

DPGLM – Posterior Sampling

1 DPGLM

Consider a GLM

$$y \sim p(y \mid x) = p_x(y) \propto \exp(\theta_x y) \tilde{H}(y) \quad (1)$$

with response $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{R}$ and a p -dimensional covariate vector $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Here, $T(\theta_x)$ is the normalization constant for p_x with

$$T(\theta_x) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_x y) \tilde{H}(dy) . \quad (2)$$

Hence $\frac{\tilde{H}}{T(0)}$ is the baseline density. Let $b(\theta) = \ln T(\theta)$. In the classical GLM, the baseline distribution is assumed to be in a parametric family—in the proposed semi-parametric model it becomes an unknown parameter. As in the classical GLM, $\eta = x^T \beta$ is a linear predictor, g is a link function, and $\mu = \mathbb{E}(y \mid x) = g^{-1}(\eta)$. For fixed \tilde{H} , the expectation μ implicitly determines θ by the equation

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}(y \mid x) = b'(\theta_x) = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{Y}} y \exp(\theta_x y) \tilde{H}(dy)}{\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_x y) \tilde{H}(dy)} , \quad (3)$$

where we note that $b'(\theta)$ is a strictly increasing function of θ . The free parameters in the model are β and \tilde{H} . By contrast, $\theta_x = \theta(\beta, \tilde{H}; x)$ is a derived parameter based on (3). We can write the solution for θ as a function of μ , denoted as $b'^{-1}(\mu; \tilde{H})$, which additionally depends on \tilde{H} , in addition to depending on β , implicitly through $\mu = x^T \beta$.

We put a multivariate normal prior on β and a completely random measure (CRM) on \tilde{H} as:

$$\tilde{H} \sim \text{CRM}(\tilde{\nu}), \text{ with Lévy intensity, } \tilde{\nu}(dy, ds) = \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy), \quad (4)$$

where $\rho(\cdot \mid y)$ is a measure on $(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ for y in \mathcal{Y} and H is a measure on $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}))$. If $\rho(\cdot \mid y)$ does not depend on y i.e., $\rho(\cdot \mid y) = \rho(\cdot)$ for all y , then both $\tilde{\nu}$ and \tilde{H} are termed homogeneous. Otherwise, $\tilde{\nu}$ and \tilde{H} are termed non-homogeneous. A CRM on \tilde{H} implies a prior on $\mathcal{F} = \{p_x : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$. In case of gamma CRM on \tilde{H} , with concentration parameter α , the prior on \mathcal{F} becomes a Dependent Dirichlet Process (DDP) prior. We can express $\tilde{H}(\cdot) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} s_{\ell} \delta_{y_{\ell}}(\cdot)$ with

Lévy intensity, $\tilde{\nu}(dy, ds) = \alpha \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds H(dy)$. Therefore $p_x(y)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_x(y) &\propto \exp(\theta_x y) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} s_{\ell} \delta_{y_{\ell}}(y) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \{\exp(\theta_x y) s_{\ell}\} \delta_{y_{\ell}}(y) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} s_{\ell}(x; y) \delta_{y_{\ell}}(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{\ell}(x; y) = \exp(\theta_x y) s_{\ell}$, depends on x implicitly through θ_x . Hence, this Bayesian framework falls nicely into the category of varying weights DDP with the atoms being constant across x .

