# Statistical Inference

# Yunwei Ren

# Contents

1 Probability Theory

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## 1 Probability Theory

#### 1.5(a)

Solution. A U.S. birth results in female identical twins.

### 1.5(b)

Solution.

$$P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A|B \cap C)P(B|C)P(C) = P(A|B)P(B|C)P(C) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{90} = \frac{1}{540}.$$

### 1.24(b)

Solution. Suppose  $E_i = \{\text{head first appears on } i \text{th toss} \}$ , then

$$P(A \text{ wins}) = P(\bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_{2k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(E_{2k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{2k+1} = \frac{p}{1 - (1-p)^2}.$$

### 1.31(a)

*Proof.* To get the average  $(x_1+\cdots+x_n)/n$ , we need the unordered sample to be  $\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\}$ . The number of ordered samples which results in it is n! and there are  $n^n$  ordered samples in total. Hence, the probability is  $n!/n^n$ .

For any other resulted average, there will exist some double counting when counting the ordered samples. Therefore, the outcome with average  $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)/n$  is most likely.

#### 1.33

Solution.

$$P(\text{male}|\text{color-blind}) = P(\text{color-blind}|\text{male}) \frac{P(\text{male})}{P(\text{color-blind})}$$
$$= 0.05 \times \frac{0.5}{0.5 \times 0.05 + 0.5 \times 0.0025}$$
$$= \frac{20}{21} = 0.9524.$$

#### 1.36

Solution. The probabilities of all shots being missed and the target being hit exactly once are  $(4/5)^5 = 0.32768$  and  $5 \times (1/5)(4/5)^4 = 0.4096$  respectively. Hence,

$$P(\text{being hit at least twice}) = 1 - 0.32768 - 0.4096 = 0.26272.$$

And

$$P(\text{being hit at least twice}|\text{being hit at least once})$$

$$= \frac{P(\text{being hit at least twice})}{P(\text{being hit at least once})} = \frac{0.26272}{0.4096} = 0.6414.$$

1.39(a)

*Proof.* A and B are mutually exclusive means that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $P(A \cap B) = 0$ . However, P(A), P(B) > 0. Therefore,  $P(A \cap B) \neq P(A)P(B)$ .

1.39(b)

*Proof.* As A and B are independent,  $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) > 0$ , which implies that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .

**Notes on 1.39** An intuitive proof: Since A and B are mutually exclusive, if we know that A did not happen, then the possibility that B happened will increase. Hence, they are not independent.

#### 1.52

*Proof.* Clear that  $g(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x_0)} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - F(x_0)} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{x_0} f(x) dx \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - F(x_0)} (1 - F(x_0)) = 1.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.6.5, g(x) is a pdf.