

Lecture 15: November 14

Lecturer: Persi Diaconis

Scribes: Kenneth Tay

15.1 Stein's Method

Recall the following set-up:

- A finite index set $|I| < \infty$,
- Binary random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$,
- $p_i = P\{X_i = 1\}$,
- $W = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$,
- $\lambda = \mathbb{E}W = \sum_{i \in I} p_i$.
- A dependency graph for the random variables $\{X_i\}$.

We stated this theorem last lecture:

Theorem 15.1 *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of 0/1-valued random variables, and let (I, E) be a dependency graph for $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$. Let $p_{ij} = P(X_i = X_j = 1)$, and let P_W denote the probability distribution of $W = \sum X_i$. Then*

$$\|P_W - \text{Poisson}(\lambda)\|_{TV} \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left[\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i} p_i p_j \right].$$

This lecture will be spent proving Theorem 15.1.

We first present and prove a fact from analysis which we will use in the proof:

Lemma 15.2 *Let $P_\lambda(A)$ denote the probability that the value of a $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ random variable falls in A . For every $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\lambda f(w+1) - wf(w) = \delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)$$

for $w = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, $|f(w)| \leq 1.25$ and $|f(w+1) - f(w)| \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1})$.

Proof: Set $f(0) = 0$. Notice that the given equation dictates that

$$f(w+1) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [wf(w) + \delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)],$$

so the equation determines $f(w)$ for all w once $f(0)$ is set. It remains to show the bounds on $|f(w)|$ and $|f(w+1) - f(w)|$.

Multiplying both sides of the given recurrence by $\frac{\lambda^w}{w!}$:

$$\frac{\lambda^{w+1}}{w!}f(w+1) - \frac{\lambda^w}{(w-1)!}f(w) = \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}[\delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)].$$

Summing it up for $w = 0, \dots, k-1$, the LHS is a telescoping series, and so we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!}f(k) = \sum_{w=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}[\delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)]. \quad (15.1)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}[\delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)] &= \sum_{w \in A} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!} - P_\lambda(A) \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!} \\ &= e^\lambda P_\lambda(A) - P_\lambda(A) \cdot e^\lambda \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we also have

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!}f(k) = \sum_{w=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}[\delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)]. \quad (15.2)$$

Because $|\delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)| \leq 1$, we can bound the RHS of Equation 15.1:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(k)| &= \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \left| \sum_{w=0}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}[\delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{w=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^{k-1-w} w!} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{w=0}^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^w (k-1-w)!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda} \right)^w \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{k-1}{\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda - (k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can bound the RHS of Equation 15.2:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(k)| &\leq \frac{(k-1)!}{\lambda^k} \sum_{w=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^m (k-1)!}{(k+m)!} \\
 &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{k+1} \right)^m \\
 &= \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{k+1}} \\
 &= \frac{k+1}{k(k+1-\lambda)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the first bound for $k \leq \lambda + \frac{1}{5}$ and the second bound for $k \geq \lambda + \frac{1}{5}$, we can conclude that that $|f(k)| \leq 1.25$ for $k \geq 2$.

For $k = 1$, note that $f(1) = \frac{1}{\lambda}[\delta_A(0) - P_\lambda(A)]$. The RHS is largest when $A = \{0\}$, and smallest when $A = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. In either case,

$$|f(1)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \leq 1.$$

To bound $|f(w+1) - f(w)|$, it is clear that

$$|f(w+1) - f(w)| \leq |f(w+1)| + |f(w)| \leq 2 \times 1.25 < 3.$$

As part of homework, we will show that $|f(w+1) - f(w)| \leq \lambda$ for $\lambda \geq 1/3$. Putting the bounds together, we get $|f(w+1) - f(w)| \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1})$.

