
\leftarrow



Estimating $P(y^{<1>}, y^{<2>}, \dots, y^{< t-1>})$

- Estimating $P(y^{< t>})$
- Estimating $P(y^{< t>} \mid y^{< 1>}, y^{< 2>}, \dots, y^{< t-1>})$


sentences, as follows:

point

point

- Estimating $P(y^{< t>} \mid y^{< 1>}, y^{< 2>}, \ldots, y^{< t>})$

You have finished training a language model RNN and are using it to sample random



(i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to pick the highest probability word for that time-step as $\hat{y}^{< t>}$. (ii) Then pass the ground-truth word from the

- training set to the next time-step. (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to randomly sample a chosen word for that time-step as $\hat{y}^{< t>}$. (ii) Then pass the ground-truth word from the
- training set to the next time-step. (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to pick the highest probability word for that time-step as $\hat{y}^{< t>}$. (ii) Then pass this selected word to the next time-
- step. (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to randomly sample a chosen word for that time-step as $\hat{y}^{< t>}$. (ii) Then pass this selected word to the next time-

You are training an RNN, and find that your weights and activations are all taking on the

value of NaN ("Not a Number"). Which of these is the most likely cause of this problem?

- Vanishing gradient problem. Exploding gradient problem.
 - ReLU activation function g(.) used to compute g(z), where z is too large.

step.

- Sigmoid activation function g(.) used to compute g(z), where z is too large.
- 7. Suppose you are training a LSTM. You have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are using an LSTM with 100-dimensional activations $a^{< t>}$. What is the dimension of Γ_u at each time point step?
 - 100 300
 - 10000

8.

point

point

point

 $\tilde{c}^{< t>} = \tanh(W_c[\Gamma_r * c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_c)$

Here're the update equations for the GRU.

GRU

 $\Gamma_u = \sigma(W_u[c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_u)$

 $\Gamma_r = \sigma(W_r[c^{<t-1>}, x^{<t>}] + b_r)$ $c^{< t>} = \Gamma_u * \tilde{c}^{< t>} + (1 - \Gamma_u) * c^{< t-1>}$ $a^{<t>} = c^{<t>}$ Alice proposes to simplify the GRU by always removing the Γ_u . I.e., setting Γ_u = 1. Betty proposes to simplify the GRU by removing the Γ_r . I. e., setting Γ_r = 1 always. Which of these models is more likely to work without vanishing gradient problems even when trained on very long input sequences? Alice's model (removing Γ_u), because if $\Gamma_rpprox 0$ for a timestep, the gradient can

propagate back through that timestep without much decay. Alice's model (removing Γ_u), because if $\Gamma_r pprox 1$ for a timestep, the gradient can

propagate back through that timestep without much decay.

Betty's model (removing Γ_r), because if $\Gamma_u pprox 0$ for a timestep, the gradient can propagate back through that timestep without much decay.

Betty's model (removing Γ_r), because if $\Gamma_u pprox 1$ for a timestep, the gradient

LSTM

 $\tilde{c}^{< t>} = \tanh(W_c[a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_c)$

- can propagate back through that timestep without much decay.
- $\Gamma_u = \sigma(W_u[c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_u)$ $\Gamma_u = \sigma(W_u[a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_u)$ $\Gamma_r = \sigma(W_r[c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_r)$ $\Gamma_f = \sigma(W_f[a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_f)$ $c^{<t>} = \Gamma_u * \tilde{c}^{<t>} + (1 - \Gamma_u) * c^{<t-1>}$ $\Gamma_o = \sigma(W_o[a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_o)$ $c^{< t>} = \Gamma_u * \tilde{c}^{< t>} + \Gamma_f * c^{< t-1>}$ $a^{<t>} = c^{<t>}$

Here are the equations for the GRU and the LSTM:

 $\tilde{c}^{< t>} = \tanh(W_c[\Gamma_r * c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_c)$

GRU

 $a^{< t>} = \Gamma_o * c^{< t>}$ From these, we can see that the Update Gate and Forget Gate in the LSTM play a role similar to _____ and ____ in the GRU. What should go in the the blanks?

 \bigcap $1-\Gamma_u$ and Γ_u

 Γ_u and Γ_r

 Γ_u and $1-\Gamma_u$

- 10. You have a pet dog whose mood is heavily dependent on the current and past few days' weather. You've collected data for the past 365 days on the weather, which you represent as a sequence as $x^{<1>},\dots,x^{<365>}$. You've also collected data on your dog's mood, which you represent as $y^{<1>},\ldots,y^{<365>}$. You'd like to build a model to map from
- x
 ightarrow y. Should you use a Unidirectional RNN or Bidirectional RNN for this problem? Bidirectional RNN, because this allows the prediction of mood on day t to take into account more information. Bidirectional RNN, because this allows backpropagation to compute more accurate gradients.
 - Unidirectional RNN, because the value of $y^{< t>}$ depends only on

 $x^{<1>},\ldots,x^{<t>}$, but not on $x^{< t+1>},\ldots,x^{<365>}$

- Unidirectional RNN, because the value of $y^{< t>}$ depends only on $x^{< t>}$, and not other days' weather.

I, Xiaolong Huang, understand that submitting work that isn't my own may result in permanent failure of this course or deactivation of my Coursera account. Learn more about Coursera's Honor Code

Submit Quiz