \delta/2^n$   $(n \ge 0)$ , then by Proposition 22, there exists continuous  $g_n$  such that  $E_n = \{x : |f(x) - g_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon_n\}$  is of measure less than  $\varepsilon_n$ . Let  $E = \bigcup E_n$ , the measure of which is less than  $\delta$  and  $g_n$  converges to f on  $[a, b] \setminus E$ .

By Egoroff's Theorem, there exists some  $A \subset [a,b] \setminus E$  with  $mA < \delta$  such that  $g_n$  converges to f uniformly on  $[a,b] \setminus (E \cup A)$ . Since  $E \cup A$  is measurable, by Proposition 15, there exists some open set  $O \supset E \cup A$  such that  $m(O \setminus (E \cup A)) < \delta$ . Let  $F = [a,b] \setminus O$ . We know that

- 1. F is a closed set.
- 2.  $mF < 3\delta$ .
- 3.  $g_n$  converges to f uniformly on F.

Hence, f is continuous on F And by Problem 2.40, there exists some continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in F$ .

If f is defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , we can apply the previous result on each [n, n+1] and "stick" the functions together as we did in Problem 23(c) to get the function required.

# 4 The Lebesgue Integral

## 4.2 The Lebesgue Integral of a Bounded Function

2.

Proof.

(a) By Problem 2.51, h is upper semicontinuous as f is bounded and by Problem 2.50,  $x:h(x)<\lambda$  is open and hence measurable for every  $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ . Thus, h is measurable.

Let  $\varphi(x) \geq f(x)$  be a step function and  $x_0$  any point other than the endpoints of the intervals occurring in  $\varphi$ . Then there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ ,  $\varphi(x_0) = \varphi(x) \geq f(x)$ . Hence,

$$h(x_0) = \inf_{\delta < 0} \sup_{|x - x_0| < \delta} f(x) \le \varphi(x_0).$$

Namely,  $\varphi \geq h$  except at a finite number of points. Hence,  $\int_a^b \varphi \geq \int_a^b h$  and therefore

$$R \int_{a}^{\overline{b}} f = \inf_{\varphi \ge f} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) dx \ge \int_{a}^{b} h.$$

We can also derive from the previous discussion that there is a sequence of  $\langle \varphi_n \rangle$  of step functions satisfying  $\varphi \downarrow h$ . By Proposition 6,

$$\int_{a}^{b} h = \lim \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n} \ge R \int_{a}^{\overline{b}} f.$$

Hence,  $R \bar{\int}_a^b f = \int_a^b h$ .

(b) First suppose that f is Riemann integrable and let h and g be the upper and lower envelope of f respectively. By part (a), f is Riemann integrable implies  $\int_a^b (h-g) = 0$ . Together with the fact that  $h \geq g$ , we conclude that h = g a.e.. Therefore, by Problem 2.50, f is continuous except on a set of measure zero.

Note that the argument remains true if we reverse the order, verifying the converse part. Hence, the proposition holds.  $\Box$ 

# 4.3 The Integral of a Nonnegative Function

3.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E_n = \{x : f(x) > 1/n\}$ . Then,

$$0 = \int f \ge \int_{E_n} f \ge \frac{mE_n}{n}$$

implies  $mE_n = 0$ . Hence,  $m\{x : f(x) > 0\} = m(\bigcup E_n) \le \sum mE_n = 0$ . Namely, f = 0 a.e.

*Proof.* For any fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $f_n(x) = f \cdot \chi_{(-\infty,x_0-1/n]}$ , which is a increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable function whose limit is  $f \cdot \chi_{-\infty,x_0}$ . Then by Theorem 10,

$$F(x_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_0} f = \int f \cdot \chi_{-\infty, x_0} = \lim \int f \cdot \chi_{(-\infty, x_0 - 1/n]} = \lim F(x_0 - 1/n).$$

Meanwhile, since

$$|F(x_0) - F(x_0 + 1/n)| = \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + 1/n} f(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{-1/n}^0 g(x) dx \right|,$$

where  $g(x) = f(x_0 - x)$ , arguing on g in a similar manner yields  $F(x_0) = \lim F(x_0 + 1/n)$ . Thus, F is continuous.

#### 6.

*Proof.* By Theorem 9,  $\int f \leq \underline{\lim} \int f_n$ . Meanwhile,  $f_n \leq f$  implies  $\int f_n \leq \int f$  and therefore  $\overline{\lim} \int f_n \leq \int f$ . Hence,  $\int f = \lim \int f_n$ .

## 7.

Solution.

