

DP-GLM and Posterior Sampling Steps

1 DP-GLM

$$y_i \mid z_i, x_i \sim K(y_i \mid z_i, x_i) = K(y_i \mid z_i), \quad y_i, z_i \in \mathcal{Y} \quad (1)$$

$$z_i \mid x_i = x, \tilde{\theta}_x, \tilde{\mu} \sim p_x(z_i) \propto \exp(\tilde{\theta}_x z_i) \tilde{\mu}(z_i) \quad (2)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_x \mid \theta_x \sim p(\tilde{\theta}_x \mid \theta_x), \text{ with } b'(\theta_x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} z \frac{\exp(\theta_x z) \tilde{\mu}(z)}{\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_x u) \tilde{\mu}(u) du} dz = g^{-1}(x' \beta) \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{\mu} \sim \text{gamma CRM}(\nu), \text{ with } \nu(ds, dz) = \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds \cdot \alpha G_0(dz) \quad (4)$$

$$\beta \sim \text{MVN}(\mu_\beta, \Sigma_\beta). \quad (5)$$

1.1 Notes

- Eq. (4) implies $\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{Y})} \sim DP(\alpha, G_0)$.
- Symmetric $K(\cdot \mid z)$ in z respects the GLM mean regression. $\mathbb{E}(y_i \mid x_i) = \mathbb{E}_{z_i, \tilde{\theta}_i | x_i} \mathbb{E}(y_i \mid x_i, z_i, \tilde{\theta}_i) = \mathbb{E}_{z_i, \tilde{\theta}_i | x_i} (z_i) = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\theta}_i | x_i} \mathbb{E}_{z_i | x_i, \tilde{\theta}_i} (z_i) = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\theta}_i | x_i} (b'(\tilde{\theta}_i)) = b'(\theta_i) = g^{-1}(x'_i \beta)$. **The second last equality holds only if b' is a linear function.**
- $p(\tilde{\theta}_x \mid \theta_x) = N(\theta_x, \sigma_\theta^2)$ makes life easy! Closed form complete conditional for $\tilde{\theta}_x$. We choose σ_θ to be small positive fraction. This ensures $\mathbb{E}(y_i \mid x_i) = g^{-1}(x'_i \beta) + R_i \approx g^{-1}(x'_i \beta)$, where $R_i \approx 0$.
- Choices to be made for $\alpha, G_0, K, \mu_\beta, \Sigma_\beta, g$.

1.2 Modeling fractional data

Here $\mathcal{Y} = [0, 1]$.

- $K(\cdot \mid z_i) = \text{Uniform}(z_i - c_0, z_i + c_0)$.
- $G_0 = \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
- $g(\mu) = \ln(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu})$ [logit link]

2 Posterior sampling steps

2.1 Notes

- Model parameters: $(\beta, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\theta}_i)$. **Is $\tilde{\theta}_i$ a model parameter or latent variable?**
- Latent variables: $\{z_i, u_i\}_{i=1}^n$.
- Derived parameters: $\theta_i = b'^{-1}(g^{-1}(\eta_x))$, where $\eta_x = x^T \beta$ or $s(x)^T \beta$. We can go fully nonparametric here; bad thing is, we lose the β interpretation.
- M is the CRM finite truncation point. We use $M = 20$.

2.2 Steps

(A): $[\tilde{\beta} \mid -] \sim N_p(\mu_\beta^*, \Sigma_\beta^*) 1_A(\tilde{\beta})$ is the proposal for updating β , where $\mu_\beta^* = \beta^{(t)}$, $\Sigma_\beta^* = \rho \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_{mle}; \hat{\mu}_{mle})$, where $A = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p : g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta) \in \mathcal{Y}\}$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$ is a tuning parameter, and $\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_{mle}; \hat{\mu}_{mle})$ is the inverse Fisher information matrix associated with β at $(\beta, \tilde{\mu}) = (\hat{\beta}_{mle}; \hat{\mu}_{mle})$, where

$$\mathcal{I}(\beta; \tilde{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i x_i^T}{(g'(\mu_i))^2 b''(\theta_i)},$$

$\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(y_i \mid x_i) = g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta)$ and $\theta_i = \theta(x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu})$ is the derived parameter. Hence the proposal distribution implicitly depends on $\tilde{\mu}$ through dispersion matrix. We correct the MH step with an acceptance probability,

$$\delta(\tilde{\beta} \mid \beta) = \frac{L(\tilde{\beta})\pi(\tilde{\beta})}{L(\beta)\pi(\beta)} \cdot \frac{q(\beta \mid \tilde{\beta})}{q(\tilde{\beta} \mid \beta)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta, \tilde{\mu}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i \mid x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\tilde{\theta}_i} \int_{z_i} p(y_i \mid z_i, \tilde{\theta}_i, x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu}) \cdot p(z_i, \tilde{\theta}_i \mid x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu}) dz_i d\tilde{\theta}_i \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\tilde{\theta}_i} \int_{z_i} p(y_i \mid z_i) \cdot p(z_i \mid \tilde{\theta}_i, x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu}) \cdot p(\tilde{\theta}_i \mid x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu}) dz_i d\tilde{\theta}_i \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\tilde{\theta}_i} \int_{z_i} K(y_i \mid z_i) \cdot p(z_i \mid \tilde{\theta}_i; \tilde{\mu}) \cdot p(\tilde{\theta}_i \mid \theta_i) dz_i d\tilde{\theta}_i, \end{aligned}$$

