**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from:

Browsing online results (n = 3)

Citation searching (n = 1)

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed (n = 67)

Records removed for other reasons:

Dissertation (n = 2)

Book or Book section (n = 3)

Records identified from\*:

PsycINFO (n = 24)

PubMed (n = 22)

Scopus (n = 31)

Web of Science (n = 33)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n = 38)

Records excluded\*\*

(n = 19)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 4)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 19)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

Methodological article (n = 1)

No taxometric (n = 1)

Reports excluded:

No taxometric (n = 4)

No neurodev (n = 3)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 4)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 19)

Studies included in review

(n = 14)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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