

## PROJECT ENGINEERING PE(S)-2 CHECKLIST (BASIC DESIGN PHASE)

ENDUSER : INPEX BROWSE LTD

CUSTOMER : Yokogawa Engineering Asia Pte Ltd

CONSULTANT : \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT NAME : ICHTHYS GAS DEVT FIELD (CPF) APS

PROJECT NUMBER : V1412A008

DOCUMENT TITLE : PE(S)-2 CHECKLIST

### PE-2 Meeting Details

Rev A	Rev B	Rev C	Rev D
-------	-------	-------	-------

Date : 24-May-13

Duration (hrs) : 1 hr

### Name of Participants

Project Manager

QA Manager/Representative

Core Team Lead Project Engineer (if applicable)

Lead Project Engineer

Project Engineer

### Signature of Participants

<u>KH Lee</u>			
<u>P. K. K.</u>			
<u>Y. K. K.</u>			
<u>M. Z. K.</u>			
<u>R. A. P.</u>	<u>R. A. P.</u>		
<u>Q. J.</u>			

Number of Outstanding Items

<u>1</u>			
----------	--	--	--

Planned date for next PE(S)-2 check (in case of any follow-up check items)

<u>26-Jul-13</u>			
------------------	--	--	--

Planned date for PE(S)-3 check

<u>10-Dec-13</u>
------------------

**(Basic Design Phase)**

[illegible]

## PROJECT ENGINEERING PE(S)-2 CHECKLIST (BASIC DESIGN PHASE)

### A Objective and usage

The project team shall use this checklist to review the basic design criteria of the project. At the end of the review of basic design, it is expected to ensure that all check points in this check sheet are reviewed, clarifications arising out of review are documented in the check sheet and is signed off by all the participants. The completed check sheet forms the systematic records of having reviewed the basic design elements of the project and all clarifications are to be supported by documentation like email, MOM.

The review shall include as a minimum the following:

The project team shall use this checklist to review the basic design criteria of the project. At the end of the review of basic design, it is expected to ensure that all check points in this check sheet are reviewed, clarifications arising out of review are documented in the check sheet and is signed off by all the participants. The completed check sheet forms the systematic records of having reviewed the basic design elements of the project and all clarifications are to be supported by documentation like email, MOM.

The review shall include as a minimum the following:

- Development of specifications, drawings, procedures and instruction to meet customer requirements
- Verification of the customer input data like specifications, drawings and procedures for technical content
- Determination of the functional compatibility of the hardware and software selected
- Assessment of quality requirements and incorporation of necessary codes and standards.
- Generation of documentation and records

The check items in this checklist provide general guidance for system design and project execution. The questions/descriptions associated with each check item are intended to guide the user toward details that may be applicable for this project. LPE are to report their evaluation of the check items, their comments pertaining to this (if any) and follow-up actions for each items (if any).

This checklist is completed and filed electronically in the project control folder of the standard project directory structure. Users are encouraged to add additional pertinent check items that were considered and discussed at this check for the improvement of the basic checklist.

### B Meeting initiation and attendance

The Lead Engineer shall schedule and Initiate the PE(S)-2 check. Other participants may be invited as necessary. Required attendees for PE(S)-2 check are:

- Project Manager
- Lead Project Engineer or Project Engineer
- Core team Lead (if applicable)
- QA Manager/Representative

### C Evaluation criteria

Each check item should result in one of the following evaluation results. Highlight the appropriate evaluation result. Comments that shed light on the background of the check item are encouraged.

Evaluation results are:

- Satisfactory – The check item is satisfactory. Comments may be included if any.
- Not satisfactory – The check item is not satisfactory. Follow up action is required. Follow up actions shall include what needs to be done, who is to do it, and when it must be completed. The LPE is ultimately responsible for the closure of outstanding items. Comments may be included if any.
- Not applicable – The check item is not applicable. Comments may be included if any.

### D PE(S)-2 pre-requisite documents

At the minimum, the following documents shall be required:

- Sales proposed system configuration
- FEED documents/typical signal flow diagrams
- Scope & requirement clarity

### E Recommendation

It is recommendation to evaluate this check within 2 weeks ~ 4 weeks on receipt of customer's input or scheduled as per the milestone activity depending up on the project complexity. This is to ensure that the basic design is meeting all the technical specification of the job requirement and to ensure these document shall the basic information to carry on with detailed design.

Follow up for clearing out standing items and reviewing the same periodically

### F Name of Participants

The name of the participants shall be used in place of the function name in the cover page.

After the review done, all participants shall sign in the box next to their names under the respective revision.