- (a) Let  $f_n(x) = n \cdot \chi_{[0,1/n]}$ .  $f_n$  converges to f = 0 except on x = 0. For each n,  $\int f_n = 1$  but  $\int f = 0$ . Hence, the inequality could be strict.
- (b) Let  $f_n(x) = \chi_{[n,\infty)}$ . Then  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a decreasing sequence which converges to f = 0, the integral of which is 0. However, for every n,  $\int f_n = \infty$ .

## 8.

*Proof.* Let  $g_n = \inf\{f_n, f_{n+1}, \dots\}$ . Clear that

$$\int g_n \le \int f_n. \tag{1}$$

Meanwhile  $\langle g_n \rangle$  is a increasing sequence converging to  $\underline{\lim} f_n$ . Hence, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem and (1)

$$\int \underline{\lim} f_n = \int \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int g_n \le \underline{\lim} \int f_n.$$

### 9.

*Proof.* By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int_{E} f \le \underline{\lim} \int_{E} f_{n}.$$
 (2)

Similarly,  $\int_{\bar{E}} f \leq \underline{\lim} \int_{\bar{E}} f_n$  and therefore

$$\int_{E} f_{n} = \int f_{n} - \int_{\bar{E}} f_{n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\lim} \int_{E} f_{n} \le \int f - \int_{\bar{E}} f = \int_{\bar{E}} f.$$

(2) and the inequality above together implies  $\int_E f_n \to \int f$ .

## 4.4 The General Lebesgue Integral

### 12.

*Proof.* Note that  $\langle g + f_n \rangle$  is a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions. Hence by Problem 8,

$$\int_{E} \underline{\lim}(g + f_n) \le \underline{\lim} \int_{E} (g + f_n) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{E} \underline{\lim} f_n \le \underline{\lim} \int_{E} f_n.$$

The second inequality follows immediately from the definition of lower and upper limit. Replacing  $g + f_n$  with  $g - f_n$  and arguing in a similar manner gives the last inequality.  $\square$ 

## 13.

*Proof.*  $f_n \ge -h$  implies  $f_n + h \ge 0$ . Hence,  $\int (f_n + h)$  always has a meaning. And since g is integrable,  $\int f_n = \int (f_n + h) - \int h$  also has a meaning. Similarly,  $\int f$  has a meaning. Meanwhile,

$$\int f = \int (f+h) - \int h \le \underline{\lim} \int (f_n + h) - \int h = \underline{\lim} \int f_n.$$

## 15.

Proof.

(a) By Problem 4, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some simple functions  $\varphi_1 \leq f^+$  and  $\varphi_2 \leq f^-$  such that

$$\int_{E} f^{+} - \int_{E} \varphi_{1} < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{E} f^{-} - \int_{E} \varphi_{2} < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ , which is also a simple function. Meanwhile,

$$\int_{E} |f - \varphi| \le \int_{E} (f^{+} - \varphi_{1}) + \int_{E} (f^{-} - \varphi_{2}) < 2\varepsilon.$$

### 16.

*Proof.* For every integrable f, by Problem 15, there exists some step function  $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \chi_{E_k}$  such that  $\int |f - \psi| < \varepsilon$ . Note that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \cos nx dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \int_{E_k} \cos nx dx = 0.$$
 (3)

Hence,

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cos nx dx \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(x) - \psi(x) + \psi(x)) \cos nx dx \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x) - \psi(x)| |\cos nx| dx + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \cos nx dx \right|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \cos nx dx \right|$$

$$\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\langle t_n \rangle$  be any sequence with  $t_n \neq 0$  and tending to 0. Then  $\langle f(x, t_n) \rangle$  is sequence of functions satisfying the hypotheses of Lebesgue Convergence Theorem. Meanwhile,  $f(x, t_n) \to f$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f(x, t_n) dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

Since the choice of  $\langle t_n \rangle$  is arbitrary, by Problem 2.49f,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int f(x,t) dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

If f is continuous in t for each x, then  $\lim_{\Delta t\to 0} f(x,t+\Delta t) = f(x,t)$  holds for every t. Therefore, replacing t with  $\Delta t$  in the previous result yields

$$\lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \int f(x, t + \Delta t) dx = \int f(x, t) dx.$$

Namely, h(t) is continuous.

# 5 Differentiation and Integration

## 5.1 Differentiation of Monotone Functions

3. "maximum" needs to be changed to "minimum" in both (a) and (b).

Proof.

(a) We may assume without loss of generality that c=0. Since f attains a local minimum at x=0,  $f(h) \geq f(0)$  for every h sufficiently small. Hence, for every small h>0, (f(c+h)-f(c))/h>0 and therefore  $D_+f(c)\geq 0$ . Meanwhile, by Problem 2.b,

$$-D_{-}f(0) = D^{+}f(0) \ge 0 \implies D_{-}f(0) \le 0.$$

The other two inequalities follow immediately from the definitions of upper and lower limits.

