

Slides adapted from Emily Fox

Introduction to Machine Learning

Machine Learning: Jordan Boyd-Graber University of Maryland

Reminder: Logistic Regression

$$P(Y=0|X) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[\beta_0 + \sum_i \beta_i X_i\right]} \tag{1}$$

$$P(Y=0|X) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[\beta_0 + \sum_i \beta_i X_i\right]}$$

$$P(Y=1|X) = \frac{\exp\left[\beta_0 + \sum_i \beta_i X_i\right]}{1 + \exp\left[\beta_0 + \sum_i \beta_i X_i\right]}$$
(2)

- Discriminative prediction: p(y|x)
- Classification uses: ad placement, spam detection
- What we didn't talk about is how to learn β from data

Logistic Regression: Objective Function

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \ln \rho(Y|X,\beta) = \sum_{j} \ln \rho(y^{(j)}|x^{(j)},\beta)$$

$$= \sum_{j} y^{(j)} \left(\beta_0 + \sum_{i} \beta_i x_i^{(j)}\right) - \ln \left[1 + \exp\left(\beta_0 + \sum_{i} \beta_i x_i^{(j)}\right)\right]$$

$$\tag{3}$$

Logistic Regression: Objective Function


$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \ln p(Y|X,\beta) = \sum_{j} \ln p(y^{(j)}|x^{(j)},\beta)$$

$$= \sum_{j} y^{(j)} \left(\beta_0 + \sum_{i} \beta_i x_i^{(j)}\right) - \ln \left[1 + \exp\left(\beta_0 + \sum_{i} \beta_i x_i^{(j)}\right)\right]$$

$$(4)$$


Training data (y, x) are fixed. Objective function is a function of β ... what values of β give a good value.

Convexity




- Convex function
- Doesn't matter where you start, if you "walk up" objective

Convexity




- Convex function
- Doesn't matter where you start, if you "walk up" objective
- Gradient!


Goal




Goal




Goal




Goal




Goal




Goal




Goal




Goal



Goal




Goal



Goal

Optimize log likelihood with respect to variables β



Luckily, (vanilla) logistic regression is convex

To ease notation, let's define

$$\pi_i = \frac{\exp \boldsymbol{\beta}^T x_i}{1 + \exp \boldsymbol{\beta}^T x_i} \tag{5}$$

Our objective function is

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i} \log p(y_i | x_i) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_i = \sum_{i} \begin{cases} \log \pi_i & \text{if } y_i = 1 \\ \log(1 - \pi_i) & \text{if } y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Taking the Derivative

Apply chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_i(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi_i} \frac{\partial \pi_i}{\partial \beta_j} & \text{if } y_i = 1\\ \frac{1}{1 - \pi_i} \left(-\frac{\partial \pi_i}{\partial \beta_j} \right) & \text{if } y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$
(7)

If we plug in the derivative,

$$\frac{\partial \pi_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) x_j, \tag{8}$$

we can merge these two cases

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_i}{\partial \beta_i} = (y_i - \pi_i) x_j. \tag{9}$$

Gradient

$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_n} \right]$$
(10)

Update

$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$

$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$

$$\beta'_{i} \leftarrow \beta_{i} + \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{i}} \tag{12}$$

Gradient

$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_n} \right]$$
(10)

Update

$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$

$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$
$$\beta'_{i} \leftarrow \beta_{i} + \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{i}} \tag{12}$$

Why are we adding? What would well do if we wanted to do **descent**?

Gradient

$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_n} \right]$$
(10)

Update

$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$

$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$

$$\beta'_{i} \leftarrow \beta_{i} + \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{i}} \tag{12}$$

 η : step size, must be greater than zero

Gradient


$$\nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) = \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_n} \right]$$
(10)


Update


$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$


$$\Delta \beta \equiv \eta \nabla_{\beta} \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta}) \tag{11}$$
$$\beta'_{i} \leftarrow \beta_{i} + \eta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\vec{\beta})}{\partial \beta_{i}} \tag{12}$$


NB: Conjugate gradient is usually better, but harder to implement











Remaining issues

- When to stop?
- What if β keeps getting bigger?

Regularized Conditional Log Likelihood

Unregularized

$$\beta^* = \arg\max_{\beta} \ln \left[p(y^{(j)} | x^{(j)}, \beta) \right]$$
 (13)

Regularized

$$\beta^* = \arg\max_{\beta} \ln \left[p(y^{(j)} | x^{(j)}, \beta) \right] - \mu \sum_{i} \beta_i^2$$
 (14)

Regularized Conditional Log Likelihood

Unregularized

$$\beta^* = \arg\max_{\beta} \ln \left[p(y^{(j)} | x^{(j)}, \beta) \right]$$
 (13)

Regularized

$$\beta^* = \arg \max_{\beta} \ln \left[p(y^{(j)} | x^{(j)}, \beta) \right] - \mu \sum_{i} \beta_i^2$$
 (14)

 μ is "regularization" parameter that trades off between likelihood and having small parameters

Approximating the Gradient

- Our datasets are big (to fit into memory)
- ... or data are changing / streaming

Approximating the Gradient

- Our datasets are big (to fit into memory)
- ... or data are changing / streaming
- Hard to compute true gradient

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\beta, x) \right] \tag{15}$$

Average over all observations

Approximating the Gradient

- Our datasets are big (to fit into memory)
- ... or data are changing / streaming
- Hard to compute true gradient

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\beta, x) \right] \tag{15}$$

- Average over all observations
- What if we compute an update just from one observation?

Getting to Union Station

Pretend it's a pre-smartphone world and you want to get to Union Station





Stochastic Gradient for Logistic Regression

Given a **single observation** x_i chosen at random from the dataset,

$$\beta_{j} \leftarrow \beta_{j}' + \eta \left(-\mu \beta_{j}' + x_{ij} \left[y_{i} - \pi_{i} \right] \right)$$
 (16)

Stochastic Gradient for Logistic Regression

Given a **single observation** x_i chosen at random from the dataset,

$$\beta_{j} \leftarrow \beta_{j}' + \eta \left(-\mu \beta_{j}' + x_{ij} \left[y_{i} - \pi_{i} \right] \right)$$
 (16)

Examples in class.

Stochastic Gradient for Regularized Regression

$$\mathcal{L} = \log p(y|x;\beta) - \mu \sum_{j} \beta_{j}^{2}$$
(17)

Stochastic Gradient for Regularized Regression

$$\mathcal{L} = \log p(y|x;\beta) - \mu \sum_{j} \beta_{j}^{2}$$
(17)

Taking the derivative (with respect to example x_i)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta_j} = (y_i - \pi_i) x_j - 2\mu \beta_j \tag{18}$$

Algorithm

- 1. Initialize a vector B to be all zeros
- 2. For t = 1, ..., T
 - □ For each example \vec{x}_i , y_i and feature j:
 - Compute $\pi_i \equiv \Pr(y_i = 1 | \vec{x}_i)$
 - Set $\beta[j] = \beta[j]' + \lambda(y_i \pi_i)x_i$
- 3. Output the parameters β_1, \ldots, β_d .

Proofs about Stochastic Gradient

- ullet Depends on convexity of objective and how close ϵ you want to get to actual answer
- Best bounds depend on changing η over time and **per dimension** (not all features created equal)

In class

- Your questions!
- Working through simple example
- Prepared for logistic regression homework