Nonlinear Optimization

Maestría en Cómputo Estadístico

Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas A.C.



What is nonlinear optimization? I

- A nonlinear optimization problem is also referred to as a "nonlinear programming"
- It consists of the optimization of a function subject to constraints, such that any function can be nonlinear
- Linear optimization is a special case of nonlinear optimization



Quasi-Newton methods

Introduction

What is nonlinear optimization? II

- Nonlinear optimization models arise often in science and engineering
- Some remarkable examples:
 - Support vector machines
 - Neural network training
 - Shape optimization
 - Image reconstruction



Optimality Conditions I

Introduction

always guaranteed to find a global minimum

These algorithms terminate at a stationary point which usually is a

The nonlinear optimization methods that we will discuss are not

- These algorithms terminate at a stationary point which usually is at least a local minimum
- For unconstrained nonlinear optimization, there are two conditions that, when satisfied, imply that an optimal solution has been found



Optimality Conditions II

- A well-known result is that an objective function f defined and differentiable, a point \mathbf{x}^* is a local maximum or minimum if its gradient is zero
- The above is known as the first order optimality condition, and can be formally stated as:

First order optimality condition

Lef $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined on a set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{X}$ is a local optimum point and that the partial derivatives of f exist at \mathbf{x}^* , then $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$



Introduction

A second condition uses the second derivative and is stated as

Second order optimality condition

Lef $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined on a set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that f is twice continuously differentiable over \mathcal{X} and that \mathbf{x}^* is a stationary point, then

- if \mathbf{x}^* is a local minimum of f over \mathcal{X} , then $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is positive semi-definite
- if \mathbf{x}^* is a local maximum of f over \mathcal{X} , then $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ is negative semi-definite



Steepest descent method Method for Minimization I

- The Steepest descent method method is a classical method for nonlinear optimization
- It is one of the simplest and the most fundamental minimization methods for unconstrained optimization
- It makes use of the first-order necessary condition for a local minimizer

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \tag{1}$$



Steepest descent method Method for Minimization II

- The main idea is to consider the search as an interative procedure
- \bullet An initial search point is required and is updated in each iteration based on a step size, α
- The direction is given by $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$, and the step size determines how far we go in that particular direction
- A point is updated as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \alpha \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) \tag{2}$$



Steepest descent method Method for Minimization III

Algorithm 1 Steepest descent

- 1: Set an initial search point $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$
- 2: Set a step size, $\alpha \geq 0$
- 3: Set $t \leftarrow 0$
- 4: while $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})\| > \epsilon \text{ do}$
- $\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{(t)} \alpha \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})$
- 6: $t \leftarrow t + 1$
- 7: end while
- 8: return $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$



Example I

• Minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - x_1x_2 - 2x_1$. Assume that the initial point is $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (-2, 4)$ and the step size is 0.5

Solution:

②
$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = (-12, 6)$$

 $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(0)} - 0.5 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$
 $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = (-2 + 6, 4 - 3) = (4, 1)$



$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (9.000, -3.000)$ $\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = (4.000 - 4.500, 1.000 + 1.500) = (-0.500, 2.500)$

- $\Phi \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = (-6.000, 3.000)$ $\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = (-0.500 + 3.000, 2.500 - 1.500) = (2.500, 1.000)$
- $\mathbf{x}^{(4)} = (2.500 - 2.250, 1.000 + 0.750) = (0.250, 1.750)$
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(4)}) = (-3.000, 1.500)$ $\mathbf{x}^{(5)} = (0.250 + 1.500, 1.750 - 0.750) = (1.750, 1.000)$
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(5)}) = (2.250, -0.750)$ $\mathbf{x}^{(6)} = (1.750 - 1.125, 1.000 + 0.375) = (0.625, 1.375)$



Example III

- Repeat the process with:
 - Step size equals to 1.0

Steepest descent

- Step size equals to 0.1



- In this method, the convergence strongly depends on the step size
- The optimal step size can be determined by solving

$$\min f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \alpha \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)\right) \tag{3}$$

 Repeat the previous exercise computing the optimal step size in each iteration



The Newton's Method L

- The main idea is to start with an arbitrary point, and iteratively try to find the point at which the function evaluates to zero
- Ergo, it iteratively uses the quadratic approximation of the objective function
- An initial solution is required and updated in each iteration as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \frac{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)}{H_f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)} \tag{4}$$



The Newton's Method II

Algorithm 2 Newton's Method

- 1: Set an initial search point $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$
- 2: Set $t \leftarrow 0$
- 3: while $\| \nabla f (\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) \| > \epsilon$ do