2 Posterior Sampling

Let \mathcal{D} denote the observed data $\{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$. From (2), we denote $T_i = T_i(\mathcal{Y}) = T(\theta_{x_i}) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_{x_i} y) \tilde{H}(dy)$. For simplicity, we consider no ties in $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$; we extend it to the general case later. Consider n disjoint subsets C_1, \dots, C_n of \mathcal{Y} , where we take $C_i := \{y \in \mathcal{Y} : d(y, y_i) < \epsilon\}$, where d is a distance function and $C_{n+1} = \mathcal{Y} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n C_i$. We next denote $\tilde{T}_i = T_i(C_i) = \int_{C_i} \exp(\theta_{x_i} y) \tilde{H}(dy)$. The conditional Laplace functional of \tilde{H} (note: literally, Laplace functional for posterior $\tilde{H} \mid y_1, \dots, y_n$, when we push $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$) is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \mid y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n \right) &= \int e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \Pr(\tilde{H} \mid y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n) d(\tilde{H}) \\ &= \int e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \frac{\Pr(\tilde{H}, y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n)}{\Pr(y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n)} d(\tilde{H}) \\ &= \frac{\int e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \Pr(\tilde{H}, y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n) d(\tilde{H})}{\Pr(y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n)} \\ &= \frac{\int e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \Pr(\tilde{H}, y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n) d(\tilde{H})}{\int \Pr(\tilde{H}, y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n) d(\tilde{H})} \\ &= \frac{\int e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \Pr(y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n \mid \tilde{H}) \Pr(\tilde{H}) d(\tilde{H})}{\int \Pr(y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n \mid \tilde{H}) \Pr(\tilde{H}) d(\tilde{H})} \\ &= \frac{\int e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \frac{T_i(C_i)}{T_i(\mathcal{Y})} \Pr(\tilde{H}) d(\tilde{H})}{\int \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{T_i(C_i)}{T_i(\mathcal{Y})} \Pr(\tilde{H}) d(\tilde{H})} \\ &= \frac{E \left(e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{T}_i}{T_i} \right)}{E \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{T}_i}{T_i} \right)} \\ &\quad [\text{not a conditional expectation anymore :)] \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Numerator calculation

We have $\frac{1}{T_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-T_i u_i} du_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_{x_i} y) \tilde{H}(dy) u_i} du_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} u_i \exp(\theta_i y) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_i$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{T_i} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} u_i \exp(\theta_i y) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_i \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} e^{-\int_{C_j} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_1 \dots du_n \end{aligned}$$

, with $\mathcal{Y} = \cup_{j=1}^{n+1} C_j$. We have, $e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{T}_i}{T_i}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} e^{-\int_{C_j} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} e^{-\int_{C_j} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{T}_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} e^{-\int_{C_{n+1}} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} e^{-\int_{C_{n+1}} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ -\frac{d}{du_j} e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \right\} du_1 \dots du_n \\ &\quad \left[\text{Note that : } \frac{d}{du_j} e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} = -\tilde{T}_j e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{H}} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{T}_i}{T_i} \right]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{H}} \left[e^{-\int_{C_{n+1}} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ -\frac{d}{du_j} e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \right\} \right] du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{H}} \left[e^{-\int_{C_{n+1}} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \right] \prod_{j=1}^n -\frac{d}{du_j} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{H}} \left[e^{-\int_{C_j} (h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)) \tilde{H}(dy)} \right] du_1 \dots du_n \\ &\quad \left[\text{We choose } \epsilon \text{ very close to 0 such that } C_j \cap C_{j'} = \emptyset \stackrel{CRM}{\implies} \tilde{H}(C_j) \text{ is independent over } j \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $\eta(y, \mathbf{u}) = \eta(y, u_1, \dots, u_n) := h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)$ and $S = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y} = \cup_{j=1}^{n+1} S_j$, with $S_j = \mathbb{R}^+ \times C_j$. From (4), $\tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) = \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy)$. Next using Lévy–Khintchine representation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{H}} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{T}_i}{T_i} \right] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+} \times C_{n+1}} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n -\frac{d}{du_j} \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+} \times C_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} du_1 \dots du_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \exp \left\{ - \int_{S_{n+1}} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^n -\frac{d}{du_j} \exp \left\{ - \int_{S_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} du_1 \dots du_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \exp \left\{ - \int_S \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \left[-\frac{d}{du_j} \exp \left(- \int_{S_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right) \right] \cdot \\
&\quad \exp \left\{ \int_{S_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} du_1 \dots du_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+n}} \exp \left\{ - \int_S \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n V_{C_j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{u}) du_1 \dots du_n \\
&, \text{ with } V_{C_j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{u}) = \left\{ -\frac{d}{du_j} \exp \left(- \int_{S_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right) \right\} \cdot \exp \left\{ \int_{S_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{C_j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{u}) &= -\frac{d}{du_j} \left(- \int_{S_j} \left[1 - e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \right] \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \right) \\
&= \int_{S_j} e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} s \frac{d}{du_j} \left\{ h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y) \right\} \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \\
&= \int_{S_j} e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} s \exp(\theta_j y) \tilde{\nu}(ds, dy) \\
&= \int_{C_j} \exp(\theta_j y) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} s e^{-s\eta(y, \mathbf{u})} \rho(ds | y) \right\} H(dy) \\
&= \int_{C_j} \exp(\theta_j y) \phi_1(\mathbf{u}, y) V_{C_j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{u}) H(dy) = \int_{C_j} \exp(\theta_j y) \phi_1(\mathbf{u}, y) H(dy),
\end{aligned}$$