(b) If f has a local minimum at a or b, then we only have the right or left half of the inequalities.

### 4.

*Proof.* We first show this for g with  $D^+g \ge \varepsilon > 0$ . For every  $a \le x < y \le b$ , as g is continuous on [a,b], g has a maximum in [a,b] and by Problem 2 and 3, g can not attain the maximum in [a,b). Namely, the restrict of f to [x,y] attains the maximum at g. Hence,  $g(x) \le g(y)$ .

For every f with nonnegative  $D^+$ , let  $g(x) = f(x) + \varepsilon x$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $D^+g \ge \varepsilon > 0$ . Hence g is nondecreasing. Therefore, for every  $a \le x < y \le b$ ,

$$g(x) \le g(y) \implies f(x) + \varepsilon x \le f(y) + \varepsilon y.$$

Since the choice of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ .

#### 5.a

Proof.

$$\sup_{t \in (0,h)} \frac{(f+g)(x+t) - (f+g)(x)}{t} = \sup_{t \in (0,h)} \left( \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{t} + \frac{g(x+t) - g(x)}{t} \right)$$
$$\leq \sup_{t \in (0,h)} \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{t} + \sup_{t \in (0,h)} \frac{g(x+t) - g(x)}{t}.$$

Letting  $h \to 0$  yields  $D^+(f+g) \le D^+f + D^+g$ .

## 5.2 Functions of Bounded Variation

## 7.

Proof.

(a) It suffices to show this for monotone functions as each function of bounded variation is the difference of two monotone functions. Suppose that f is nondecreasing. Then the set  $E = \{f(x) : x > c\}$  is bounded below and hence  $A = \inf E$  is finite. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some y > c such that  $A \le f(c) < A + \varepsilon$ . Hence, as f is nondecreasing,

for every  $x \in (c, y)$ ,  $|f(x) - A| < \varepsilon$ . Namely,  $\lim_{x \to c^+} f(x) = A$ . Similarly,  $\lim_{x \to c^-} f(x)$  exists.

Let  $D_n = \{x : |f(x+) - f(x-)| > 1/n\}$ . Since f is nondecreasing,  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le f(b) - f(a) < \infty$  for every  $x, y \in [a, b]$ . Hence,  $D_n$  is finite, otherwise we can choose a sequence  $x_1 < \cdots < x_N$  with N > (f(b) - f(a))/n such that  $f(X_N) - f(x_1) > f(b) - f(a)$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , the set of discontinuities, is countable.

(b) Suppose  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\} = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and define  $f(x) = \sum_{x_n < x} 2^{-n}$ . Clear that f is monotone and continuous at every irrational point. For each rational  $x = x_k$ ,  $f(x+) - f(x-) = 2^{-n}$ . Hence, f is discontinuous at each rational point.

### 8.

Proof.

(a) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some subdivision  $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_p = c$  and  $c = x_p < \cdots < x_q = b$  of [a, c] and [c, b] such that  $T_a^c < t_a^c + \varepsilon$  and  $T_c^b < t_c^b + \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $T_a^c + T_c^b - 2\varepsilon < t_a^c + t_c^b$ . Meanwhile, as  $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_q = b$  forms a subdivision of [a, b],  $T_a^b \ge t_a^b = t_a^c + t_c^b$ . Therefore,  $T_a^c + T_c^b - 2\varepsilon < T_a^b$ . Since the choice of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $T_a^b + T_c^b \le T_a^b$ .

To show that  $T_a^b + T_c^b \ge T_a^b$ , let  $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_q = b$  be any subdivision of [a, b] and by adding c into it, we get subdivisions of [a, c] and [c, b]. Suppose that  $c \in (x_k, x_{k+1}]$ , then

$$|f(x_k) - f(c)| + |f(c) - f(x_{k+1})| + t_a^b = t_a^c + t_c^b + |f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})|,$$

which implies  $t_a^b \leq t_a^c + t_c^b$ . Hence,

$$T_a^b = \sup t_a^b \le \sup (t_a^c + t_c^b) \le T_a^c + T_c^b.$$

Thus,  $T_a^b = T_a^c + T_c^b$  and therefore  $T_a^c \leq T_a^b$ . (b)

$$T_a^b(f+g) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) + g(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) - g(x_{i-1})|$$

$$\leq \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| + \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})|$$

$$\leq T_a^b(f) + T_a^b(g).$$

$$T_a^b(cf) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |cf(x_i - cf(x_{i-1}))| = |c| \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i - f(x_{i-1}))| = |c| T_a^b(f).$$

9.