4:
$$\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \frac{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)}{H_f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)}$$

- $t \leftarrow t + 1$
- 6: end while
- 7: return $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$



Example I

• Minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - x_1x_2 - 2x_1$. Assume that the initial point is $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (-2, 4)$

Solution:

Gradient:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (3x_1 - x_2 - 2, x_2 - x_1) \tag{5}$$

Hessian matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$





Solution:

1
$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = (-12, 6)$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{(2)} = (-2.000 + 3.00, 4.000 - 3.000) = (1.000, 1.000)$$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (0,0)$$



Solve the following optimization problems using both Steepest Descend and Newton's Method

$$2 x_1 - x_2 + 2x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2$$



Conjugate Gradient Descent I

- Conjugate gradient descent can be regarded as being between the method of steepest descent and Newton's method
- It aims at accelerating the convergence of steepest descent while avoids the computational cost of the Newton's method
- Unlike previous methods, in conjugate gradient descent, at each iteration the direction is modified by a combination of the earlier directions



Conjugate Gradient Descent II

Algorithm 3 Conjugate Gradient Descent Method

- 1: Set an initial search point $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$
- 2: Set a step size, $\alpha \geq 0$
- 3: Set $t \leftarrow 0$
- 4: $s(t) \leftarrow -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})$
- 5: $\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \alpha s(t)$
- 6: while $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})\| > \epsilon d\mathbf{o}$
- 7: $t \leftarrow t + 1$
- 8: $s(t) = -\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) + \frac{\parallel \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) \parallel^2}{\parallel \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right) \parallel^2} s(t-1)$
- 9: $\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \alpha s(t)$
- 10: end while
- 11: return $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$



CIMAT

• Minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - x_1x_2 - 2x_1$. Assume that the initial point is $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (-2, 4)$ and $\alpha = 0.5$

Solution:

Gradient:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (3x_1 - x_2 - 2, x_2 - x_1) \tag{7}$$

①
$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = (-12, 6)$$

 $s(0) = (12, -6)$
 $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \alpha s(0) = (4, 1)$

②
$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (9, -3)$$

 $s(1) = (-9, 3) + \frac{1}{2}(12, -6) = (-3, 0)$
 $\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} + \alpha s(1) = (2.5, 1.0)$



Conjugate Gradient Descent

Example II

$$∇f (x(2)) = (4.500, -1.500)$$

$$s(2) = (-4.500, 1.500) + \frac{1}{4}(-3.000, 0.000) = (-5.250, 1.500)$$

$$x(3) = x(2) + αs(2) = (-0.125, 1.750)$$

$$\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(3)}\right) = (-4.125, 1.875)$$

$$s(3) = (4.125, -1.875) + \frac{657}{720}(-5.250, 1.500) = (-0.6666, -0.506)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(4)} = \mathbf{x}^{(3)} + \alpha s(3) = (-0.458, 1.497)$$



Newton's Methods

The conjugate gradient direction can be rewritten as:

$$s(t) = -\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) + \beta s(t-1) \tag{8}$$

Some variants are listed below:

Fletcher-Reeves:

$$\beta = \frac{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)^{T} \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)}{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)^{T} \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)}$$
(9)



Conjugate Gradient Descent: Variants II

Newton's Methods

Polak-Ribière:

$$\beta = \frac{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)^{T} \left(\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) - \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)\right)}{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)^{T} \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)}$$
(10)

Hestenes-Stiefel:

$$\beta = \frac{\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right)^{T} \left(\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) - \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)\right)}{s(t-1)^{T} \left(\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) - \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)\right)}$$
(11)



Quasi-Newton methods I

- Quasi-Newton methods, as the name suggest, are an alternative to the Newton's method
- They are specially useful when computing the Hessian matrix is computational prohibitive
- They rank among the most efficient methods available



Quasi-Newton methods II

- The basic principle in quasi-Newton methods is that the direction of search is based on an $n \times n$ direction matrix **S** that is generated from available data and is contrived to be an approximation of \mathbf{H}^{-1}
- Quasi-Newton methods updates the "Hessian matrix" by analyzing successive gradient vectors



Quasi-Newton methods

Quasi-Newton methods III

• In general, a point is updated as follows:

Newton's Methods

$$\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \alpha \mathbf{S}^{(t)} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})$$
 (12)

where

$$\mathbf{S^{(t)}} = egin{cases} \mathbf{I}_n & ext{for the steepest descent method} \\ \mathbf{H_t^{-1}} & ext{for the Newton method} \end{cases}$$

ullet The idea is, therefore, to choose some positive definite $oldsymbol{\mathsf{S}^{(t)}}$ such that is equal to or, at least, approximately equal to H_{\star}^{-1}



Quasi-Newton methods IV

Algorithm 4 Basic Quasi-Newton Method

- 1. Set $t \leftarrow 0$
- 2: Set an initial search point $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$
- 3: Set a step size, $\alpha \geq 0$
- 4: Set $\mathbf{S}^{(\mathbf{t})} \leftarrow \mathbf{I}_n$
- 5: while $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})\| > \epsilon$ do
- $\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} \alpha \mathbf{S}^{(t)} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})$
- Update $S^{(t+1)}$ by following a proper strategy
- 8: $t \leftarrow t + 1$
- 9: end while
- 10: return $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$



Newton's Method vs Quasi-Newton Methods

Quasi-Newton Method	Newton's Method
Only need the function values and	Need the function values, gradients
and gradients	and Hessians
H_t^{-1} maintains positive definite for	H_t^{-1} is not sure to be positive defi-
several updates	nite
Need $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ multiplications in each	Need $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ multiplications in each
iteration	iteration



How can we update the Hessian Matrix? I

There are several approaches to approximate the Hessian matrix

Symmetric Rank-One:

$$H_{t+1} = H_t + \frac{(y_{(t)} - H_t s_{(t)}) (y_{(t)} - H_t s_{(t)})^T}{(y_{(t)} - H_t s_{(t)})^T s_{(t)}}$$
(13)

where:

$$y_{(t)} = \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\right) - \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}\right)$$
$$s_{(t)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} - \mathbf{x}^{(t-1)}$$



How can we update the Hessian Matrix? II

Davidon-Fletcher-Powell (DFP):

$$H_{t+1} = H_t + \frac{s_{(t)}s_{(t)}^T}{s_{(t)}^T y_{(t)}} - \frac{\left(H_t y_{(t)}\right) \left(H_t y_{(t)}\right)^T}{y_{(t)}^T H_{(t)} y_{(t)}}$$
(14)

Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS):

$$H_{t+1} = H_t + \frac{y_{(t)}y_{(t)}^T}{y_{(t)}^T s_{(t)}} - \frac{H_t s_{(t)}s_{(t)}^T H_{(t)}^T}{s_{(t)}^T H_{(t)} s_{(t)}}$$
(15)



Introduction

• Minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - x_1x_2 - 2x_1$. Assume that the initial point is $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (-2, 4)$ and $\alpha = 0.5$

Solution:

Initial Hessian matrix

$$H_0 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

- The gradient vector: $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (3x_1 x_2 2, x_2 x_1)$
- $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = (-12, 6)$ $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(0)} - \alpha H_0 \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = (4, 1)$



Example II

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (9.000, -3.000)$$

$$S_0 = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (6.000, -3.000)$$

$$y_0 = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) = (21.000, -9.000)$$

$$H_1 = H_0 + \frac{(y_0 - H_0 s_0)(y_0 - H_0 s_0)^T}{(y_0 - H_0 s_0)^T s_0}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 3.083 & -0.833 \\ -0.833 & 1.333 \end{bmatrix}$$

3
$$\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \alpha H_1^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (2.610, 1.256)$$



Example III

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = (4.573, -1.354)$$

$$S_0 = \mathbf{x}^{(2)} - \mathbf{x}^{(1)} = (-1.390, 0.256)$$

$$y_0 = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) = (-4.427, 1.646)$$

$$H_2 = H_1 + \frac{(y_1 - H_1 s_1)(y_1 - H_1 s_1)^T}{(y_1 - H_1 s_0)^T s_1}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 3.000 & -1.000 \\ -1.000 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{(3)} = \mathbf{x}^{(2)} - \alpha H_2^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = (1.805, 1.128)$$



- Note, however, that the above approaches still require computing the inverse of the Hessian matrix
- Can we instead update the inverse of the Hessian matrix?
- The BFGS formula can be updated as follows:

$$H_{t+1}^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{I}_{n} - \frac{s_{t}y_{t}^{T}}{s_{t}^{T}y_{t}}\right)H_{t}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{I}_{n} - \frac{y_{t}s_{t}^{T}}{s_{t}^{T}y_{t}}\right) + \frac{s_{t}s_{t}^{T}}{s_{t}^{T}y_{t}}$$
(16)



