COMP6771 Advanced C++ Programming

Week 5.2

Smart Pointers

In this lecture

Why?

 Managing unnamed / heap memory can be dangerous, as there is always the chance that the resource is not released / free'd properly. We need solutions to help with this.

What?

- Smart pointers
- Unique pointer, shared pointer
- Partial construction

Recap: RAII - Making unnamed objects safe

Don't use the new / delete keyword in your own code

We are showing for demonstration purposes

```
1 // myintpointer.h
                                                  1 // myintpointer.cpp
                                                   2 #include "myintpointer.h"
   class MyIntPointer {
                                                   4 MyIntPointer::MyIntPointer(int* value): value {value} {}
    public:
     // This is the constructor
     MyIntPointer(int* value);
                                                  6 int* MyIntPointer::GetValue() {
                                                       return value
     // This is the destructor
                                                  8 }
8
     ~MyIntPointer();
                                                  10 MyIntPointer::~MyIntPointer() {
10
    int* GetValue();
11
                                                  11
12
                                                       delete value;
    private:
                                                 13 }
     int* value ;
15 };
```

```
void fn() {
// Similar to C's malloc

MyIntPointer p{new int{5}};

// Copy the pointer;

MyIntPointer q{p.GetValue()};

// p and q are both now destructed.

// What happens?
```

demo551-safepointer.cpp

Smart Pointers

- Ways of wrapping unnamed (i.e. raw pointer) heap objects in named stack objects so that object lifetimes can be managed much easier
- Introduced in C++11
- Usually two ways of approaching problems:
 - unique_ptr + raw pointers ("observers")
 - shared_ptr + weak_ptr/raw pointers

Туре	Shared ownership	Take ownership
std::unique_ptr <t></t>	No	Yes
raw pointers	No	No
std::shared_ptr <t></t>	Yes	Yes
std::weak_ptr <t></t>	No	No

Unique pointer

- std::unique_pointer<T>
 - The unique pointer owns the object
 - When the unique pointer is destructed, the underlying object is too
- raw pointer (observer)
 - Unique Ptr may have many observers
 - This is an appropriate use of raw pointers (or references) in C++
 - Once the original pointer is destructed, you must ensure you don't access the raw pointers (no checks exist)
 - These observers do not have ownership of the pointer

Also note the use of 'nullptr' in C++ instead of NULL

Unique pointer: Usage

```
1 #include <memory>
 2 #include <iostream>
  int main() {
     auto up1 = std::unique_ptr<int>{new int};
 5
     auto up2 = up1; // no copy constructor
     std::unique ptr<int> up3;
     up3 = up2; // no copy assignment
 9
     up3.reset(up1.release()); // OK
10
     auto up4 = std::move(up3); // OK
11
     std::cout << up4.get() << "\n";</pre>
12
     std::cout << *up4 << "\n";</pre>
13
     std::cout << *up1 << "\n";</pre>
14
15 }
```

demo552-unique1.cpp

Observer Ptr: Usage

```
1 #include <memory>
2 #include <iostream>
3
4 int main() {
5    auto up1 = std::unique_ptr<int>{new int{0}};
6    *up1 = 5;
7    std::cout << *up1 << "\n";
8    auto op1 = up1.get();
9    *op1 = 6;
10    std::cout << *op1 << "\n";
11    up1.reset();
12    std::cout << *op1 << "\n";
13 }</pre>
```

demo553-observer.cpp

Can we remove "new" completely?

Unique Ptr Operators

This method avoids the need for "new". It has other benefits that we will explore.

```
1 #include <iostream>
 2 #include <memory>
 3
   auto main() -> int {
           // 1 - Worst - you can accidentally own the resource multiple
 8
 9
10
           // 2 - Not good - requires actual thinking about whether there's a leak.
11
12
           auto up2 = std::unique ptr<std::string>(new std::string("Hello"));
13
           // 3 - Good - no thinking required.
14
           auto up3 = std::make unique<std::string>("Hello");
15
16
17
           std::cout << *up2 << "\n";
           std::cout << *up3 << "\n";
18
           // std::cout << *(up3.get()) << "\n";
19
20
21 }
```

demo554-unique2.cpp

- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/37514509/advantages-of-using-stdmake-unique-over-new-operator
- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/20895648/difference-in-make-shared-and-normal-shared-ptr-in-c

Shared pointer

- std::shared_pointer<T>
- Several shared pointers share ownership of the object
 - A reference counted pointer
 - When a shared pointer is destructed, if it is the only shared pointer
 left pointing at the object, then the object is destroyed
 - May also have many observers
 - Just because the pointer has shared ownership doesn't mean the observers should get ownership too - don't mindlessly copy it
- std::weak_ptr<T>
 - Weak pointers are used with share pointers when:
 - You don't want to add to the reference count
 - You want to be able to check if the underlying data is still valid before using it.