with $V_{C_j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\phi_1(\mathbf{u}, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} s e^{-s(h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y))} \rho(ds | y)$. Let us denote $\Delta_{\theta_j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{u}, y) := \exp(\theta_j y) \phi_1(\mathbf{u}, y)$. Note that: we do not need to introduce $V_{C_j}^{(0)}(\mathbf{u})$ for our proof.

2.2 Denominator calculation

$\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{H}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tilde{T}_i}{T_i} \right]$ = same expression as numerator with $\eta(y, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)$ (i.e., $h(y) = 0$).

2.3 Final expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \mid y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n \right) \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-s(h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y))} \right] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{C_j} \Delta_{\theta_j}^{(1)}(\mathbf{u}, y) H(dy) \, du_1 \dots du_n}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \right] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{C_j} \Delta_{\theta_j}^{*(1)}(\mathbf{u}, y) H(dy) \, du_1 \dots du_n} \\
&\stackrel{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{=} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_j y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \rho(ds \mid y) \right] \delta_{y_j}(y) H(dy) \right\} \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_j y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \rho(ds \mid y) \right] \delta_{y_j}(y) H(dy) \right\} \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \exp(\theta_j y_j) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{h(y_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds \mid y_j) \right] \right\} \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \exp(\theta_j y_j) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds \mid y_j) \right] \right\} \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-h(y_j)s} s e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds \mid y_j) \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds \mid y_j) \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A \cdot C \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} B \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-s(h(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y))} \right] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot C \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \right] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[e^{\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}s} - e^{-h(y)s} + 1 - 1 \right] e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}s} \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot C \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \right] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left([1 - e^{-h(y)s}] e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}s} + [1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}s}] \right) \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot C \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \right] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} [1 - e^{-h(y)s}] \nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dy) \right\} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} [1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}s}] \nu(ds, dy) \right\} \cdot C \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} [1 - e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}}] \rho(ds \mid y) H(dy) \right\} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp \left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} [1 - e^{-h(y)s}] \nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dy) \right\} \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot C \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} E_{\tilde{H}(\mathbf{u})} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})}(dy)} \right] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n E \left[e^{-h(y_j)} J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, y_j)} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds \mid y_j) \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} E_{\tilde{H}(\mathbf{u})} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})}(dy)} \right] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n E \left[e^{-h(y_j)} J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, y_j)} \right] \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds \mid y_j) \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} E_{\tilde{H}(\mathbf{u})} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})}(dy)} \right] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n E \left[e^{-h(y_j)} J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, y_j)} \right] \cdot D \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} E_{\tilde{H}(\mathbf{u})} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})}(dy)} \right] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n E \left[e^{-h(y_j)} J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, y_j)} \right] \cdot \frac{D \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \, d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot D \, d\mathbf{u}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\theta_j}^{*(1)}(\mathbf{u}, y) = \exp(\theta_j y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\}} \rho(ds | y) ; \quad \nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dy) = \rho^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds | y) H(dy) = e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\} s} \rho(ds | y) H(dy) ; \quad e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} = \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\} s} \right] \nu(ds, dy) \right\}.$

Hence, finally, $E \left(e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \mid y_1 \in C_1, \dots, y_n \in C_n \right)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} E_{\tilde{H}(\mathbf{u})} \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})}(dy)} \right] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n E \left[e^{-h(y_j) J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, y_j)}} \right] \cdot \frac{D \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} d\mathbf{u}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} \cdot D d\mathbf{u}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} E \left[e^{-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \tilde{H}(dy)} \mid \mathcal{D}_n, \mathbf{u} \right] \cdot \Pr(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathcal{D}_n) d\mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$$

Notes: For this proof, we do not need Result 3.1 and 3.2. I think they will be needed when we consider ties in our proof.

