



# ITRI613 Databases I

*Chapter 4 – Relational Algebra (Part A)*

# *Learning outcomes*

After engaging with the materials and activities in this study unit you should be able to:

- ❖ Use Linear algebra for constructing Queries and manipulate of a DBMS;
- ❖ Use the relational model which can rigorously define query languages that are simple and powerful.

# Relational Query Languages

- ❖ Query languages: Allow manipulation and **retrieval of data** from a database.
- ❖ Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
  - Strong formal foundation based on logic.
  - Allows for much optimization.
- ❖ Query Languages **!=** programming languages!
  - QLs not expected to be “Turing complete”.
  - QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
  - QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.

# *Formal Relational Query Languages*

- ❖ Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for “real” languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
  - Relational Algebra: More operational(procedural), very useful for representing execution plans.
  - Relational Calculus: Lets users describe what they want, rather than how to compute it. (Non-operational, declarative.)

# Preliminaries

- ❖ A query is applied to *relation instances*, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.
  - *Schemas of input* relations for a query are *fixed* (but query will run regardless of instance!)
  - The *schema for the result* of a given query is also *fixed*! Determined by definition of query language constructs.
- ❖ Positional vs. named-field notation:
  - Positional notation easier for formal definitions, named-field notation more readable.
  - Both used in SQL

# Example Instances

*R1*

<u>sid</u>	<u>bid</u>	<u>day</u>
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

*S1*

<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

*S2*

<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

- ❖ “Sailors” and “Reserves” relations for our examples. “bid” = boats. “sid”: sailors
- ❖ We’ll use positional or named field notation, assume that names of fields in query results are ‘inherited’ from names of fields in query input relations.

# Relational Algebra

## ❖ Basic operations:

- Selection ( $\sigma$ ) Selects a subset of rows from relation.
- Projection ( $\pi$ ) Deletes unwanted columns from relation.
- Cross-product ( $\times$ ) Allows us to combine two relations.
- Set-difference ( $-$ ) Tuples in reln. 1, but not in reln. 2.
- Union ( $\cup$ ) Tuples in reln. 1 and in reln. 2.

## ❖ Additional operations:

- Intersection, join, division, renaming: Not essential, but (very!) useful. (Part B)

## ❖ Since each operation returns a relation, **operations can be composed!** (Algebra is “closed”.)

# Projection

- ❖ Deletes attributes that are not in *projection list*.
- ❖ *Schema* of result contains exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the (only) input relation.
- ❖ Projection operator has to eliminate *duplicates*! (Why??, what are the consequences?)
  - Note: real systems typically don't do duplicate elimination unless the user explicitly asks for it. (Why not?)

sname	rating
yuppy	9
lubber	8
guppy	5
rusty	10

$\pi_{sname, rating}(S2)$

age
35.0
55.5

$\pi_{age}(S2)$



# Selection

- ❖ Selects rows that satisfy *selection condition*.
- ❖ *Schema* of result identical to schema of (only) input relation.
- ❖ *Result* relation can be the *input* for another relational algebra operation! (*Operator composition*.)

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

$$\sigma_{rating > 8}(S2)$$

sname	rating
yuppy	9
rusty	10

$$\pi_{sname, rating}(\sigma_{rating > 8}(S2))$$

# Union, Intersection, Set-Difference

- ❖ All of these operations take two input relations, which must be union-compatible:
  - Same number of fields.
  - ‘Corresponding’ fields have the same type.
- ❖ What is the *schema* of result?

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0
44	guppy	5	35.0
28	yuppy	9	35.0

$S1 \cup S2$

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0

$S1 - S2$

sid	sname	rating	age
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

$S1 \cap S2$

# Cross-Product

- ❖ Each row of S1 is paired with each row of R1.
- ❖ *Result schema* has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names 'inherited' if possible.
  - *Conflict*: Both S1 and R1 have a field called *sid*.

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96

- Renaming operator:  $\rho (C(1 \rightarrow sid1, 5 \rightarrow sid2), S1 \times R1)$

# *Summary*

- ❖ The relational model has rigorously defined query languages that are simple and powerful.
- ❖ Relational algebra is more operational; useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans.
- ❖ Several ways of expressing a given query; a query optimizer should choose the most efficient version.