

WEEK 2

Multivariate Linear Regression

notation: n : # of features

$x^{(i)}$: input of i^{th} training example

$x_j^{(i)}$: value of feature j in i^{th} training example

Hypothesis: $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_n x_n$

define $x_0 = 1$, then $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

also: $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

then: $h_\theta(x) = \theta^T X$

Cost function $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$

Gradient descent:

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} \quad (\text{Sim. update})$$

Could be due to learning rate α too large, try use small α
 Mathematically, for sufficiently small α , $J(\theta)$ should decrease on every iteration
 but if α is too small, gradient descent can be slow to converge

Features and Polynomial Regression

eg: $h(\theta) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_3 = \theta_0 + \theta_1 (\text{size}) + \theta_2 (\text{size})^2 + \theta_3 (\text{size})^3$

$\hookrightarrow x_1 = (\text{size}) \quad x_2 = (\text{size})^2 \quad x_3 = (\text{size})^3$

one should take care of feature scaling, since $x_1 \sim x_3$ takes every different ranges

Normal Equation:

Normal equation: method solve for θ analytically

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = 0 \Rightarrow \theta_0 \dots \theta_n$$

x_0	feature x_1	feature x_2	feature x_3	feature x_4	results y
1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ 1 & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ 1 & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ 1 & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \end{bmatrix}_{m \times (n+1)} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} \checkmark \\ \checkmark \\ \checkmark \\ \checkmark \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1}$$

$$\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

Generally:

m examples. $(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)})$

n features

$$x^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0^{(i)} \\ x_1^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ x_n^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} (x^{(1)})^T \text{---} \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} (x^{(m)})^T \text{---} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times (n+1)}$$

↑
design matrix

It Does NOT matter whether using feature scaling or not in this case

Compare:

Gradient decent

- need to chose α
- needs many iterations
- works well even feature n is larger
- more general

Normal equation

- no need chose α
- no need of iteration
- need to compute $[X^T X]^{-1}$
(slow when n is large)
- linear regression only
- $[X^T X]^{-1}$ may non-invertible

what does $[X^T X]$ is non-invertible mean?

- Redundant features
- Too many features ($m \leq n$)

Vectorization:

$$h_\theta(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \theta_j x_j = \theta^T x$$