Bound Entanglement

Arne Hansen

ETH Zurich

02/2014

Entanglement



... peculiar,
non-classical correlation arising from the tensor product structure in combined quantum systems

Bound Entanglement

Bound Entanglement

non-distillable entanglement

Bound Entanglement

Bound Entanglement

non-distillable entanglement

Existence Explicite example of a bound entangled state

Bound Entanglement

Bound Entanglement

non-distillable entanglement

Existence Explicite example of a bound entangled state

Use Why should we be concerned about bound entanglement?

Bound Entanglement

Bound Entanglement

non-distillable entanglement

Existence Explicite example of a bound entangled state

Use Why should we be concerned about bound entanglement?

Detection How do we decide for a given state whether it is bound entangled?

The PPT Criterion
(Peres Criterion)

Definition

The partial transpose of a density matrix $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$ is defined as

$$\rho^{\Gamma} := (\mathcal{I}_A \otimes T_B)\rho \tag{1}$$

The PPT Criterion

(Peres Criterion)

Definition

The partial transpose of a density matrix $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$ is defined as

$$\rho^\Gamma := (\mathcal{I}_A \otimes T_B)\rho \tag{1}$$

Matrix elements in the tensor basis

In the product basis

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\rho^\Gamma\right)_{ikjl} &= \langle i \otimes k|\ \rho^\Gamma\ |j \otimes l\rangle \quad (2)\\ &= \langle i \otimes l|\ \rho\ |j \otimes k\rangle = \rho_{iljk} \quad (3)\end{aligned}$$

The PPT Criterion

(Peres Criterion)



The PPT Criterion

(Peres Criterion)



Entanglement criterion

$$\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \;\Rightarrow\; \rho_{AB} \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

The PPT Criterion

(Peres Criterion)



Entanglement criterion

$$\rho_{AB} \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \;\Rightarrow\; \rho_{AB} \in \Gamma \quad (1)$$

The PPT Criterion
(Peres Criterion)



Entanglement criterion

$$\rho_{AB} \notin \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; \rho_{AB} \text{ is entangled} \tag{1}$$

The PPT Criterion

(Peres Criterion)



Entanglement criterion

$$\rho_{AB} \notin \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; \rho_{AB} \text{ is entangled} \tag{1}$$

Accuracy

The criterion is accurate in $2 \otimes 2$ and $2 \otimes 3$. But not in higher dimensions.[HHH96]

The PPT Criterion

(Peres Criterion)



Entanglement criterion

$$\rho_{AB} \notin \Gamma \;\Rightarrow\; \rho_{AB} \text{ is entangled} \tag{1}$$

Accuracy

The criterion is accurate in $2 \otimes 2$ and $2 \otimes 3$. But not in higher dimensions.[HHH96]

Group of Entanglement Tests

Any Positive but not Completely Positive Map ('channel') serves as a similar entanglement test. [HHH96]

The Range Criterion [Hor97]

Decomposition of separable states

$$\forall\ \rho \in \mathcal{S}\ :$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} \sum_{j=1}^{n_B} p_{ij}\ |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i| \otimes |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| \\ &|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A,\ |\psi_j\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B \\ &n_a < \dim \mathcal{H}_A,\ n_B < \dim \mathcal{H}_B \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The Range Criterion [Hor97]

Decomposition of separable states

$$\forall\ \rho \in \mathcal{S}\ :$$

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} \sum_{j=1}^{n_B} p_{ij}\ |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i| \otimes |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| \tag{2}$$

$$|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A,\ |\psi_j\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$$

$$n_a < \dim \mathcal{H}_A,\ n_B < \dim \mathcal{H}_B$$

$\rightarrow$ features of convex sets, Caratheodories Thm [Car11]

The Range Criterion [Hor97]

Decomposition of separable states

$$\forall\ \rho \in \mathcal{S}\ :$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} \sum_{j=1}^{n_B} p_{ij}\ |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i| \otimes |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| \\ &|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A,\ |\psi_j\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B \\ &n_a < \dim \mathcal{H}_A,\ n_B < \dim \mathcal{H}_B \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The range of an operator

$$\mathrm{Ran}\,\rho := \{|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}, \exists\ |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}\ :\ |\psi\rangle = \rho|\phi\rangle\} \tag{3}$$

The Range Criterion [Hor97]

