

Lecture 16: November 16

Lecturer: Persi Diaconis

Scribes: Kenneth Tay

16.1 Weak Convergence

Definition 16.1 If F_n, F are distribution functions on \mathbb{R} , then F_n **converges weakly** to F if $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ for all continuity points x of F .

If F_n converges weakly to F , we write $F_n \Rightarrow F$.

Example: Take F_n corresponding to a point mass at $\frac{1}{n}$, F corresponding to a point mass at 0. Then $\sup_x |F_n(x) - F(x)| = 1$ for all n , but $F_n \Rightarrow F$.

Below is a lemma which we will use at some point:

Lemma 16.2 Let $\mathcal{C}_b^\infty = \{f : f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ has bounded derivatives of all order}\}$.

If F_n, F are distribution functions on \mathbb{R} such that $F_n \Rightarrow F$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dF_n \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dF$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty$. The converse is true as well.

Proof: We will just prove the converse statement.

Want $\mathbb{E}_{F_n}(g) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_F(g)$, where $g(x) = 1$ if $x \leq x_0$, 0 otherwise.

Let

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{z} \int_x^1 \exp\left[-\frac{1}{s(1-s)}\right] ds & \text{if } 0 < x < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $z = \int_0^1 \exp\left[-\frac{1}{s(1-s)}\right] ds$. It can be checked that ψ is differentiable of all orders.

For $u > 0$, let $\psi_u(x - y) = \psi(u(x - y))$. As a function of x , we have

$$\psi_u(x - y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq y + \frac{1}{u}, \\ \text{"smooth"} & \text{if } y < x < y + \frac{1}{u}. \end{cases}$$

Fix y . Note that

$$F_n(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y F_n(dx) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{(-\infty, y]} F_n(dx) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_u(x - y) F_n(dx)$$

for all n and u . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_n F_n(y) &\leq \limsup_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_u(x-y) F_n(dx) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_u(x-y) F(dx) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{(-\infty, y+\frac{1}{u}]} F(dx) \\ &= F\left(y + \frac{1}{u}\right).\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument using $\psi_u(x-y+\frac{1}{u})$, we can obtain

$$\liminf_n F_n(y) \geq F\left(y - \frac{1}{u}\right).$$

Hence, for all $u > 0$,

$$F\left(y - \frac{1}{u}\right) \leq \liminf_n F_n(y) \leq \limsup_n F_n(y) \leq F\left(y + \frac{1}{u}\right).$$

If x is a continuity point, $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} F\left(y - \frac{1}{u}\right) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} F\left(y + \frac{1}{u}\right) = F(y)$, implying that $F_n(y) \rightarrow F(y)$, as required. ■

16.2 Central Limit Theorem

The gist of the Central Limit Theorem is as follows: Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be random variables with finite mean μ_i and finite variance σ_i^2 . If they are “not too dependent” and “no few terms dominate”, then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i}{s_n} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x),$$

where $s_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$, and $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2}\right] dt$.

There are several versions of the Central Limit Theorem; in this lecture, we will go through Lindeberg’s version.

Definition 16.3 A **triangular array** is a series of random variables $\{X_{ni}\}$ where the random variables in the n^{th} row are $X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \dots, X_{nk_n}$.

Theorem 16.4 (Lindeberg’s CLT) Let $\{X_{ni}\}$ be a triangular array. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}(X_{ni}) = 0$ for all n and i , and that $\text{Var } X_{ni} = \sigma_{ni}^2 < \infty$. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} X_{ni}$, $s_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \sigma_{ni}^2$ (i.e. sum of the n^{th} row and its variance.)