## PROJECT ENGINEERING PE(S)-2 CHECKLIST (BASIC DESIGN PHASE)

S/NO	CHECK ITEMS	REMARKS	EVALUATION
<b>1.0</b>	<b>PE(S)-1 REVIEW</b>		
<b>1.1</b>	<b>PE(S)-1 REVIEW AND FOLLOW-UP</b>		
1.1.1	1 Follow-up actions for pending issues		Satisfactory
1.1.2	2 Status of any major issues		Satisfactory
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Technical Scope Validation</b>		
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Amendment of Contract</b>		
2.1.1	1 Amended scope		Satisfactory
2.1.2	2 Revised Bill of Material		Not Applicable
2.1.3	3 Revised Scope Changes		Satisfactory
2.1.4	4 Revised Project schedule		Satisfactory
2.1.5	5 Commitments	According to project schedule. FOB singapore	Satisfactory
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Customer scope</b>		
2.2.1	1 Supply Scope for free issue items		Satisfactory
2.2.2	2 Technical & Engg support required from customer		Satisfactory
2.2.3	3 Logistics co-ordination required from customer		Not Applicable
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Customer Training</b>		
2.3.1	1 Scope of customer training	Optional. Not part of scope.	Satisfactory
2.3.2	2 Planning & Scheduling		Not Applicable
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Comments &amp; follow-up action</b>		
<b>2.5</b>	<b>Manufacturing order</b>		
2.5.1	1 Issues related to order entry to factory (Vnet/IP module)		Satisfactory
2.5.2	2 Any special requirements		Satisfactory
<b>2.6</b>	<b>Tokuchu application</b>		
2.6.1	1 Tokuchu requirement	Commercial	Satisfactory
2.6.2	2 Approval validation (till)	##### 13-Feb-14	Satisfactory
<b>2.7</b>	<b>Document list</b>		
2.7.1	1 Verification of document list with customer requirements		Satisfactory
2.7.2	2 Time frame for submitting & return the documents	14 working days	Satisfactory
<b>2.8</b>	<b>FAT</b>		
2.8.1	1 Scope & Schedule of FAT	Based on ICSS schedule	Satisfactory
2.8.2	2 Special requirement from customer for IFAT		Satisfactory
2.8.3	3 Booking for staging schedule & power requirement	By PCS team	Not Applicable
<b>2.9</b>	<b>Packing , transport &amp; shipping</b>		
2.9.1	1 Scope clarity	Handled by PCS team.	Not Applicable
2.9.2	2 Recommended packing procedure	Yokogawa std	Not Applicable
2.9.3	3 Mode of shipment		Not Applicable
2.9.4	4 Shipment terms	FOB Singapore	Not Applicable
<b>2.10</b>	<b>SAT</b>		
2.10.1	1 Scope & Schedule	_____	Not Applicable
<b>2.11</b>	<b>Comments or follow-up for this section</b>		

## PROJECT ENGINEERING PE(S)-2 CHECKLIST (BASIC DESIGN PHASE)

S/NO	CHECK ITEMS	REMARKS	EVALUATION
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Basic design review</b>		
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Sepcial requirements</b>		
3.1.1	1 Customer Requirements	Y/N Specify:	Satisfactory
3.1.2	2 Industry Requirements	Y/N Specify: Australian Standard	Satisfactory
3.1.3	3 Regulatory Requirements	Y/N Specify:	Satisfactory
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Overall Functional Design</b>		
3.2.1	1 System configuration	Indicate in FDS	Satisfactory
3.2.2	2 Functional specification	Indicate in FDS	Satisfactory
3.2.3	3 System Performance	Indicate in FDS	Satisfactory
3.2.4	4 Spare requirement Philosopahy (Hardware / Software)	Hardware warranty	Satisfactory
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Proof Of Concept</b>		
3.3.1	1 Solutions		Satisfactory
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Panel specification (if any)</b>		
3.4.1	1 KOM with Panel Dept		Not Applicable
3.4.2	2 Scope & schedule		Not Applicable
3.4.3	3 Documentation flow for manufacturing		Not Applicable
3.4.4	4 Scope for free issue items		Not Applicable
3.4.5	5 Panel General Specification		Not Applicable
3.4.6	6 Special requirements for panels		Not Applicable
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Basic Input Design of following</b>		
3.5.1	1 P&ID, PFD & narrative (APC, OTS, AMB)	As part of initial data inp	Satisfactory
3.5.2	2 Graphics, Report format, scan rate (PIMS)	Graphics & Report not provi	Not Satisfactory
3.5.3	3 Alarm KPI (ALMS)	AAM standard	Satisfactory
3.5.4	4 Type / qty of analyser (AAIMS)		Not Applicable
3.5.5	5 Qty of workstation, server, firewall (NISS & DBAS)		Satisfactory
3.5.6	6 Qty / type of camera, encoders, video storage capcity (CCTV)		Not Applicable
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Resale items</b>		
3.6.1	1 Approved vendors list/model		Satisfactory
3.6.2	2 Specifications		Satisfactory
3.6.3	3 Technical Compliance Check		Satisfactory
3.6.4	4 Subvendor co-ordination	SPT Group	Satisfactory
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Potential engineering issues</b>		
3.7.1	Identify technical risks	PLMS and Subsea OTS development and delivery	Satisfactory
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Comments or follow-up for this section</b>		