*Proof.* For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a subdivision  $a = x_0 < \cdots < x_k = b$  such that  $t_a^b(f) \geq T_a^b(f) - \varepsilon$ . Meanwhile, as  $f_n$  converges to f pointwisely

$$t_a^b(f) = t_a^b(\lim f_n)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k |(\lim f_n)(x_i) - (\lim f_n)(x_{i-1})|$$

$$= \lim \sum_{i=1}^k |f_n(x_i) - f_n(x_{i-1})|$$

$$\leq \underline{\lim} \sup \sum_{i=1}^k |f_n(x_i) - f_n(x_{i-1})| = \underline{\lim} T_a^b(f_n).$$

Hence,  $T_a^b(f) - \varepsilon \leq \underline{\lim} T_a^b(f_n)$ . Since the choice of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $T_a^b(f) \leq \underline{\lim} T_a^b(f_n)$ .

### 10.a

Solution. No. Let  $x_k = (k\pi + \pi/2)^{-1/2}$ , k = 0, 1, ... and consider the subdivision  $-1 < 0 < x_n < \cdots < x_0 < 1$ . Then

$$t_n \ge \sum_{k=1}^n |f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})| \ge \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{(k+1/2)\pi}.$$

 $t_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and therefore f is not of bounded variation on [-1,1].

### 11.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4,  $f(x) = f(a) + P_a^x - N_a^x$ . Since  $P_a^x$  and  $N_a^x$  are monotone, by Theorem 3, they are differentiable almost everywhere as f, a function of bounded variation, does. Hence, for almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}f(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}P_a^x - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}N_a^x \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f'(x)| \le \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}P_a^x + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}N_a^x = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}T_a^x.$$

Integrating on the both sides yields  $\int_a^b |f'| \le T_a^b(f)$ .

## 5.4 Absolute Continuity

### 12.

Solution. The continuous extension of  $x^2 \sin(1/x^2)$  to [0,1] is absolutely continuous for all  $[\varepsilon,1]$  but is not of bounded variation on [0,1] and therefore is not absolutely continuous on [0,1].

Suppose that f is also of bounded variation on [0,1]. Then f is differentiable almost everywhere. Hence  $g(x) = \int_0^x f'(t) dt + f(a)$  is well-defined. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$g(x) = \int_0^{\varepsilon} f'(t)dt + \int_{\varepsilon}^x f'(t)dt + f(0) = \int_0^{\varepsilon} f'(t)dt + f(x) - f(\varepsilon) + f(0),$$

where the second equality comes from the absolute continuity on  $[\varepsilon, 1]$ . By the continuity of f at x = 0,  $f(\varepsilon) \to f(0)$ . Hence, letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  yields g(x) = f(x). Namely, f is an indefinite integral. Thus, by Theorem 14, it is absolutely continuous.

*Proof.* Since absolute continuity implies bounded variation,  $\int_a^b |f'| \leq T_a^b(f)$  by Problem 11. By the definition of T, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some subdivision  $a = x_0 < \cdots < \infty$  $x_n = b$  such that  $t_a^b(f) > T_a^b(f) - \varepsilon$ . Meanwhile, for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ,

$$\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f'| \ge \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f' \right| = |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|,$$

where the second equality is guaranteed by the absolute continuity. Hence,  $\int_a^b |f'| >$  $T_a^b(f) - \varepsilon$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus,  $T_a^b(f) = \int_a^b |f'|$ . By Lemma 4,  $2P_a^b(f) = T_a^b(f) + f(b) - f(a)$ . Hence,

$$P_a^b(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_a^b |f'| + f(b) - f(a) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (|f'| + f') = \int_a^b [f']^+.$$

#### 14.

Proof.

(a) Suppose that f and g are absolutely continuous. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all finite nonoverlapping  $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle$  with  $|x_n - y_n| < \varepsilon$ ,

$$\sum |f(x_n) + g(x_n) - f(y_n) - g(y_n)| \le \sum |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| + |g(x_n) - g(y_n)| \le 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence, f + g is also absolutely continuous. Since -g is absolutely continuous as long as g is, so is f - g.

(b) Suppose that f and g are absolutely continuous. Then they are bounded, by Mfor example. Hence for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all finite nonoverlapping  $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle$  with  $|x_n - y_n| < \varepsilon$ ,

$$\sum |f(x_n)g(x_n) - f(y_n)g(y_n)|$$

$$= \sum |f(x_n)g(x_n) - f(x_n)g(y_n) + f(x_n)g(y_n) - f(y_n)g(y_n)|$$

$$\leq \sum \{|f(x_n)||g(x_n) - g(y_n)| + |f(x_n) - f(y_n)||g(y_n)|\}$$

$$\leq M\varepsilon.$$

Thus, fg is also absolutely continuous.

