

Mar 3, 2022 Meeting Minutes

Link to recorded meeting:

Notes taken by Audrey Reznik

Attendees:

1. Audrey Reznik
2. Troy Nelson
3. Erik Erlandson
4. Lisa Caywood
5. Bill Wright
6. John Overton
7. Dinesh Verma

Propose to to consider adopting [Erik Erlandson's](#) idea for a Community Evolution Roadmap

Community Evolution Roadmap ... or workstream??

- Describes how to evolve a community from small/light weight process to large/heavy weight process in stages

Stage 1: "every project has a git repo, and list of github users who have maintainer privs" <- we are currently in stage 1

Stage 2: "every project has a github-org Project, with an associated github kanban board (we have this for os-climage and OSG)

Stage 3: "every project has a kube-style community repo and a SIG" - see Sample SIGS (Erik)

Sample SIGS (Erik)

<https://github.com/open-services-group/community/blob/main/sig-list.md#special-interest-groups>
<https://github.com/open-services-group/community/blob/main/sig-data-science/RE>

[Lisa Caywood](#)

- Suggestion of providing a little template to each leader with exactly the above items so they know what to fill in on their main repo page.
- Charter shouldn't be elaborate

Answer to the "project evolution" question:

https://www.theopensourceway.org/the_open_source_way-guidebook-2.0.html#_how_community_organized_projects_evolve

=====

Meeting Takeaways

- *Staged Governance* approach looks promising for what we need.
- Audrey to put together a slide detailing the approach and send it today's meeting attendees
 - Will determine next meeting if we would like to move forward with the "staged governance approach"
 - If "staged governance approach" is agreed by attendees, will send out to all Enterprise Neurosystem members for vote on using this approach

=====