Suppose for every n , $\{X_{ni}\}_{i=1}^{k_n}$ is independent. Suppose also that the Lindeburg condition holds: i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \int_{\{|X_{ni}| > \varepsilon s_n\}} X_{ni}^2 dP = 0.$$

Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n}{s_n} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x).$$

16.2.1 Example: iid setting

Suppose X_i , $1 \leq i < \infty$ are iid, with mean 0 and finite variance σ^2 . Prove that

$$P\left\{\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq x\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x).$$

Proof: Make a triangular array

$$\begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ X_1, X_2 \\ X_1, X_2, X_3 \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

We have $s_n^2 = n\sigma^2$. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \int_{\{|X_{ni}| > \varepsilon s_n\}} X_{ni}^2 dP &= \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \cdot n \cdot \int_{\{|X_1| > \varepsilon\sigma\sqrt{n}\}} X_1^2 dP \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\{|X_1| > \varepsilon\sigma\sqrt{n}\}} X_1^2 dP \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, Lindeberg's condition holds and we can conclude by using Lindeberg's CLT. ■

16.2.2 Example: Card guessing, scoring system 1

Recall the card guessing set-up with complete feedback (i.e. guessing cards one by one, I tell you what the card actually was). For a deck of n cards, let Y_{ni} be 1 if you guess the i^{th} card correctly, 0 otherwise, i.e.

$$Y_{ni} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{n-i+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $X_{ni} = Y_{ni} - \frac{1}{n-i+1}$ so that $\mathbb{E}X_{ni} = 0$. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ni}^2 &= \frac{1}{n-i+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-i+1}\right), \\ s_n^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{i}\right) \\ &= \log n - \gamma - \pi^2/6 + O(1/n) \\ &\sim \log n.\end{aligned}$$

Let's check that the Lindeburg condition holds: since X_{ni} is bounded by 1, for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \int_{\{|X_{ni}| > \varepsilon s_n\}} X_{ni}^2 dP &\approx \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|X_{ni}| > \varepsilon \sqrt{\log n}\}} X_{ni}^2 dP \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

exactly for large n .

Therefore, we can apply Lindeberg's CLT to obtain

$$P \left\{ \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \leq x \right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x).$$

16.2.3 Example: Card guessing, scoring system 2

As in the previous example, we have a deck of cards labeled 1 to n , you try to guess the cards. In the previous example, I gave you a score of 1 for each card you got right. In this example, I give you a score equal to the reciprocal of the probability of getting the card right. (This makes the game fair.) Let

$$Y_{ni} = \begin{cases} i & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{i}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $X_{ni} = Y_{ni} - 1$ so that $\mathbb{E}X_{ni} = 1$. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ni}^2 &= (i-1)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{i} + (-1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{i}\right) = i-1, \\ s_n^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let's check if Lindeberg's condition holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \int_{\{|X_{ni}| > \varepsilon s_n\}} X_{ni}^2 dP &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|X_{ni}| > \varepsilon \sqrt{n(n-1)/2}\}} X_{ni}^2 dP \\ &\geq \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{i > n\varepsilon} \frac{(i-1)^2}{i}\end{aligned}$$

which does not go to zero. Hence, the Lindeberg condition does not hold.

In fact, the CLT fails in this case. It turns out that $P\{S_n/n \leq x\} \rightarrow G(x)$ where G is some (interesting) distribution function, but which is *not* Gaussian.

16.2.4 Example: Does someone have ESP?

Consider a model where

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{i^\theta}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. The case of $\theta = 1$ corresponds to the model in Section 16.2.2, i.e. guessing cards with complete feedback. The case of $\theta < 1$ corresponds to the setting where someone has greater than the usual odds of guessing the cards right (e.g. due to ESP).

In testing $H_0 : \theta = 1$ vs. $H_1 : \theta < 1$, we look at the likelihood ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_\theta(\text{data})}{P_0(\text{data})} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1/i^\theta)^{X_i} (1 - 1/i^\theta)^{1-X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1/i)^{X_i} (1 - 1/i)^{1-X_i}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(i^\theta - 1)^{1-X_i}}{i^\theta} \cdot \frac{i}{(i - 1)^{1-X_i}} \\ &= C \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{i - 1}{i^\theta - 1} \right)^{X_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We reject the null hypothesis if the ratio is large, and don't reject if the ratio is small.

We could also look at the log-likelihood instead:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{P_\theta(\text{data})}{P_0(\text{data})} \right) &= \log C + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \left(\frac{i - 1}{i^\theta - 1} \right) \\ &= \log C + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i w_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $w_i = \log \left(\frac{i - 1}{i^\theta - 1} \right)$. We can check that the Lindeberg condition holds, and so we can apply CLT here.