(c) Since f is continuous on [a, b], f can achieve its minimum in [a, b]. Hence, |f(x)| >m>0 as f is never zero. Therefore for every  $\varepsilon>0$ , there exists some  $\delta>0$  such that for all finite nonoverlapping  $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle$  with  $|x_n - y_n| < \varepsilon$ ,

$$\sum \left| \frac{1}{f(x_n)} - \frac{1}{f(y_n)} \right| = \sum \left| \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{f(x_n) f(y_n)} \right| \le \frac{1}{m^2} \sum |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{m^2}.$$

- 17. Part (a) is wrong. It can be fixed if we further require g to be monotone increasing. *Proof.*
- (a) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta_1$  be the number in the definition of F corresponding to  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta_2$  the number in the definition of g corresponding to  $\delta_1$ . Then for every finite nonoverlapping  $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle$  with  $|x_n y_n| < \delta_2$ ,  $\sum |g(x_n) g(y_n)| < \delta_1$ . Since g is monotone increasing,  $(g(x_n), g(y_n))$  are nonoverlapping. Therefore,  $\sum |F(g(x_n)) F(g(y_n))| < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $F \circ g$  is absolutely continuous.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that g is nondecreasing. Since mE = 0, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Proposition 3.15, there exists an open set  $O \supset E$  with  $mO < \varepsilon$ . Meanwhile, there exists a sequence of disjoint open intervals  $\langle I_n = (a_n, b_n) \rangle$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = O$  and  $l(I_n) < \delta$  where  $\delta$  is the number in the definition of absolute continuity. Then  $g[E] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g[I_n \cap [0,1]]$ . Since g is continuous, the image of an interval is still an interval and since g is also nondecreasing,  $g[I_n \cap [0,1]] = (g(a'_n), g(b'_n))$ , where  $a'_n = \max\{a_n, 0\}$  and  $b'_n = \min\{b_n, 1\}$ . Finally,

$$m(g[E]) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(g[I_n]) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(b'_n) - g(a'_n)| \le \varepsilon,$$

where the last inequality comes from the absolute continuity of g. Since the choice of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, m(g[E]) = 0.

## 20.

Proof.

(a) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \varepsilon/M$ . Then for every  $\langle x_n \rangle_{i=1}^n$  and  $\langle y_n \rangle_{i=1}^n$  with  $|x_n - y_n| \le \delta$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \le M \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_n - y_n| \le \varepsilon,$$

as f satisfies the Lipschitz condition.

(b) Suppose that f is absolute continuous and |f'| is bounded by M. Then for every x and y in the interval,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f'(t) dt \right| \le M|x - y|.$$

Hence, f satisfies the Lipschitz condition. The converse part has been proved in (a).

(c) It is wrong. A counterexample is 
$$f(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}, x \in (-1,1)$$

## 21.

Proof.

(a) Suppose that  $O = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n, d_n)$  where  $(c_n, d_n)$  are disjoint. Since g is continuous and increasing,  $g^{-1}(c_n, d_n)$  is still an open interval, denoting it by  $(a_n, b_n)$ , and  $(a_n, b_n)$  are also disjoint. Meanwhile,  $d_n - c_n = f(a_n) - f(b_n) = \int_{a_n}^{b_n} g'$ . Hence,

$$mO = m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n, d_n)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (d_n - c_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} g' = \int_{g^{-1}[O]} g'.$$

(b) Without loss of generality, we assume that  $d \notin E$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an open set  $O \supset E$  with  $mO < \varepsilon$ . By Part (a),

$$\int_{q^{-1}[O]\cap H} g' = \int_{q^{-1}[O]} g' = mO < \varepsilon.$$

Since the choice of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\int_{g^{-1}[O]\cap H} g' = 0$ . Since g' > 0 on H,  $g^{-1}[O] \cap H$  has measure zero.

(c) Since E is measurable, so is  $g^{-1}[E]$ . Meanwhile, by Theorem 3, g' is measurable, hence H is also measurable. Therefore, F is measurable.

We may assume without loss of generality that  $c, d \notin E$ . By Proposition 3.15, there exists some  $G \in G_{\delta}$  such that  $E \subset G \subset (c, d)$  and  $m(G \setminus E) = 0$ . Since g is increasing,  $g^{-1}[G] \cap H = F \cup (g^{-1}[G \setminus E] \cap H)$  and by (b),  $g[G \setminus E] \cap H$  is of measure zero. Therefore,  $\int_F g' = \int_{g^{-1}[G] \cap H} g'$ . Namely, it suffices to show the result for  $G \in G_{\delta}$ .

Suppose that  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$  where each  $O_n \subset (c,d)$  is open and  $mO_1 < \infty$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\langle O_n \rangle$  is decreasing. Then  $mG = \lim_{n \to \infty} mO_n$ . By (a),

$$mO_n = \int_{g^{-1}[O_n]} g' = \int_a^b \chi_{O_n}(g(x))g'(x)dx.$$

As  $\chi_{O_n}(g(x))g'(x)$  is bounded by |g'|,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_a^b \chi_{O_n}(g(x))g'(x) dx = \int_a^b \chi_G(g(x))g'(x) dx.$$

Hence,  $mG = \int_{g^{-1}[G] \cap H} g'$ , completing the proof.

