

19335015 陈恩婷

说明：鉴于本人的电脑无法在 VMware 中开启虚拟化 CPU 的功能，以下是在同学的电脑上运行的结果，mem-loads 的结果有异常所以没有放出来。

# 1. 普通算法计算矩阵的乘积

Cache-misses

```
@ubuntu:~/Desktop/matrixmul$ sudo perf stat -e cache-misses ./matrix1
Okay

Performance counter stats for './matrix1':

      319,103      cache-misses

      0.144135160 seconds time elapsed

      0.138303000 seconds user
      0.003951000 seconds sys
```

CPI

```
@ubuntu:~/Desktop/matrixmul$ g++ matrix1.cpp -o matrix1
@ubuntu:~/Desktop/matrixmul$ sudo perf stat ./matrix1
Okay

Performance counter stats for './matrix1':

      143.90 msec task-clock          #    0.987 CPUs utilized
           25      context-switches  #    0.174 K/sec
            0      cpu-migrations    #    0.000 K/sec
          391      page-faults       #    0.003 M/sec
    479,299,508      cycles           #    3.331 GHz
    1,386,721,960      instructions   #    2.89  insn per cycle
     55,335,178      branches        #   384.536 M/sec
      114,456      branch-misses     #    0.21% of all branches

      0.145744662 seconds time elapsed

      0.144250000 seconds user
      0.000000000 seconds sys
```

计算得出  $CPI = \text{cycles/instructions} = 0.35$

## 2. 分治算法

Cache-misses

```
@ubuntu:~/Desktop/matrixmul$ sudo perf stat -e cache-misses ./matrix2
Okay

Performance counter stats for './matrix2':

      14,582,854      cache-misses

      1.101730986 seconds time elapsed

      0.826261000 seconds user
      0.267437000 seconds sys
```

CPI

```
@ubuntu:~/Desktop/matrixmul$ sudo perf stat ./matrix2
Okay

Performance counter stats for './matrix2':

      1,191.80 msec task-clock          #    0.932 CPUs utilized
           68      context-switches    #    0.057 K/sec
            1      cpu-migrations       #    0.001 K/sec
      112,997      page-faults          #    0.095 M/sec
  3,726,860,641    cycles                #    3.127 GHz
  9,338,116,108    instructions          #    2.51  insn per cycle
  1,352,416,366    branches              # 1134.770 M/sec
    4,099,112      branch-misses        #    0.30% of all branches

      1.278590477 seconds time elapsed

      0.893156000 seconds user
      0.297718000 seconds sys
```

计算得出  $CPI = \text{cycles/instructions} = 0.40$

可见分治算法的 Cache-misses 明显多于普通算法，cycle 和 instructions 也要多得多。这可能是因为分治算法需要申请比较多的内存，频繁的内存操作导致的运行时间增加。