

## WORK DELEGATION:

CHRIS CHEN: UML elementary design, window display, graphic display frameworks with implementation, interface and header files elementary design.

YUFENG LI: Block section implementation, level section partially implementation, UML redesign, difficulty section implementation, interface redesign.

TINGDA DU: Redesign for the implementation's pattern, extraneous features design, level section partially implementation, score section design, interface redesign.

The completion date (expected schedule)	
July 15th	Complete .h files, UML, partially implementation for the framework.
July 17th	Complete block section totally and fix the final framework
July 19th	Complete most of difficulty, level and score section
July 21th	Plan, meet, and implement extraneous features
July 23th	Debugging, testing, and adjust the display and GUI
July 24th	Finalize & final documentation and prepare to submit
July 25th	Complete everything and submit, done!

# **CS 246 Assignment 5 Project Questions**

## Question 1

How could you design your system (or modify your existing design) to allow for some generated blocks to disappear from the screen if not cleared before 10 more blocks have fallen? Could the generation of such blocks be easily confined to more advanced levels?

#### Answer:

As seen in the UML diagram, each level of difficulty is an instance of the Difficulty decorator abstract superclass, and has its own drop() method. Hence, in order to allow for some generated blocks to disappear from the screen when less than 10 blocks have fallen for a particular level of difficulty, a solution would be to pass an instance of the Grid into the decorator associated with that level of difficulty. Then, in the drop() method of the selected level of difficulty, the decorator could modify the provided instance of the Grid, thus allowing such a rule to be easily added and confined to certain levels of difficulty.

## **Question 2**

How could you design your program to accommodate the possibility of introducing additional levels into the system, with minimum recompilation?

#### Answer:

As observed in the UML class diagram, the Difficulty abstract superclass serves as a decorator for a Block as it specifies how an action such as rotation, dropping or moving affects an existing Block. Moreover, Difficulty has a concrete implement for each level of difficulty. Hence, the introduction of an additional level into the system could be accomplished by the adding of a new subclass for the Difficulty abstract class. Subsequently, in the BlockHolder class, the newly-added level may be integrated into the game by adding a new entry to the difficulties array.

By doing so, recompilation is limited to a minimum when a new difficulty level is added into the game.

#### **Question 3**

How could you design your system to accommodate the addition of new command names, or changes to existing command names, with minimal changes to source and minimal recompilation? (We acknowledge, of course, that adding a new command probably means adding a new feature, which can mean adding a non-trivial amount of code.) How difficult would it be to adapt your system to support a command whereby a user could rename existing commands (e.g. something like rename counterclockwise cc)? How might you support a "macro" language, which would allow you to give a name to a sequence of commands? Keep in mind the effect that all of these features would have on the available shortcuts for existing command names.

#### Answer:

In the main.cc of the project, for each command, there is to be a global vector containing the aliases of each command. As an example, aliases of the command "rotate" may be stored in vector<string> rotateAliases. Moreover, there is to be a command interpreter function, say interpret(string cmd) that interprets a given command.

Thus, whenever a command is entered, the interpret function will be invoked directly on the entered command. Afterwards, the interpret function would search through each of the alias vectors, and once a vector is found to contain the entered command as its member, the appropriate function associated with the command would be invoked.

Thus, in order to include a new feature, the necessary modifications would first have to be made to one or more of the object classes illustrated in the UML class diagram. Subsequently, in the main.cc of the project, a new alias vector would be created containing the aliases of the command associated with the new feature, and the interpret function would be appropriately altered to accommodate detecting an alias of the command associated with the new feature.

The advantage of such a system is that adding a new alias to an existing command amounts to simply adding an entry to the appropriate vector containing aliases for a command.

To support a "macro" language where name is given to a sequence of commands, main.cc would also contain a global variable that is an unordered\_map (i.e. hash map) that maps each defined macro to a sequence of commands separated by spaces. Thus, to invoked a command defined by a macro, the interpret function would query the hash map to obtain the sequence of space-separated commands associated with that particular macro-defined command. Subsequently, the interpret function would recursively invoke itself on each of the space-separated commands that defines the macro. Likewise, adding a new macro amounts to adding a new key-value mapping to the hash map, and thus required minimal recompilation and may be done at runtime as macros do not add any new gameplay feature.

— **=**