(d) By Problem 3.25,  $f \circ g$  is measurable. And since g' is measurable by Theorem 3,  $(f \circ g)g'$  is also measurable.

Let  $\langle \varphi_n \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of nonnegative simple functions which converges to f, the existence of which is guaranteed by Problem 4.4. By the monotone convergence theorem,  $\int_c^d f = \lim_{c} \int_c^d \varphi_n$ .

For each n, suppose that  $\varphi_n(y) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k^{(n)}(y) \chi_{E_k^{(n)}}(y)$ . Then

$$\int_{c}^{d} \varphi_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}^{(n)} m E_{k}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}^{(n)} \int_{a}^{b} \chi_{E_{k}^{(n)}}(g(x)) g'(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(g(x)) g'(x) dx,$$

where the second equality comes from (c). Since g is increasing,  $\langle \varphi_n(g(x))g'(x)\rangle$  is an increasing sequence. Hence,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(g(x))g'(x)dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(g(x))g'(x)dx.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{c}^{d} f(y) dy = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{c}^{d} \varphi_{n}(y) dy = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi_{n}(g(x)) g'(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(g(x)) g'(x) dx.$$

## 5.5 Convex Functions

### 23.

Proof.

- (a) Suppose that  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  and  $y(x) = m(x x_0) + \varphi(x_0)$  is a supporting line. As [a, b) is finite,  $\varphi \ge \min\{\varphi(a), y(a), y(b)\}.$
- (b) If  $\varphi$  is monotone, then the limits exists. If  $\varphi$  is not monotone, then since  $D^+\varphi$  is nondecreasing, there exists some  $[c,d] \subset (a,b)$  such that  $D^+\varphi \leq 0$  on (a,c) and  $D^+\varphi \geq 0$  on (d,b). Namely,  $\varphi$  is monotone on the (a,c) and (d,b). Therefore, the limits also exist.

Consider a finite interval near the finite endpoint. By (a), the limit can not be  $-\infty$  as  $\varphi$  is bounded from below.

(c) If x and y are in the interior of I, the inequality holds by definition. By the continuity of  $\varphi$ , the statement holds for all  $x, y \in I$ .

## 24.

*Proof.* Note that the existence of  $\varphi''$  implies  $\varphi$  is continuously differentiable. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is convex on (a,b). Then  $D^+\varphi$  is nondecreasing by Proposition 17, hence  $\varphi''(x) \geq 0$  for each  $x \in (a,b)$ . And the converse of the statement follows from Proposition 18 immediately.

## **25**.

Proof.

(a) 
$$\varphi''(t) = b^2 p(p-1)(a+bt)^{p-2}$$
 which  $\geq 0$  on  $[0, \infty)$  if  $p \geq 1$  and  $\leq 0$  if  $0 .$ 

## **26.** TODO

## 27.

*Proof.* Note that  $\log x$  is concave. Denote  $A_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$  and  $R_N = 1 - A_N$ . The situition where  $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$  is finite is simple. Hence we assume that  $R_N \geq 0$  for all N. Then for every N,

$$\log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \xi_n\right) = \log\left(A_N \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{A_N} \xi_n + R_N \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{R_N} \xi_n\right)$$

$$\geq A_N \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{A_N} \xi_n\right) + R_N \log\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \frac{\alpha_n}{R_N} \xi_n\right)$$

$$\geq A_N \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\alpha_n}{A_N} \xi_n\right)$$

$$\geq A_N \log\left(\prod_{n=1}^N \xi_n^{\alpha_n/A_N}\right)$$

Taking exp on the both sides yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \xi_n \ge \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n^{\alpha_n / A_N} \right)^{A_N} = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n^{\alpha_n} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

 ${\it Proof.}$  It follows immediately from the Jensen inequality and the fact that log is concave.

# 6 The Classical Banach Spaces

## 6.1 The $L^p$ Spaces

1.

Proof. Put  $S = ||f||_{\infty}$  and  $T = ||g||_{\infty}$ . Then  $|f(t)| \leq S$  and  $|g(t)| \leq T$  a.e. Hence,  $S + T \geq |f(t)| + |g(t)| \geq |f(t) + g(t)|$  a.e. Namely,  $m\{t : |f(t) + g(t)| > S + T\} = 0$ . Thus,  $S + T \geq ||f + g||_{\infty}$  by the definition of ess sup.

2.