■

The key in all applications of Stein's method is the **Stein equation**:

Theorem 15.3 (Stein's Equation) $Z \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ if and only if for every $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded,

$$\mathbb{E}\{\lambda f(Z+1) - Zf(Z)\} = 0. \quad (15.3)$$

Proof: Assume that $Z \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Since Equation 15.3 is linear in f , it suffices to prove that Equation 15.3 holds for point delta functions, i.e. $f(j) = \delta_a(j)$ for some point a . In that case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}\{\lambda f(Z+1) - Zf(Z)\} &= (\lambda - 0)P\{Z = a-1\} + (0 - a)P\{Z = a\} \\
 &= \lambda \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{a-1}}{(a-1)!} - a \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^a}{a!} \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the opposite direction, assume that $\mathbb{E}\{\lambda f(W+1) - Wf(W)\} = 0$ for all bounded f . Pick any set A , and choose f as in Lemma 15.2, i.e. such that $\lambda f(w+1) - wf(w) = \delta_A(w) - P_\lambda(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \mathbb{E}\{\lambda f(W+1) - Wf(W)\} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[\delta_A(W) - P_\lambda(A)] \\
 &= P\{W \in A\} - P_\lambda(A),
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $W \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. ■

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 15.1.

Proof:[of Theorem 15.1]

Take any $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, pick f as in Lemma 15.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda(A) - P\{W \in A\} &= \mathbb{E}(Wf(W) - \lambda f(W+1)) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[X_i f(W) - p_i f(W+1)] \\ &=: \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Set $W_i = W - X_i$, and $V_i = \sum_{j \in N_i^c} X_j$. By our assumptions about the dependency graph, X_i is independent of V_i . Also,

$$X_i f(W) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X_i = 0 \\ f(W_i + 1) & \text{if } X_i = 1 \end{cases} = X_i f(W_i + 1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)f(W_i + 1) + p_i(f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1))] \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)f(W_i + 1)] + \sum_i \mathbb{E}[p_i(f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1))] \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{E}[(X_i - p_i)(f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1))] + \sum_i \mathbb{E}[p_i(f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1))] \\ &=: (I) + (II). \end{aligned}$$

If $X_i = 0$, we have $f(W_i + 1) = f(W + 1)$. If $X_i = 1$, Lemma 15.2 gives us the bound $|f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)| \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1})$. Hence, $|f(W_i + 1) - f(W + 1)| \leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1})X_i$, so we can bound (II):

$$|(II)| \leq \sum_{i \in I} p_i \mathbb{E}[\min(3, \lambda^{-1})X_i] = \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} p_i^2.$$

To bound (I), if we set $N_i \setminus \{i\} = \{X'_1, \dots, X'_m\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(W_i + 1) - f(V_i + 1)| &= \left| f\left(V_i + \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} X_j + 1\right) - f(V_i + 1) \right| \\ &= \left| f\left(V_i + \sum_{j=1}^m X'_j + 1\right) - f(V_i + 1) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \left| f\left(V_i + \sum_{k=1}^j X'_k + 1\right) - f\left(V_i + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} X'_k + 1\right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \min(3, \lambda^{-1})X'_j \\ &= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} X_j, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(I)| &\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{E} \left[|X_i - p_i| \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} X_j \right] \\
 &\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} (\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] + p_i \mathbb{E} X_j) \\
 &= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} (p_{ij} + p_i p_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting the bounds for (I) and (II) together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_\lambda(A) - P\{W \in A\} &\leq \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} p_i^2 + \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} (p_{ij} + p_i p_j) \\
 &= \min(3, \lambda^{-1}) \left[\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} p_{ij} + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in N_i} p_i p_j \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

There are 3 versions of Stein's Method for Poisson approximation:

1. Dependency graphs (Chen/Stein method, which we have done in class),
2. Method of exchangeable pairs,
3. Size biased coupling (Barbour's method).

15.2 Gaussian Heuristic

Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be real-valued random variables. The Gaussian Heuristic can be stated as follows:

If I large and the X_i are “not too dependent” and “not too wild”, then $W = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ has an approximate $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution, where $\mu = \mathbb{E}W$, $\sigma^2 = \text{Var } W$.

Example: Say we have an $n \times n$ grid. At each grid site (i, j) ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$), put a uniform random variable $U_{ij} \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$, with the U_{ij} 's iid. Let W be the number of local maxima (i.e. bigger than its neighbors). If we let

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_{ij} \text{ is a local maxima,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then the Gaussian heuristic says that W has an approximate normal distribution.