## SQLite(DBeaver)

The first step I did is to load the db. file into the DBeaver and start to take a look at the data. From the raw data, it has 6965 rows.

After having a general understanding of the data, I start to check for duplicate data.

```
SELECT * FROM exchange_transactions et

SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT TRANSACTION_id) from exchange_transactions et

SELECT COUNT(TRANSACTION_id) from exchange_transactions et
```

There are a few duplicate primary keys (transcation\_id) in the data sets with duplicate rows. Since transcation\_id is a uniquely generated serial number, and the row associated with the duplicate primary key is also duplicate, it is safe to drop out those rows. Now we have 6915 rows

```
DELETE FROM exchange_transactions
WHERE TRANSACTION_id in
(SELECT max(TRANSACTION_id) FROM exchange_transactions group by TRANSACTION_id
HAVING COUNT(TRANSACTION_id) > 1)
```

My next step is to check Null & NA data, I found out there are null data in parent\_transcation\_id and room\_name. Parent\_transcation by description tells the null is normal when a response is not approved, deny and mark updated.

I found the 2559 Room\_name outside of 6915 is empty when the action is deny\_request, mark\_updated, and request. My understanding is when room\_name is empty, the transaction is either in processing (if approved it will give room\_name on another transaction) or request denied.

```
SELECT "action", count("action") FROM exchange_transactions et
WHERE room_name is NULL
GROUP BY "action"
```

After that, the data was cleaned. The next step I did is find the number of approved requests, releases, and transfers.

```
SELECT "action", COUNT("action") FROM exchange_transactions et GROUP BY "action"

ORDER BY COUNT("action") DESC
```

I am also interested in how many possible surgery times we created since we start to use the iQueue by calculating the end\_time, and start\_time difference when the action is approve\_transfer and released. Under those two actions, the surgeons give the OR time slot to other surgeons. Therefore, the hospital can make more surgeries by using Google Big Query

## Google Big Query SELECT COUNT(action) as total\_transfer,sum(TIME\_DIFF(end\_time, start\_time,MINUTE)) as Total\_time\_tran FROM `LeanTaaS.iQueue` WHERE action = "APPROVE\_TRANSFER" Query results JOB INFORMATION **RESULTS** JSON **EXECUTION DETAILS** total\_transfer Total\_time 255 124435 SELECT COUNT(action) as total\_release, sum(TIME\_DIFF(end\_time, start\_time, MINUTE)) as Total\_time\_rele FROM `LeanTaaS.iQueue` WHERE action = "RELEASE" Query results JOB INFORMATION RESULTS **JSON EXECUTION DETAILS** total\_release Total\_time\_r... 1199 574125

I added up the total time saved 124435+574125 = 698520 minutes and divide by 60 to 11642 hours. By assuming the average surgery time is 8 hours, the hospital approximately can create 1455 more surgeries.

After the initial analysis, I start to think about how to increase adoption. I start using **Tableau** to create some visualizations of the surgeon's usage of iQueue patterns. Asking myself which surgeon/room has less transfer, and why? Maybe the system is difficult to use or the current scheduling is perfect and doesn't need further adjustments? Why is the denial rate for specific rooms and locations higher than others?