P4b: Grammar for MicroCaml AST

```
Expressions e := v | x | e bop e | not e
             | let [rec] ? x = e in e
             if e then e else e
             |e| e | fun x -> e
```

Why a λ ? It's just decoration, but it comes from the lambda calculus, which we will discuss in a few weeks

```
\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{n} \mid \mathbf{s} \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid (A, \lambda \mathbf{x}. \mathbf{e})
```

Mutop directives

```
d := def x = e;
 | e;;
```

CMSC 330 Spring 2021

Expressions: Values, Not, Variables, Ifs

A: e ⇒ true A: e ⇒ false $A; \mathbf{v} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ A; not e ⇒ false A; not e ⇒ true A; $e1 \Rightarrow v1$ A,x:v1; $e2 \Rightarrow v2$ A(x) = vDoesn't cover recursion – will $A: \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ A; let x = e1 in $e2 \Rightarrow v2$ discuss later A; $e1 \Rightarrow true$ A; $e2 \Rightarrow v$ A; if e1 then e2 else e3 \Rightarrow v A; $e1 \Rightarrow false A$; $e3 \Rightarrow v$ A; if e1 then e2 else e3 \Rightarrow v

Expressions: Binops

A;
$$e1 \Rightarrow v1$$
 A; $e2 \Rightarrow v2$ $v3$ is $v1$ bop $v2$ A; $e1$ bop $e2 \Rightarrow v3$

- Arithmetic bop operators +, -, /, * work on ints
 - > as do relational operators <, >, ...
- Operators =, != require both arguments to have the same type
 - > ... but only for ints, booleans, strings
 - Not supported on closures (A', λx.e). Non-support makes logical sense, but also prevents implementation problems involving infinite loops, due to the use of references, shown later.
- Logical operators &&, || work on booleans only
- Concatenation ^ works on strings only

Expressions: Closures

A; fun
$$x \rightarrow e \Rightarrow (A, \lambda x. e)$$

A; e1 \Rightarrow (A', $\lambda x. e$)

A; e2 \Rightarrow v1 A', $x:$ v1; e \Rightarrow v

A; e1 e2 \Rightarrow v

- Implements lexical/static scoping
 - Captures the environment when closure is created; uses that (and nothing else) when called

Mutop Directives (no rec)

A;
$$\mathbf{e} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$$

A; $\mathbf{def} \ \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}; ; \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{v}$

$$A; \mathbf{e} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$$

$$A; \mathbf{e} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}$$

$$A; \mathbf{e}; ; \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}; \mathbf{v}$$

No value to return

- Judgment A; d ⇒ A'; vopt
 - Returns updated environment, optional value

Let rec: Recursion

```
A; e1 \Rightarrow (A', \lambda x. e)

v1 = (A'\{v1/x\}, \lambda x. e)   A, x: v1; e2 \Rightarrow v2

A; let rec x = e1 in e2 \Rightarrow v2
```

- We evaluate e1 to a closure
 - If it's not a closure, it's the same as non-recursive let
- The second premise defines v1 via a recursive equation
 - v1 appears on the left and right-hand side of the =
- The solution is a fixed point: every occurrence of x in A' is v1, which can internally refer to itself

Implementing Recursion via References

```
r = \text{newref}(0)  A,x:r; e1 \Rightarrow v1

\text{update}(r,v1)  A,x:r; e2 \Rightarrow v2

A; \text{let rec } x = e1 \text{ in } e2 \Rightarrow v2
```

- We can implement the fixed point with OCaml references
 - Create a reference placeholder for x (use int 0 as a dummy val)
 - Extend A with that placeholder, and evaluate e1
 - Update the placeholder to the resulting value v1
- Once we do this, we can evaluate e2

We do something similar for recursive defs