# Convex Optimization

## Yunwei Ren

## Contents

2 Convex Sets 2

### 2 Convex Sets

#### 2.1

*Proof.* For k = 2,  $\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \in C$  holds by definition. We argue by induction on k and assume that the inclusion holds for k < m. When k = m, denoting  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \theta_i$  by s,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i x_i = s \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\theta_i x_i}{s} + \theta_m x_m.$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \theta_i/s = 1$ , by the induction hypothesis,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \theta_i x_i/s \in C$ . Meanwhile, as  $s + \theta_m = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i x_i \in C$ , completing the proof.

#### 2.2

*Proof.* Clear that the intersection of two convex sets is still convex. Hence, the intersection of  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and any line is convex as long as C is convex.

Now we suppose that the intersection of C and any line is convex. For any  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ ,  $C_l = C \cap \{\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is convex and therefore  $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C_l \subset C$  for every  $0 \le \theta \le 1$ . Thus, C is convex.

#### 2.8

Proof.

(a) It is trivial when  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are linearly dependent, so we assume that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are linearly independent. We first tackle the problem for orthonormal  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and then reduce the general situation to it.

Suppose that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are orthonormal. Let  $S_0 = \operatorname{span}(a_1, a_2)$  and  $(b_1, \ldots, b_{n-2})$  a basis of  $S_0^{\perp}$ . Then

$$x \in S_0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_1^T \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-2}^T \end{bmatrix} x = Bx = 0.$$

For  $y = y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 \in S_0$ ,  $y_1 \le 1$  iff  $a_1^T y \le 1$  as  $(a_1, a_2)$  is an orthonormal basis of  $S_0$ . Hence,

$$-1 \le y_1, y_2 \le 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1^T \\ a_2^T \\ -a_1^T \\ -a_2^T \end{bmatrix} y = Ay \le \mathbf{1}.$$

Thus, for orthonormal  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ,  $S = \{x : Bx = 0, Ax \leq 1\}$ , a polyhedron.

Now we only assume the liner independence of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . We know that there exists some invertible n-by-n matrix  $a_1$  such that  $[\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2] = R[a_1, a_2]$  and  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are orthonormal. Denoting the set described in the problem with respect to  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  by  $a_1$  by  $a_2$  by  $a_3$  by  $a_4$  by  $a_4$ 

$$S(a_1, a_2) = \{x : \tilde{B}Rx = 0, \tilde{A}Rx \leq 1\}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We can use QR factorization to construct the matrix explicitly

- (b) Yes, and the provided form has already satisfied the requirement.
- (c) No. Note that  $\langle x,y\rangle_2 \leq 1$  for all y with 2-norm 1 implies

$$||x||_2 = \langle x, x/||x|| \rangle_2 \le 1.$$

And by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for every  $||x|| \le 1$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle_2$  holds for every  $||y||_2 = 1$ . Hence, S is the intersection of the unit ball and  $\{x : x \succeq 0\}$ , which is not a polyhedron.

(d) Yes. Let  $\tilde{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \succeq 0, ||x||_{\infty} \leq 1\}$ , which is clearly a polyhedron since when  $x \succeq 0, ||x||_{\infty} \leq 1$  is equivalent to  $[e_1, \ldots, e_n]x \preceq 1$  where  $e_i$  is the *i*-th vector in the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now we show that  $S = \tilde{S}$ . Suppose that  $x \succeq 0$ . If  $\langle x, y \rangle_2 \leq 1$  for all y with 1-norm 1, then  $x_i = \langle x, e_i \rangle_2 \leq 1$ . Namely,  $||x||_{\infty} \leq 1$ . Meanwhile, if  $||x||_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,

$$\langle x, y \rangle \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i |y_i| \le 1$$

as it is just the weighted average of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Hence,  $S = \tilde{S}$ , completing the proof.  $\square$ 

#### 2.9

Proof.

(a) By the definition,

$$x \in V \Leftrightarrow \|x - x_0\|_2^2 - \|x - x_i\|_2^2 \leq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2\langle x, x_i - x_0 \rangle \leq \langle x_i, x_i \rangle - \langle x_0, x_0 \rangle \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, K$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2 \begin{bmatrix} \langle x, x_1 - x_0 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x, x_K - x_0 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \preceq \begin{bmatrix} \|x_1\|_2^2 - \|x_0\|_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ \|x_K\|_2^2 - \|x_0\|_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2 \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 - x_0)^T \\ \vdots \\ (x_K - x_0)^T \end{bmatrix} x \preceq \begin{bmatrix} \|x_1\|_2^2 - \|x_0\|_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ \|x_K\|_2^2 - \|x_0\|_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, V is a polyhedron. Intuitively, the border of a Voronoi set are the lines with the same distances to  $x_0$  and  $x_i$ .

(b) Suppose that  $P = \{x : \alpha_k^T x \leq b_k, k = 1, ..., K\}$ . Let  $x_0$  be any point of P and we construct the other points by reflection. For each k, let  $\tilde{x}_k$  be any point of  $\{x : \alpha_k^T x = b_k\}$ ,  $U_k = I - 2\alpha_k \alpha_k^T / \|\alpha_k\|_2^2$ , the Householder matrix, and

$$R_k(x) = U_k(x - \tilde{x}_k) + \tilde{x}_k = x + 2\frac{\alpha_k}{\|\alpha_k\|_2^2}(b_k - \alpha_k^T x).$$

It is easy to verified that P is the Voronoi region of  $x_0$  with respect to  $R_1(x_0), \ldots, R_K(x_0)$ .

#### 2.16

*Proof.* For every  $(a, b_1 + b_2), (c, d_1 + d_2) \in S$  and  $0 \le \theta \le 1$ , let

$$z_{\theta} = \theta(a, b_1 + b_2) + (1 - \theta)(c, d_1 + d_2) = (x, y_1 + y_2)$$

where

$$x = \theta a + (1 - \theta)c$$
,  $y_i = \theta b_i + (1 - \theta)d_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Since  $S_i$  is convex and  $(a, b_i), (c, d_i) \in S_i$ ,

$$(x, y_i) = \theta(a, b_i) + (1 - \theta)(c, d_i) \in S_i.$$

Hence, S is convex.