2.4 Theorem 1 (with no ties)

Hence, $\tilde{H} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_n := \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_n)} \stackrel{d}{=} \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})} + \sum_{i=1}^n J_i^{(\mathbf{u}, y_i)} \delta_{y_i}$, where

1. $\tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})} \sim \text{CRM}(\nu^{(\mathbf{u})})$ with $\nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dy) = e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\} s} \rho(ds | y) H(dy)$
2. $\Pr \left(J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, y_j)} \right) \propto s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_j)\}} \rho(ds | y_j)$

2.5 Proposition 1 (with no ties)

Next $\Pr \left(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathcal{D}_n, \tilde{H} \right) \propto D \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} = \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_{\mathbf{j}})\}} \rho(ds | y_{\mathbf{j}}) \right] e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})}$, with $e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} = \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\} s} \right] \nu(ds, dy) \right\}.$

Hence, we have a Gibbs sampler ready!

For DP (i.e., gamma CRM on \tilde{H}), calculate $\psi(\mathbf{u}), \dots$ See ‘Posterior sampling steps’ document.

2.6 Theorem 1 (with ties)

Suppose, $(z_1, \dots, z_{\tilde{n}})$ denotes the unique observations in the data and there are n_j repetitions for $z_j, j = 1, \dots, \tilde{n}$. Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{n}} n_j = n$.

Hence, $\tilde{H} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_n := \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_n)} \stackrel{d}{=} \tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}} J_i^{(\mathbf{u}, z_i)} \delta_{z_i}$, where

1. $\tilde{H}^{(\mathbf{u})} \sim \text{CRM}(\nu^{(\mathbf{u})})$ with $\nu^{(\mathbf{u})}(ds, dy) = e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\} s} \rho(ds | y) H(dy)$
2. $\Pr \left(J_j^{(\mathbf{u}, z_j)} \right) \propto s^{n_j} e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i z_j)\}} \rho(ds | z_j)$

2.7 Proposition 1 (with ties)

Next $\Pr(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathcal{D}_n, \tilde{H}) \propto D \cdot e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}} \cancel{u_i^{n_i-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} s^{n_i} e^{-s \{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_i)\}} \rho(ds \mid z_i) \right] e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})}$, with $e^{-\psi(\mathbf{u})} = \exp \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{Y}} \left[1 - e^{-\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y)\} s} \right] \nu(ds, dy) \right\}$. [Instead of $\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}} u_i^{n_i-1}$ should we have $(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \exp(\theta_i y_i))^{n-1}$?]

2.8 The u business

$u_j = \frac{\Gamma}{T_j}$, where $\Gamma \sim \text{Gamma}(1, 1)$ independently of $T_j = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp(\theta_j y) \tilde{H}(dy)$. Next we use this transformation $(\Gamma, T_j) \rightarrow (u_j, T_j)$. Jacobian is $T_j \implies P(u_j, T_j) = T_j \exp(-T_j u_j) P(T_j)$. This further implies $u_j \mid T_j \sim \text{Gamma}(1, T_j) \implies \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} T_j \exp(-T_j u_j) du_j = 1$ and we started with $\frac{1}{T_j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp(-T_j u_j) du_j$.

3 θ updating

In Section 2, we assume θ_i is fixed through out. We can add one more layer to our existing model as, $\theta_i \mid \beta, \tilde{H} \sim N(\xi_i, c)$ with very small c and $\xi_i = b'^{-1}(\mu_i; \tilde{H})$ with $g(\mu_i) = x'_i \beta$. In this case, we can do Gibbs update: $\beta \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \rightarrow \tilde{H} \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \dots$