The range of separable density matrices

Any separable density matrix $\rho \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be written as a convex combination of pure products states

$$\rho = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \; |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \otimes |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j| = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \; |\psi_i \otimes \phi_j\rangle\langle\psi_i \otimes \phi_j|$$

$$\rho^\Gamma = (\mathcal{I} \otimes T)\rho = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} \; |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| \otimes \underbrace{|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|^T}_{|\phi_j^*\rangle\langle\phi_j^*|}$$

$$= \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \; |\psi_i \otimes \phi_j^*\rangle\langle\psi_i \otimes \phi_j^*|$$

and the set of product states $\{|\psi_i \otimes \phi_j\rangle\}_{ij}$ ($\left\{|\psi_i \otimes \phi_j^*\rangle\right\}_{ij}$) span the range of ρ (ρ^Γ)

The Range Criterion [Hor97]

Entanglement test

- find product vectors that span the range of ρ
- show that these vectors do not span the range of ρ^{Γ}

The first PPT entangled state[Hor97]

$$\rho_a = \frac{1}{8a+1}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1+a}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2} & 0 & \frac{1+a}{2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad a \in (0,1)$$

The first PPT entangled state[Hor97]

$$\rho_a = \frac{1}{8a+1}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1+a}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2} & 0 & \frac{1+a}{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad a \in (0,1)$$

The first PPT entangled state[Hor97]

$$\rho_a = \frac{1}{8a+1}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1+a}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{2} & 0 & \frac{1+a}{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad a \in (0,1)$$

Properties of ρ_a

- PPT
- ρ_a and ρ_a^Γ violate the range criterion

PPT and Distillability

Definition

A density matrix ρ is called distillable if

$$\exists \text{ LOCC} \quad \Lambda : \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2) \quad \text{s.t. :}$$
$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = |\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+| \quad \text{for some large } n$$

PPT and Distillability

Definition

A density matrix ρ is called distillable if

$$\begin{aligned} \exists\ \text{LOCC} \quad & \Lambda : \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2) \quad \text{s.t. :} \\ & \Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = |\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+| \quad \text{for some large } n \end{aligned}$$

Distillation of PPT states

Cannot distill qubits from PPT density matrices ρ and $\rho^{\otimes N}$

PPT and Distillability

Definition

A density matrix ρ is called distillable if

$$\begin{aligned} \exists\ \text{LOCC} \quad & \Lambda : \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^2) \quad \text{s.t. :} \\ & \Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = |\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+| \quad \text{for some large } n \end{aligned}$$

Distillation of PPT states

Cannot distill qubits from PPT density matrices ρ and $\rho^{\otimes N}$

Non-distillable entanglement

Any PPT entangled state is not distillable.

Distillation of PPT states

Claim

PPT states are not distillable.

Distillation of PPT states

Distilling $\rho^{\otimes n}$

Assume ρ is distillable:

$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_i (A_i \otimes B_i)\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger) \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ i_0 \text{ s.t.: } \underbrace{(A_{i_0} \otimes B_{i_0})\rho^{\otimes n}(A_{i_0}^\dagger \otimes B_{i_0}^\dagger)}_{=:\rho_{i_0}} \quad \text{is entangled}$$

Distillation of PPT states

Distilling $\rho^{\otimes n}$

Assume ρ is distillable:

$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_i (A_i \otimes B_i)\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger) \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ i_0 \text{ s.t.: } \underbrace{(A_{i_0} \otimes B_{i_0})\rho^{\otimes n}(A_{i_0}^\dagger \otimes B_{i_0}^\dagger)}_{=:\rho_{i_0}} \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$A_{i_0} = |0\rangle\langle\psi_A| + |1\rangle\langle\phi_A| \quad B_{i_0} = |0\rangle\langle\psi_B| + |1\rangle\langle\phi_B|$$

$$P_A(P_B) := \text{ projector onto } \langle\psi_A, \phi_A\rangle(\langle\psi_B, \phi_B\rangle)$$

Distillation of PPT states

Distilling $\rho^{\otimes n}$

Assume ρ is distillable:

$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{M}\sum_i (A_i \otimes B_i)\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger) \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ i_0 \text{ s.t.: } \underbrace{(A_{i_0} \otimes B_{i_0})\rho^{\otimes n}(A_{i_0}^\dagger \otimes B_{i_0}^\dagger)}_{=:\rho_{i_0}} \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho' := P_A \otimes P_B\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ P_A \otimes P_B \quad \text{is entangled}$$

Distillation of PPT states

Distilling $\rho^{\otimes n}$

Assume ρ is distillable:

$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{M}\sum_i (A_i \otimes B_i)\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger) \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ i_0 \text{ s.t.: } \underbrace{(A_{i_0} \otimes B_{i_0})\rho^{\otimes n}(A_{i_0}^\dagger \otimes B_{i_0}^\dagger)}_{=:\rho_{i_0}} \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho' := P_A \otimes P_B\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ P_A \otimes P_B \quad \text{is NPT}$$