*Proof.* Put  $S = ||f||_{\infty}$ . Since  $S \ge |f|$  a.e.,

$$||f||_p = \left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p \right\}^{1/p} \le \left\{ \int_0^1 S^p \right\}^{1/p} = S.$$

Therefore,  $\overline{\lim}_{p\to\infty} \|f\|_p \leq S$ . For the converse part, let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number. Then the measure  $\delta$  of  $E = \{t : |f(t)| > S - \varepsilon\}$  is positive. Hence,

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p \right\}^{1/p} \ge \left\{ \int_E |f|^p \right\}^{1/p} \ge \delta^{1/p} (S - \varepsilon) \to S - \varepsilon \quad \text{as } p \to \infty.$$

Hence,  $\underline{\lim}_{p\to\infty} \geq S$ , completing the proof.

3.

Proof.

$$||f+g||_1 = \int |f+g| \le \int |f| + \int |g| = ||f||_1 + ||g||_1.$$

4.

*Proof.* For every  $M > ||g||_{\infty}$ ,  $|g| \leq M$  a.e. Hence,

$$\int |fg| \le M \int |f| = ||f||_1 M.$$

Since the choice of M is arbitrary,  $\int |fg| \le ||f||_1 ||g||_{\infty}$ .

# 6.2 The Minkowski and Hölder Inequalities

8

Proof.

(a) The logarithm function is concave, so

$$\log(a^p/p + b^q/q) \ge \frac{1}{p}\log a^p + \frac{1}{q}\log b^q = \log ab.$$

Taking exp on the both sides yields the inequality. The equality holds iff  $a^p = b^q$ .

(b) The case where  $p = \infty$  has been proved in Problem 4 and the case where  $||f||_p = 0$  or ||g|| = 0 is straightforward. Hence, we assume that  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $||f||_p ||g||_q \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\alpha = ||f||_p$  and  $\beta = ||g||_q$ . By Young's inequality,

$$\left| \frac{fg}{\alpha \beta} \right| \le \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{|f|}{\alpha} \right)^p + \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{|g|}{\beta} \right)^q$$

for every x. Therefore,

$$\int |fg| = \alpha\beta \int \left| \frac{fg}{\alpha\beta} \right| \le \alpha\beta \int \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{|f|}{\alpha} \right)^p + \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{|g|}{\beta} \right)^q \right\} = \alpha\beta. \tag{4}$$

The equality holds iff the equality in Young's inequality holds a.e. iff  $\beta |f|^p = \alpha |g|^q$  a.e.

(c) Let p' = 1/p and q' = 1 - p' = -q/p. Then for any nonnegative c and d, by Young's inequality,

$$cd \le \frac{c^{p'}}{p'} + \frac{d^{q'}}{q'} = pc^{1/p} - \frac{p}{q}d^{-q/p} \implies c^{1/p} \ge \frac{cd}{p} + \frac{d^{-q/p}}{q}.$$

Putting  $c = (ab)^p$  and  $d = b^{-p}$  yields the desired inequality.

(d) Just reverse the inequality in (4).

## 6.3 Convergence and Completeness

9.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\langle f_n \rangle \subset X$  converges to  $f \in X$ . Namely, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some N such that for all n > N,  $||f_n - f|| < \varepsilon$ . Hence, for every n, m > N, by Minkowski inequality,

$$||f_n - f_m|| \le ||f_n - f|| + ||f - f_m|| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence.

10.

Proof. Suppose  $f_n \to f$ . Then  $M_n = \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup} |f_n - f| \to 0$ . Let  $E_n = \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| > M_m\}$ , each of which is with measure zero. And therefore  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is with measure zero. Note that  $\tilde{E} = \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| < M_n, \forall n\}$ , which implies the uniform convergence of  $f_n$  since  $M_n \to 0$ .

For the converse part, the uniform convergence on E implies that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some N such that for every n > N and  $x \in \tilde{E}$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Since mE = 0, this implies  $||f_n - f||_{\infty} = \text{ess sup} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^{\infty}$ .  $\square$ 

11.

*Proof.* Let  $\langle f_n \rangle \subset L^{\infty}$  be absolutely summable. Put  $M_n = ||f_n||_{\infty}$  and  $A_n = \{t : |f_n(t)| > M_n\}$ . By the definition of  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ ,  $mA_n = 0$ . Hence,  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is of measure zero.

Note that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for every n and  $x \in E \setminus A$ . Thus, by the Weierstrass M-test,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  converges uniformly. Hence, on  $E \setminus A$ ,  $\sup |\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n - \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n| \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ . Since mA = 0, this implies the summability of  $\langle f_n \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\langle f_n \rangle \subset C$  be absolutely summable. Since for every  $x, 0 \leq |f_n(x)| \leq ||f_n||$ ,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is uniformly convergent on [0,1]. Put  $s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ . Since each  $f_n$  is continuous, so is s. Therefore,  $s \in C$ .