with $K(y_i \mid z_i) = \frac{1}{2c_0} 1(z_i - c_0 \leq y_i \leq z_i + c_0)$, $p(z_i = z \mid \tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\mu}) = \frac{\exp(\tilde{\theta}_i z) \tilde{\mu}(z)}{\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\tilde{\theta}_i u) \tilde{\mu}(u) du}$, $p(\tilde{\theta}_i \mid \theta_i) = \text{Normal}(\tilde{\theta}_i \mid \theta_i, \sigma_{\tilde{\theta}}^2)$, $\theta_i = b'^{-1}(g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta))$. Note that $L(\beta \mid \tilde{\mu})$ is the conditional likelihood contribution of β given $\tilde{\mu}$. So, given $\tilde{\mu}(\cdot) = \sum_{m=1}^M J_m \delta_{s_m}(\cdot)$, $p(z_i = s_m \mid$

$\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\mu}) = \frac{\exp(\tilde{\theta}_i s_m) J_m}{\sum_{m'=1}^M \exp(\tilde{\theta}_i s_{m'}) J_{m'}}$. Do we need to use marginal (instead of conditional) likelihood contribution of β ? π and q are the prior and proposal density for β .

(B): $[\tilde{\theta}_{x_i} \mid -]$ Normal($\theta_{x_i} + z_i \sigma_\theta^2, \sigma_\theta^2$), where $\theta_{x_i} = \theta(x_i; \beta, \tilde{\mu})$ is the derived parameter.

(C): $[\mathbf{u} \mid -]$:

$$Pr(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{z}^{(t)}, \theta^{(t)}) \propto \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \ln \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i^{(t)} z) \right] G_n(dz) \right\},$$

where $G_n(\cdot) = \alpha G_0(\cdot) + \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{z_j^{(t)}}(\cdot)$. The second term can also be written as $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\tilde{n}} n_\ell \delta_{z_\ell^*}(\cdot)$, where $\{z_\ell^*\}_{\ell=1}^{\tilde{n}}$ are the unique values, among $\{z_j^{(t)}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$, with $\{n_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^{\tilde{n}}$ ties.

Proposal for \mathbf{u} [Idea from Igor's Stat Sci 2013 paper]: We generate proposal using random walk, $u_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\delta, \delta/u_j^{(t)})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ and followed up with an MH acceptance-rejection step. The hyperparameter $\delta(\geq 1)$ controls the acceptance rate of the M-H step being higher for larger values.

(D): $[\tilde{\mu} \mid \mathbf{u}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{z}^{(t)}, \theta^{(t)}]$:

(a) $\tilde{\mu}^{(\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)})} \sim \text{CRM}(\nu^{(\mathbf{u})})$ with $\nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dz) = e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{(t+1)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t+1)} z)\}s} \rho(ds \mid z) G_0(dz) = e^{-\Psi(z)s} \rho(ds \mid z) G_0(dz) = \alpha \frac{e^{-(\Psi(z)+1)s}}{s} ds G_0(dz)$. $\tilde{\mu}^{(\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)})}(\cdot) = \sum_{\ell=1}^M J_\ell^{(t+1)} \delta_{z_\ell^{(t+1)}}(\cdot)$ (random locations z_ℓ and jumps J_ℓ are generated using Ferguson and Klass (1972) algorithm; M is used for finite approximation of the CRM). Omitting superscript u for better readability.

$$N(v) = \nu([v, \infty], \mathcal{Y}) = \int_v^\infty \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \nu(ds, dz) = \alpha \int_v^\infty \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \frac{e^{-(\Psi(z)+1)s}}{s} ds G_0(dz)$$

(b) $\Pr \left(J_j^{(\mathbf{u}^{(t+1)}, z_j^{(t)})} \right) \propto s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^{(t+1)} \exp(\theta_i^{(t+1)} z_j^{(t)})\}} \rho(ds \mid z_j^{(t)}) = e^{-(\Psi(z_j^{(t)})+1)s} \sim \text{EXP} \left(\text{mean} = 1 / \left(\Psi(z_j^{(t)}) + 1 \right) \right)$. Let's assume we have r unique fixed locations (z_1^*, \dots, z_r^*) .

Then for jumps, we generate from $\Pr(J_\ell^* \mid -) \propto s^{n_\ell-1} e^{-(\Psi(z_\ell^{*(t)})+1)s} \equiv \text{Gamma}(n_\ell, \Psi(z_\ell^{*(t)}) + 1)$, $\ell = 1, \dots, r$.

(E): $[\mathbf{z} \mid \tilde{\mu}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{y}]$:

$$\Pr[z_i \mid \tilde{\mu}^{(t+1)}, \mathbf{y}] \propto \sum_{\ell} K(y_i \mid z_i) \exp(\theta_i z_i) \tilde{J}_\ell^{(t+1)} \delta_{\tilde{z}_\ell^{(t+1)}}(z_i),$$

where $\tilde{J} := \{\tilde{J}_\ell^{(t+1)}\}_{\ell \geq 1} = \{J_1^{*(t+1)}, \dots, J_r^{*(t+1)}, J_1^{(t+1)}, \dots\}$ [first r jumps are from 2(b) and rest from 2(a)]. Similarly, $\tilde{Z} := \{\tilde{z}_\ell^{(t+1)}\}_{\ell \geq 1} = \{z_1^{*(t+1)}, \dots, z_r^{*(t+1)}, z_1^{(t+1)}, \dots\}$. Hence, $z_i \sim a \text{ categorical distribution}$.