Distillation of PPT states

Distilling $\rho^{\otimes n}$

Assume ρ is distillable:

$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{M}\sum_i (A_i \otimes B_i)\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger) \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ i_0 \text{ s.t.: } \underbrace{(A_{i_0} \otimes B_{i_0})\rho^{\otimes n}(A_{i_0}^\dagger \otimes B_{i_0}^\dagger)}_{=:\rho_{i_0}} \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho' := P_A \otimes P_B\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ P_A \otimes P_B \quad \text{is NPT}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ \psi \text{ s.t.: } \quad \langle\psi|(\rho')^{\Gamma_B}|\psi\rangle < 0$$

Distillation of PPT states

Distilling $\rho^{\otimes n}$

Assume ρ is distillable:

$$\Lambda(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{M}\sum_i (A_i \otimes B_i)\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ (A_i^\dagger \otimes B_i^\dagger) \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ i_0 \text{ s.t.: } \underbrace{(A_{i_0} \otimes B_{i_0})\rho^{\otimes n}(A_{i_0}^\dagger \otimes B_{i_0}^\dagger)}_{=:\rho_{i_0}} \quad \text{is entangled}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho' := P_A \otimes P_B\ \rho^{\otimes n}\ P_A \otimes P_B \quad \text{is NPT}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\ \psi \text{ s.t.: } \quad \langle\psi|(\rho')^{\Gamma_B}|\psi\rangle < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle\psi|\rho^{\Gamma_B}|\psi\rangle < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho \text{ is NPT}$$

Bound entanglement

a first summary

def entanglement that cannot be distilled

Bound entanglement

a first summary

def entanglement that cannot be distilled
$\rightarrow$ not a sufficient resource for many quantum informational protocols

Bound entanglement

a first summary

def entanglement that cannot be distilled
$\rightarrow$ not a sufficient resource for many quantum informational protocols

construction PPT entangled states (any PPT is not distillable)

Bound entanglement

a first summary

def entanglement that cannot be distilled
$\rightarrow$ not a sufficient resource for many quantum informational protocols

construction PPT entangled states (any PPT is not distillable)

existence only in higher dimensions (smallest BE systems in $3 \otimes 3$ and $4 \otimes 2$)

Bound entanglement

a first summary

def entanglement that cannot be distilled
$\rightarrow$ not a sufficient resource for many quantum informational protocols

construction PPT entangled states (any PPT is not distillable)

existence only in higher dimensions (smallest BE systems in $3 \otimes 3$ and $4 \otimes 2$)

detection need a stronger criterion than PPT, e.g. range criterion

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Secure key from BE

Bound entangled resources can be employed to distill secret key [HHHO05].[1]

[1] For further details see [HHHO09]

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Shielded System with an adversary

$$A \otimes B \otimes \underbrace{A' \otimes B'}_{\text{shield}} \otimes E \tag{4}$$

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Shielded System with an adversary

$$A \otimes B \otimes \underbrace{A' \otimes B'}_{\text{shield}} \otimes E \tag{4}$$

Assume: Eavesdropper Eve controles the whole environment (purification).

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Shielded System with an adversary

$$|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \in \mathcal{D}(A \otimes B \otimes \underbrace{A' \otimes B'}_{\text{shield}} \otimes E) \tag{4}$$

Assume: Eavesdropper Eve controles the whole environment (purification).

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Security and Key

After measuring in a product basis the systems A, B and tracing out A', B'

$$\rho_{ccq} = \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \, |e_i \otimes f_j\rangle\langle e_i \otimes f_j| \otimes \rho_{ij}^{E} \tag{4}$$

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Security and Key

$$\rho_{ccq} = \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \, |e_i \otimes f_j\rangle\langle e_i \otimes f_j| \otimes \rho^E \tag{4}$$

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Security and Key

$$\rho_{ccq} = \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \; |e_i \otimes f_j\rangle\langle e_i \otimes f_j| \otimes \rho^E \tag{4}$$

is secure and has key if $\{p_{ij}\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{d}\delta_{ij} \right\}$

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Private States

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{ij} |e_i f_i\rangle\langle e_j f_j|_{AB} \otimes U_i \sigma_{A'B'} U_j^\dagger \qquad (4)$$

where U_i's are arbitrary unitary transformations.

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Private States

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{ij} |e_i f_i\rangle\langle e_j f_j|_{AB} \otimes U_i \sigma_{A'B'} U_j^\dagger \tag{4}$$

where U_i's are arbitrary unitary transformations.

