

Posterior Sampling Steps

1 DP-SPGLM

Final hierarchical model —

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_i \mid z_i, x_i &\sim K(y_i \mid z_i); \\
 z_i \mid x_i = x, \theta_x, \tilde{\mu} &\sim p_x(z_i) \propto \exp(\theta_x z_i) \tilde{\mu}(z_i) \\
 \theta_x \mid \beta, \tilde{\mu} &\sim p(\theta_x \mid \tilde{\theta}_x), \text{ with } b'(\tilde{\theta}_x) = g^{-1}(x' \beta) = \lambda(x) \\
 \tilde{\mu} &\sim \text{gamma CRM}(\nu), \text{ with } \nu(ds, dz) = \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds \cdot \alpha G_0(dz) \\
 \beta &\sim \text{MVN}(\mu_\beta, \Sigma_\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $g_i(z) = \exp(\theta_i z)$, $\frac{\mu}{T} \sim DP(\alpha, G_0)$, $K(\cdot \mid z_i) = N(\cdot \mid z_i, \sigma_0^2)$ (or some other distribution). Here, if we assume θ_i does not depend on $\tilde{\mu}$, we have a (n ?) non-homogeneous CRM(s?) case with n (deterministic) perturbing functions $g_i, i = 1, \dots, n$.

Question: Should we make it more general and use normalized generalized gamma (NGG) as a prior?

1.1 Posterior sampling steps

Parameters, $(\beta, \tilde{\mu})$ and latent variables, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

Choices to make for $\alpha, G_0, K, \sigma_0, \mu_\beta, \Sigma_\beta$. We can also put a prior on σ_0 .

1.1.1 β (and θ) update —

$[\tilde{\beta} \mid \tilde{\mu}^{(t)}, -] \sim N_p(\mu_\beta^*, \Sigma_\beta^*) 1_A(\tilde{\beta})$, where $\mu_\beta^* = \beta^{(t)}, \Sigma_\beta^* = \rho \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_{mle}; \hat{\mu}_{mle})$ or $\rho \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta^{(t)}; \tilde{\mu}^{(t)})$, where $A = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p : g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta) \in \mathcal{Y}\}$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$ is a tuning parameter, and $\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta^{(t)}; \tilde{\mu}^{(t)})$ is the inverse Fisher information matrix associated with β at $\beta = \beta^{(t)}$. That is,

$$\mathcal{I}(\beta^{(t)}; \tilde{\mu}^{(t)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i x_i^T}{\left(g'(\mu_i^{(t)})\right)^2 b''(\theta_i^{(t)})},$$

$g'(\mu_i^{(t)}) = g'(g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta^{(t)}))$, $b''(\theta_i^{(t)}) = \sigma_i^{(t)2}$. Note that $b(\theta) = \ln T(\theta)$. Writing $\tilde{\mu}^{(t)}(\cdot) = \sum_\ell \tilde{J}_\ell^{(t)} \delta_{\tilde{z}_\ell^{(t)}}(\cdot)$ and hence, we solve for $\theta_i^{(t)}$ from $g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta^{(t)}) = \frac{\sum_\ell \tilde{z}_\ell^{(t)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} \tilde{z}_\ell^{(t)}) \tilde{J}_\ell^{(t)}}{\sum_\ell \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} \tilde{z}_\ell^{(t)}) \tilde{J}_\ell^{(t)}}$. Omitting (t)

superscript from CRM for better readability, we have:

$$b''(\theta_i^{(t)}) = \frac{\sum_{\ell} \tilde{z}_{\ell}^2 \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} \tilde{z}_{\ell}) \tilde{J}_{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell} \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} \tilde{z}_{\ell}) \tilde{J}_{\ell}} - \left[\frac{\sum_{\ell} \tilde{z}_{\ell} \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} \tilde{z}_{\ell}) \tilde{J}_{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell} \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} \tilde{z}_{\ell}) \tilde{J}_{\ell}} \right]^2.$$

1.1.2 $\tilde{\mu}$ (also, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$) updates —

We assume θ_i not depending on $\tilde{\mu}$ and consider $\theta_i = \theta_i^{(t)} = \theta(\beta^{(t+1)}, \tilde{\mu}^{(t)}, x_i)$ to be fixed for simulating $\tilde{\mu}^{(t+1)}$. We sample $\tilde{\mu}$ from $[\tilde{\mu} \mid \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}] \stackrel{d}{=} [\tilde{\mu} \mid \mathbf{z}]$, and \mathbf{z} from $[\mathbf{z} \mid \tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{y}]$. For simulating $\tilde{\mu}$, we further introduce latent variables u_1, \dots, u_n and sample $\tilde{\mu}$ from $[\tilde{\mu} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}]$ after we sample \mathbf{u} from $[\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{z}]$.

