

Lab10 – Algorithms and Sorting and Time Complexity

Enrique Saracho Felix
100406980
CPSC 1150
28/07/2023

Exercise 1

Part A

Program SortComparisons

File name: SortComparisons.java

Purpose: Displays the average number of comparisons linear and binary search algorithms make to find an element in different sizes of lists.

Packages: java.util.Arrays

Input: No input needed.

Output: A table of strings with doubles representing the values of the average number of comparisons for each algorithm in various sizes of lists.

Pseudocode:

Algorithm *SortComparisons*
START

(main)

```
For size equal to 10, 100, 1,000, 10,000, and 100,000, {
    Set list as an array of integers = genArray(size)
    Sort list
    Set avgLnS as double = averageLinear(list)
    Set avgBS as double = averageBinary(list)
    Print size, avgLnS, avgBS
}
```

(genArray, parameter: size(integer))

```
Set arr as an array of integers of size size
For each value in arr
    value = random integer in range [0, size)
Return arr
```

(linearSearch, parameters: arr(array of integers), num(integer))

```
Set comp as integer
For each value in arr {
    comp += 1
}
```

```

        If value = num
            Return comp
    }
    Return comp

```

(**binarySearch**, parameters: **arr**(array of integers), **num**(integer))

```

    Set start as integer = 0
    Set end as integer = last element of arr
    return binarySearch(arr, num, start, end, 0)

```

(**binarySearch**, parameters: **arr**(array of integers), **num**(integer), **start**(integer), **end**(integer), **comp**(integer))

```

    If start > end
        return comp
    Set mid as integer = (start + end) / 2
    comp += 1
    If arr[mid] == num
        return comp
    else if arr[mid] < num
        return binarySearch(arr, num, mid + 1, end, comp)
    else
        Return binarySearch(arr, num, start, mid - 1, comp)

```

(**averageLinear**, parameter: **arr**(array of integers))

```

    Set sum as integer = 0
    For each value in arr {
        sum += linearSearch(arr, value)
    }
    Return sum / length of arr (as double)

```

(**averageBinary**, parameter: **arr**(array of integers))

```

    Set sum as integer = 0
    For each value in arr {
        sum += binarySearch(arr, value)
    }
    Return sum / length of arr (as double)

```

END *SortComparisons*

Test run(s):

```
$ java SortComparisons
```

n	Average Number of Comparisons	
	Linear Search	Binary Search
10	5.20	2.40
100	50.03	5.02
1000	500.00	8.14
10000	4999.99	11.54
100000	7050.33	14.85

Part B

The binary search algorithm on average needs less comparisons to find the solution than the linear algorithm.

Part C

Linear:

Comparisons $\approx n / 2$

Binary:

Average $\approx \ln(n)$

Part D

If I were to choose a search algorithm between the two, I would choose binary, because it's more efficient.

Exercise 2

Program MergeSort

File name: MergeSort.java

Purpose: Implements the merge sort algorithm.

Input: No input needed.

Output: In a string message: the elements of an array before the merge sort, and the elements after the merge sort.

Pseudocode:

Algorithm *MergeSort*

START

(main)

Set `list1` as array of integers of size 20

`printArray`("The array before merge sort: ", `list1`)

`mergeSort`(`list1`)

`printArray`("The array before merge sort: ", `list1`)

(`mergeSort`, parameter: `list`(array of integers))

If (length of `list` > 1) {

Set `mid` as integer = length of `list` / 2

Set `firstHalf` as array of integers of size `mid`

`copyArray(list, firstHalf, 0, mid)`

`mergeSort(firstHalf)`

Set `secondHalf` as array of integers of size (length of `list` – `mid`)

`copyArray(list, secondHalf, mid, length of list)`

`mergeSort(secondHalf)`

`merge(firstHalf, secondHalf, list)`

}

(`genArray`, parameter: `size`(integer))

Set `list` as array of integers of size `size`

For each `element` in `list`

`element` = random integer in range [0, 100)

Return `list`

(`printArray`, parameters: `header`(string), `arr`(array of integers))

Print `header`

For each `element` in `arr`

Print `element` + space

Print new line

(`copyArray`, parameters: `sourceLs`(array of integers), `destLs`(array of integers), `start`(integer), `end`(integer))

For each `index` from `start` to `end`(exclusive)

`destLs[index - start] = sourceLs[index]`

(`merge`, parameters: `firstHalf`(array of integers), `secondHalf`(array of integers), `list`(array of integers))

Set `i`, `j`, and `k` as integers = 0

For each `i` from 0 to length of `list` {

If `j` = length of `firstHalf` {

`list[i] = secondHalf[k]`

`k` += 1

Continue to next iteration

}

If `k` = length of `secondHalf` {

`list[i] = firstHalf[j]`

`j` += 1

Continue to next iteration

}

If `firstHalf[j]` < `secondHalf[k]` {

`list[i] = firstHalf[j]`

`j`++

} Else {

```
        list[ i ] = secondHalf[ k ]  
        k++  
    }  
}
```

END *MergeSort*

Test run(s):

```
$ java MergeSort  
The array before merge sort:  
15 70 76 12 9 24 21 56 26 78 28 39 39 7 94 27 63 80 38 8  
The array After merge sort  
7 8 9 12 15 21 24 26 27 28 38 39 39 56 63 70 76 78 80 94
```

```
$ java MergeSort  
The array before merge sort:  
66 99 26 88 7 41 98 96 0 64 57 59 94 83 2 55 26 58 2 27  
The array After merge sort  
0 2 2 7 26 26 27 41 55 57 58 59 64 66 83 88 94 96 98 99
```

```
$ java MergeSort  
The array before merge sort:  
32 7 62 31 87 22 58 22 88 44 85 89 94 37 53 68 44 54 33 61  
The array After merge sort  
7 22 22 31 32 33 37 44 44 53 54 58 61 62 68 85 87 88 89 94
```