Key of private states

ρ is a private state $\Leftrightarrow$ ρ has key

Quantum Key Distribution

with bound entangled resources

Private States

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{ij} |e_i f_i\rangle\langle e_j f_j|_{AB} \otimes U_i \sigma_{A'B'} U_j^\dagger \tag{4}$$

where U_i's are arbitrary unitary transformations.

Key of private states

ρ is a private state $\Leftrightarrow$ ρ has key

Private states and BE

Private states can be approximated with BE states.

Entanglement Tests

PPT	all NPT states are entangled $\rightarrow$ does not detect BE
range	compare the range of ρ and ρ^Γ
extendibility	check extendibility of ρ [DPS05] (Semi definite program)

Further: ranges for randomly drawn states (concentration of measure) [ASY12a] [ASY12b]

Endeavour: Extending entanglement



Endeavour: Extending entanglement

Endeavour: Extending entanglement



Swap Operator on States



Swap Operator on States



Swap Operator on States



Swap Operator on States



Swap operation

$$\mathrm{swap}(\rho_A, \rho_B) = \frac{1}{N}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{BB'}\left[\;(|\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+|_{BB'} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{AC})\;(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)\;\right]$$

Composing Channels

corresponds to swapping CJ associated states



Composing Channels

corresponds to swapping CJ associated states



Composing Channels

corresponds to swapping CJ associated states



Composing Channels

corresponds to swapping CJ associated states



Composing Channels

corresponds to swapping CJ associated states



Composing Channels

corresponds to swapping CJ associated states



Swap in terms of channels

$$\tau^{-1}(\mathrm{swap}(\rho_1, \rho_2)) = \tau^{-1}(\rho_2) \circ \tau^{-1}(\rho_1)$$

(flipping systems or equivalently transposing channels if necessary, up to normalization)

Hybrid approach



Hybrid approach

Hybrid approach



Hybrid approach



Hybrid formulation

$$\mathrm{swap}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \left[\mathcal{I}_A \otimes \tau^{-1}(\rho_2)\right](\rho_1) = \left[\mathcal{I}_C \otimes \tau^{-1}(\rho_1)^T\right](\rho_2)$$

(flipping systems or equivalently transposing channels if necessary, up to normalization)

Swapped Bound Entanglement

Entanglement of swapped states from BE resources?

States: Image of the Swap operator

$$\mathrm{swap} : \textsf{BE states} \times \textsf{BE states} \to \mathcal{S}$$

OR

$$\mathrm{swap}(\textsf{BE states}, \textsf{BE states}) \cap \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$$

[1]Defined by their image: $\Lambda_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}) \subset \Gamma$

Swapped Bound Entanglement

Entanglement of swapped states from BE resources?

States: Image of the Swap operator

$$\mathrm{swap} : \text{BE states} \times \text{BE states} \to \mathcal{S}$$

OR

$$\mathrm{swap}(\text{BE states}, \text{BE states}) \cap \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$$

Composed Channels

If $\Lambda_1 : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(B)$ and $\Lambda_2 : \mathcal{D}(B) \to \mathcal{D}(C)$ are PPT channels[1], is $\Lambda_2 \circ \Lambda_1 : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{D}(C)$ separable?

[1] Defined by their image: $\Lambda_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}) \subset \Gamma$

Bibliography I

Guillaume Aubrun, Stanislaw J. Szarek, and Deping Ye.
Entanglement thresholds for random induced states.
2012.

Guillaume Aubrun, Stanisław J. Szarek, and Deping Ye.
Phase transitions for random states and a semicircle law for the partial transpose.
Phys. Rev. A, 85:030302, Mar 2012.

C. Carathéodory.
Über den variabilitätsbereich der fourier'schen konstanten von positiven harmonischen funktionen.
Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 32:193–217, 1911.

Bibliography II

Andrew C. Doherty, Pablo A. Parrilo, and Federico M. Spedalieri.
Detecting multipartite entanglement.
Phys. Rev. A, 71:032333, Mar 2005.

Michał Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, and Ryszard Horodecki.
Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions.
Physics Letters A, 223(1–2):1 – 8, 1996.

Karol Horodecki, Michał Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, and Jonathan Oppenheim.
Secure key from bound entanglement.
Phys. Rev. Lett., 94:160502, Apr 2005.

Bibliography III

K. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and J. Oppenheim.
General paradigm for distilling classical key from quantum states.
Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on, 55(4):1898 –1929, april 2009.

Pawel Horodecki.
Separability criterion and inseparable mixed states with positive partial transposition.
Physics Letters A, 232(5):333 – 339, 1997.