1. $[\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{z}^{(t)}] : \Pr(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{z}^{(t)}) \propto e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_i^{(t)})\}} \rho(ds \mid z_i^{(t)})$, with

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} &= \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z)\} s} \right] \nu(ds, dz) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \left[1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z)\} s} \right] \alpha \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds G_0(dz) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \alpha \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \ln \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z) \right] G_0(dz) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_j^{(t)})\}} \rho(ds \mid z_j^{(t)}) = \dots \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds = \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-s \{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_j^{(t)})\}} ds = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_j^{(t)})\}} = \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^n \ln \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_j^{(t)}) \right\} \right]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{z}^{(t)}) &\propto \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \ln \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z) \right] \left(\alpha G_0(dz) + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{z_j^{(t)}}(dz) \right) \right\} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \ln \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z) \right] G_n(dz) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $G_n(\cdot) = \alpha G_0(\cdot) + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{z_j^{(t)}}(\cdot)$. The second term can also be written as $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\tilde{n}} n_{\ell} \delta_{z_{\ell}^*}(\cdot)$, where $\{z_{\ell}^*\}_{\ell=1}^{\tilde{n}}$ are the unique values, among $\{z_j^{(t)}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$, with $\{n_{\ell}\}_{\ell=1}^{\tilde{n}}$ ties.

Three possible approaches:

1. We generate $u_j \sim \Pr(u_j \mid u_{1:j-1}^{(t+1)}, u_{j+1:n}^{(t)}, \mathbf{z}^{(t)})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. In practice, we generate from an exact distribution (calculation depends on the choice of G_0) or in case of difficulty, we can always approximate it using Monte Carlo, $\approx \exp \left(-(1/R) \sum_{r=1}^R \Psi(z_r; u_j) \right)$, $z_r \sim G_n$, where $\Psi(z_r; u_j) = \ln \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} u_i^{(t+1)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_r) + \sum_{i=j+1}^n u_i^{(t)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z_r) + u_j \exp(\theta_j^{(t)} z_r) \right]$. We can then followup with an MH acceptance-rejection step.
2. [Idea from Igor's Stat Sci 2013 paper] We generate proposal using random walk, $u_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\delta, \delta/u_j^{(t)})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and followed up with an MH acceptance-rejection step. The

hyperparameter $\delta(\geq 1)$ controls the acceptance rate of the M-H step being higher for larger values.

3. Should we generate $v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z)$ and then use that somehow to generate u_j 's? Think about proposal: some transformation eg., log? something more clever?

Note: $P(u_j, T_j) = T_j e^{-u_j T_j} P(T_j) \implies$ the prior on $u_j \mid T_j$ is $\text{gamma}(1, T_j)$. Hence for initializing u_j , we generate from $\text{Gamma}(1, T_j)$.

2. $[\tilde{\mu} \mid \mathbf{u}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{z}^{(t)}]$:

(a) $\tilde{\mu}^{(\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)})} \sim \text{CRM}(\nu^{(\mathbf{u})})$ with $\nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dz) = e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{(t+1)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t+1)} z)\}s} \rho(ds \mid z) G_0(dz) = e^{-\Psi(z)s} \rho(ds \mid z) G_0(dz) = \alpha \frac{e^{-(\Psi(z)+1)s}}{s} ds G_0(dz)$. $\tilde{\mu}^{(\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)})}(\cdot) = \sum_{\ell=1}^M J_\ell^{(t+1)} \delta_{z_\ell^{(t+1)}}(\cdot)$ (random locations z_ℓ and jumps J_ℓ are generated using Ferguson and Klass (1972) algorithm; M is used for finite approximation of the CRM). Omitting superscript u for better readability.

$$N(v) = \nu([v, \infty], \mathcal{Y}) = \int_v^\infty \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \nu(ds, dz) = \alpha \int_v^\infty \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \frac{e^{-(\Psi(z)+1)s}}{s} ds G_0(dz)$$

(b) $\Pr\left(J_j^{(\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{z}_j^{(t)})}\right) \propto s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{(t+1)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t+1)} z_j^{(t)})\}} \rho(ds \mid z_j^{(t)}) = e^{-(\Psi(z_j^{(t)})+1)s} \sim \text{EXP}$
 $\left(\text{mean} = 1 / \left(\Psi(z_j^{(t)}) + 1\right)\right)$. Let's assume we have r unique fixed locations (z_1^*, \dots, z_r^*) .

Then for jumps, we generate from $\Pr(J_\ell^* \mid -) \propto s^{n_\ell-1} e^{-(\Psi(z_\ell^{*(t)})+1)s} \equiv \text{Gamma}\left(n_\ell, \Psi(z_\ell^{*(t)}) + 1\right)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, r$.

3. $[\mathbf{z} \mid \tilde{\mu}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{y}]$:

$$\Pr[z_i \mid \tilde{\mu}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{y}] \propto \sum_{\ell} K(y_i \mid z_i) \exp(\theta_i z_i) \tilde{J}_\ell^{(t+1)} \delta_{z_\ell^{(t+1)}}(z_i),$$

where $\tilde{J} := \{\tilde{J}_\ell^{(t+1)}\}_{\ell \geq 1} = \{J_1^{*(t+1)}, \dots, J_r^{*(t+1)}, J_1^{(t+1)}, \dots\}$ [first r jumps are from 2(b) and rest from 2(a)]. Similarly, $\tilde{Z} := \{\tilde{z}_\ell^{(t+1)}\}_{\ell \geq 1} = \{z_1^{*(t+1)}, \dots, z_r^{*(t+1)}, z_1^{(t+1)}, \dots\}$. Hence, $z_i \sim a \text{ categorical distribution}$.

4. (Optional) Resample fixed locations z_i (or, z_ℓ^*) to reduce 'sticky clusters effect'.