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some N such that for every n > N and  $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $|s(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $||s - \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k|| < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is summable and therefore C is a Banach space.

## 16.

*Proof.* Since  $||f_n - f|| \ge |||f_n|| - ||f|||$ ,  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^p$  implies  $||f_n|| \to ||f||$ . For the converse part, note that  $2^p(|f_n|^p + |f|^p) - |f_n - f|^p \ge 0$  and for almost every x,

$$2^{p}(|f_n|^p + |f|^p) - |f_n - f|^p \to 2^{p+1}|f|^p.$$

By Fatou's Lemma,

$$2^{p+1} ||f||^p = 2^{p+1} \int |f|^p \le \underline{\lim} \int \{2^p (|f_n|^p + |f|^p) - |f_n - f|^p\}$$
$$= 2^{p+1} ||f||^p - \overline{\lim} ||f_n - f||^p.$$

Hence,  $\overline{\lim} \|f_n - f\|^p \le 0$ . Since clear that  $\underline{\lim} \|f_n - f\|^p \ge 0$ ,  $\lim \|f_n - f\| = 0$ , i.e.,  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^p$ .

## 17. I assume that 1/p + 1/q = 1.

*Proof.* Since  $g \in L^p$ ,  $|g|^q$  is integrable on E = [0,1] and therefore for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $\delta$  such that for every  $A \subset E$  with  $mA < \delta$ ,  $\int_A |g|^q < \varepsilon$ . Meanwhile, since  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  for almost every x, by Egoroff's Theorem, there exists some  $A \subset E$  with  $mA < \delta$  such that  $f_n g$  converges to fg uniformly on  $E \setminus A$ .

From the uniform convergence we conclude

$$\int_{E \setminus A} fg = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E \setminus A} f_n g. \tag{5}$$

Meanwhile, by Hölder inequality,

$$\left| \int_A (f - f_n) g \right| \le \int_A |(f - f_n) g| \le \left\{ \int_A |f_n - f|^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \int_A |g|^q \right\}^{1/q} \le M \varepsilon^{1/q}.$$

Hence, (5) can be extended to E.

For p=1, this is not true.  $f_n=n\chi_{[0,1/n]}$  and  $g=\chi_{[0,1]}$  gives a counterexample.  $\square$ 

## 18.

*Proof.* By Minkowski inequality,

$$||g_n f_n - gf|| = ||g_n (f_n - f) + (g_n - g)f|| \le ||g_n (f_n - f)|| + ||(g_n - g)f||.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f, g_n, g \in L^p$ ,  $|g_n - g|^p |f|^p$  is integrable and therefore there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that for all subsets with measure  $\delta < \delta$ , the integral of over it  $\delta < \varepsilon$ . Meanwhile,

since  $g_n \to g$  a.e., by Egoroff's Theorem, there exists some  $A \subset E = [0, 1]$  with  $mA < \delta$  such that  $g_n \to g$  uniformly on  $E \setminus A$  and therefore there exists some  $N_1 > 0$  such that for all  $n > N_1$ ,  $|g_n(x) - g(x)|^p < \varepsilon$  for  $x \in E \setminus A$ . Thus, for every  $n > N_1$ ,

$$||(g_n - g)f|| = \left\{ \int_{E \setminus A} |g_n - g|^p |f|^p \right\}^{1/p} + \left\{ \int_A |g_n - g|^p |f|^p \right\}^{1/p}$$
  
$$\leq \sqrt[p]{\varepsilon} ||f|| + \sqrt[p]{\varepsilon} \leq (||f|| + 1)\varepsilon.$$

Since  $|g_n| \leq M$ ,  $||g_n(f_n - f)|| \leq M||f_n - f||$ . And since  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^p$ , there exists some  $N_2 > 0$  such that for all  $n > N_2$ ,  $||f_n - f|| < \varepsilon$ . Put  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ , then for every n > N,

$$||g_n f_n - gf|| \le (||f|| + 1 + M)\varepsilon.$$

Hence,  $g_n f_n \to g f$  in  $L^p$ .

## 6.4 Approximation in $L^p$

19.

*Proof.* Since  $||T_{\Delta}f|| \le ||T_{\Delta}|f||$  and ||f|| = |||f||, we may assume without loss of generality that  $f \ge 0$ . For p > 1, by Jensen's inequality,

$$||T_{\Delta}f||_{p}^{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k}} \left(\frac{1}{\xi_{k} - \xi_{k-1}} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k}} f\right)^{p}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k}} \frac{1}{\xi_{k} - \xi_{k-1}} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k}} f^{p}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k}} f^{p}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} f^{p} = ||f||_{p}^{p}.$$

**20.** TODO