Shared pointer: Usage

```
1 #include <iostream>
 2 #include <memory>
   auto main() -> int {
            auto x = std::make shared<int>(5);
            std::cout << "use count: " << x.use count() << "\n";</pre>
 6
            std::cout << "value: " << *x << "\n";</pre>
            x.reset(); // Memory still exists, due to y.
 8
            std::cout << "use count: " << y.use count() << "\n";</pre>
            std::cout << "value: " << *y << "\n";</pre>
10
            y.reset(); // Deletes the memory, since
11
           // no one else owns the memory
12
            std::cout << "use count: " << x.use count() << "\n";</pre>
13
            std::cout << "value: " << *y << "\n";</pre>
14
15 }
```

demo555-shared.cpp

Can we remove "new" completely?

Weak Pointer: Usage

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>

auto main() -> int {
    auto x = std::make_shared<int>(1);

auto wp = std::weak_ptr<int>(x); // x owns the memory

auto y = wp.lock();

if (y != nullptr) { // x and y own the memory

// Do something with y

std::cout << "Attempt 1: " << *y << '\n';
}

}
</pre>
```

demo556-weak.cpp

When to use which type

- Unique pointer vs shared pointer
 - You almost always want a unique pointer over a shared pointer
 - Use a shared pointer if either:
 - An object has multiple owners, and you don't know which one will stay around the longest
 - You need temporary ownership (outside scope of this course)
 - This is very rare

Smart pointer examples

- Linked list
- Doubly linked list
- Tree
- DAG (mutable and non-mutable)
- Graph (mutable and non-mutable)
- Twitter feed with multiple sections (eg. my posts, popular posts)

"Leak freedom in C++" poster

Strategy	Natural examples	Cost	Rough frequency	
1. Prefer scoped lifetime by default (locals, members)	Local and member objects – directly owned	Zero: Tied directly to another lifetime	O(80%) of objects	
2. Else prefer make_unique & unique_ptr or a container, if the object must have its own lifetime (i.e., heap) and ownership can be unique w/o owning cycles	Implementations of trees, lists	Same as new/delete & malloc/free Automates simple heap use in a library	O(20%)	
3. Else prefer make_shared & shared_ptr, if the object must have its own lifetime (i.e., heap) and shared ownership w/o owning cycles	Node-based DAGs, incl. trees that share out references	Same as manual reference counting (RC) Automates shared object use in a library	of objects	

Don't use owning raw *'s == don't use explicit delete

Don't create ownership cycles across modules by owning "upward" (violates layering)

Use weak_ptr to break cycles

Stack unwinding

- Stack unwinding is the process of exiting the stack frames until we find an exception handler for the function
- This calls any destructors on the way out
 - Any resources not managed by destructors won't get freed up
 - If an exception is thrown during stack unwinding, std::terminate is called

Not safe

Not safe

Safe

```
void g() {
throw std::runtime_error{""};
}

int main() {
auto ptr = new int{5};
g();
// Never executed.
delete ptr;
}
```

```
void g() {
throw std::runtime_error{""};
}

int main() {
  auto ptr = new int{5};
  g();
  auto uni = std::unique_ptr<int>(ptr);
}
```

```
1 void g() {
2    throw std::runtime_error{""};
3 }
4 
5 int main() {
6    auto ptr = std::make_unique<int>(5);
7    g();
8 }
```

Exceptions & Destructors

- During stack unwinding, std::terminate() will be called if an exception leaves a destructor
- The resources may not be released properly if an exception leaves a destructor
- All exceptions that occur inside a destructor should be handled inside the destructor
- Destructors usually don't throw, and need to explicitly opt in to throwing
 - STL types don't do that

Partial construction

Spot the bug

- What happens if an exception is thrown halfway through a constructor?
 - The C++ standard: "An object that is partially constructed or partially destroyed will have destructors executed for all of its fully constructed subobjects"
 - A destructor is not called for an object that was partially constructed
 - Except for an exception thrown in a constructor that delegates (why?)

```
1 #include <exception>
 3 class my int {
   public:
      my int(int const i) : i {i} {
         if (i == 2) {
            throw std::exception();
   private:
      int i ;
13
14 class unsafe class {
15 public:
      unsafe class(int a, int b)
      : a {new my_int{a}}
      , b_{new my_int{b}}
19
20
     ~unsafe class() {
       delete a ;
23
       delete b ;
24
25 private:
      my int* a ;
      my int* b ;
28 };
29
30 int main() {
     auto a = unsafe class(1, 2);
32 }
```

Partial construction: Solution

- Option 1: Try / catch in the constructor
 - Very messy, but works (if you get it right...)
 - Doesn't work with initialiser lists (needs to be in the body)
- Option 2:
 - An object managing a resource should initialise the resource last
 - The resource is only initialised when the whole object is
 - Consequence: An object can only manage one resource
 - If you want to manage multiple resources, instead manage several wrappers, which each manage one resource

```
1 #include <exception>
 2 #include <memory>
   class my int {
   public:
      my int(int const i)
      : i {i} {
         if (i == 2) {
             throw std::exception();
10
12 private:
      int i ;
14 };
15
16 class safe class {
17 public:
      safe class(int a, int b)
      : a (std::make unique<my int>(a))
      , b (std::make unique<my int>(b))
20
21
22 private:
      std::unique ptr<my int> a ;
      std::unique ptr<my int> b ;
24
25 };
26
27 \text{ int main}() 
     auto a = safe class(1, 2);
29 }
```

demo558-partial1.cpp

Feedback

