Classic Flag Algebra

Fix a family of graphs $\mathcal G$ and some type σ . Take the vector space $\mathbb R\mathcal G^\sigma$. We then quotient this space by the span of vectors of the form

$$F-\sum_{F'\in \mathcal{G}_n^\sigma}p(F;F')F$$

where $n \geq |F|$. Call this space \mathcal{A}^{σ} . To turn this space into an algebra we need to define a product: For σ -flags F, F' define

$$F \cdot F' = \left[\sum_{H \in \mathcal{G}^\sigma} p(F, F'; H) H
ight]$$

(where $[\cdot]$ means the corresponding coset in the quotient space) for any n large enough s.t. F, F' fit in a σ -flag of size n. This turns \mathcal{A}^{σ} into an algebra after extending bilinearly to the whole space.

We call \mathcal{A}^{σ} the (classic) flag algebra of type σ .

⊘ Todo

Is the classic algebra associative?

We extend our classic density function to this space bilinearly (in the first argument).

Lemma: Densities in \mathcal{A}^{σ} are multiplicative in the limit. Meaning for any $f,g\in A^{\sigma}$ and σ -flag G we have:

$$p((f\cdot g);G)=p(f;G)p(g;G)+O\left(rac{1}{|G|}
ight).$$

Local Flag Algebra

Fix a family of graphs $\mathcal G$ and some type σ . Take the vector space $\mathbb R\mathcal L^\sigma$, the span of local σ -flags.

NB: Unlike in the classic flag algebra we do not quotient the space.

Todo

Can we quotient by something? e.g. $ext_i^{\sigma} - ext_i^{\sigma}$

Again we need to define a product. We actually adopt the product from classic algebras with 2 minor adjustments:

- 1. We don't need a coset as we aren't quotienting the space.
- 2. This means we need to pick a specific value of n. We choose n exactly large enough to fit both flags.

Definition (Local Product): Let $F,F'\in\mathcal{L}^\sigma$ be given. Let $n:=|F|+|F'|-|\sigma|$. Then define

$$F\cdot F':=\sum_{H\in \mathcal{L}_g^\sigma}p(F,F';H)\cdot H.$$

This is p, the classic density, not ρ . We've never defined ρ on more than one input flag.

Extend this product bilinearly to the space $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{L}^{\sigma}$ to make it an algebra.

⊙ Todo

Is this associative?

We hope to achieve multiplicative local density in the limit as $\Delta \to \infty$.

Lemma: For fixed $F, F', G \in \mathcal{L}^{\sigma}$ we have:

$$ho(F\cdot F';G)=
ho(F;G)
ho(F';G)+O\left(rac{1}{\Delta}
ight).$$

Before proving this we prove a result about embedding pairs of local flags into larger flags.

Lemma: If F,F' are local σ -flags and G is a σ -flag of size $n=|F|+|F'|-|\sigma|$ such that p(F,F';G)>0 then G is also a local σ -flag.

Proof:

Let θ, θ' be the σ embeddings for F, F' and η the σ embedding for G.

To prove G is a local flag we first need to show that $\rho(G;\cdot)$ is a bounded function. i.e. $c(G;\cdot)\in O(\Delta^{|G|-|\sigma|})$.

As p(F,F';G)>0 there is some $U,U'\subseteq V(G)$ such that $U\cap U'=\operatorname{im}\eta$ and $F\cong G[U]\wedge F'\cong G[U']$ as σ flags.

Let (H,ζ) be another σ -flag. If c(G;H)=0 we're done so assume otherwise and let $\operatorname{im} \zeta \subseteq V \subseteq V(H)$ be such that $G\cong H[V]$ as σ -flags. In particular then this isomorphism ϕ induces an embedding of U,U' into V(H) such that $\operatorname{im} \eta = \zeta(U) \cap \zeta(U')$ and $H[\zeta(U)] \cong F \wedge H[\zeta(U')] \cong F'$ as σ -flags. Hence any choice of an instance of G in H and choice of instances U,U' of F,F' in G gives rise to a pair of instances of F and F' in G in G are constant number G instances of G is fixed).

Note then also that any choice of a pair of instances of F,F' in H can be derived from at most 1 instance of G, as the size of G was chosen to be the minimum possible such that both F,F' fit. If the two instances overlap (outside of the required intersection at $\operatorname{im} \zeta$) then they don't correspond to an instance of G. If they don't overlap then their union corresponds to a single *possible* instance of G.

In summary each instance of G gives rise to some non-zero but bounded number of pairs of instances of F,F' in H, and each pair of instances is induced by at most 1 instance of G. Therefore $c(G;H) \leq \frac{1}{G} \cdot c(F;H) \cdot c(F';H)$. $c(F;\cdot)$ and $c(F',\cdot)$ are $\in O(\Delta^{|F|-|\sigma|})$

and $\in O(\Delta^{|F'|-|\sigma|})$ respectively as F,F' are local flags hence their product is $\in O(\Delta^{|F|+|F'|-2|\sigma|})=O(\Delta^{|G|-|\sigma|})$ so $c(G,\cdot)\in O(\Delta^{|G|-|\sigma|})$ showing $\rho(G,\cdot)$ is a bounded function as required.

⊘ Todo

Remains to prove that G still has bounded density after fixing any unlabelled vertices. This might not be needed though if we prove that this property is always implied by the bounded property.

The value of this lemma is that is tells us that our sum over local flags in the definition of the product does include all flags for which F,F' can be embedded.