

Lecture 2: September 28

Lecturer: Persi Diaconis

Scribes: Kenneth Tay

2.1 Strong Law of Large Numbers

Recall the set-up from the last lecture:

- $\Omega = (0, 1]$ is the sample space.
- For intervals $(a, b]$ in Ω , we assign probabilities: $p((a, b]) = b - a$.
- For each $\omega \in \Omega$, write $\omega = 0.d_1(\omega)d_2(\omega)\dots$ (binary expansion).
- Let $r_i = 2d_i - 1$. The r_i 's take on the value $+1$ or -1 .
- Let $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$.

Theorem 2.1 (Strong Law of Large Numbers) *Let*

$$B = \left\{ \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

Then B^c is negligible, i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a covering of $B^c \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i]$ with $\sum (b_i - a_i) < \varepsilon$.

Proof: For every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| > \varepsilon \right\} &= P \left\{ \omega : \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^4 > \varepsilon^4 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^1 |S_n|^4}{n^4 \varepsilon^4} \end{aligned}$$

by Markov's inequality. It can also be shown that $\int |S_n|^4 \leq 3n^2$. Applying this result, we have

$$P \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| > \varepsilon \right\} \leq \frac{3}{n^2 \varepsilon^4}.$$

Choose a sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ such that $\sum \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon_n^4} < \infty$. Then for any fixed m ,

$$B \supseteq \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| < \varepsilon_n \right\}.$$

Taking complements on both sides,

$$\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| \geq \varepsilon_n \right\} \supseteq B^c.$$

Note that for any fixed n , each set of the LHS can be expressed as a disjoint union of intervals, i.e. $\{|\frac{S_n}{n}| \geq \varepsilon_n\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} I_{n,i}$ where the I 's are disjoint intervals. So

$$B^c \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{n=1 \\ i=1, \dots, k_n}}^{\infty} I_{n,i}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} |I_{n,i}| \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^4}.$$

Choose m large so that $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^4} < \varepsilon$. Hence, B^c is contained in a set of intervals length $< \varepsilon$. ■

Note: There is an explicit expression for B :

$$B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right| < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

2.2 Assigning Probabilities

We want to assign probabilities to a large variety of sets, e.g.

- \mathbb{R}^n ,
- $C[0, 1]$ (all continuous functions on the unit interval),
- $P[0, 1]$ (all probability distributions on $[0, 1]$).

To do so, we will need a few more definitions.

Definition 2.2 A **field** \mathcal{F}_0 is a collection of subsets $A \subseteq \Omega$ such that:

1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
2. (Closed under complements) $A \in \mathcal{F}_0 \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
3. (Closed under finite unions) $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}_0 \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

Here is an example of a field: Let $\Omega = (0, 1]$. Then $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\text{disjoint unions of } (a_i, b_i] \subseteq (0, 1]\}$ is a field.

Definition 2.3 A **σ -field** is a field which is closed under countable unions.

Here are some examples of σ -fields:

- $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$.

- All subsets of Ω .
- The intersection of any collection of σ -fields is also a σ -field.
- The fact above has an important consequence. If \mathcal{C} is any collection of subsets of Ω , there is a smallest σ -field that contains \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcap \{\text{all } \sigma\text{-fields containing } \mathcal{C}\}.$$

- Let \mathcal{C} = all sets of the form $(a_i, b_i]$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a σ -field. We call the sets in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ **Borel sets**.

Definition 2.4 Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space. A **probability** on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a function $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:

1. $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1$.
2. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$.
3. (Countable additivity) If $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ disjoint for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $P(\bigcup A_i) = \sum P(A_i)$.

2.2.1 Densities for subsets of \mathbb{N}

Definition 2.5 Let $\Omega = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. $A \subseteq \Omega$ has **density** l if

$$\#\{i \leq n : i \in A\} / (n + 1) \rightarrow l \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here are some examples to give some intuition on what values densities take:

- $A = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ has density $\frac{1}{2}$.
- $A = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ has density $\frac{1}{2}$.
- $A = \{0, 3, 6, \dots\}$ has density $\frac{1}{3}$.
- $A = \{1, 4, 7, \dots\}$ has density $\frac{1}{3}$.
- The set $A = \{\text{all primes}\}$ has zero density as $\#\{\text{primes} < n\} \sim \frac{n}{\log n}$.
- The squarefree numbers have density $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$.

However, not all sets have density! For example, take $A = \{i : i \text{ begins with } 1\}$. $A = \{1\} \cup \{10, 11, \dots, 19\} \cup \{100, \dots, 199\} \cup \dots$. The size of $\#\{m : 1 \leq m \leq n, m \in A\}$ oscillates between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{9}$, and does not have a density.

Consider $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathbb{N} \text{ which have a density}\}$. Is \mathcal{C} a field? Let's check.

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$,
- closed under complements,
- closed under finite disjoint unions,
- BUT we can find 2 sets $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ but $A \cap B \notin \mathcal{C}$. Notice also that $\{i\}$ has density 0, but $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \{i\}$ has density 1. So the measure is not countably additive.

2.2.2 Extending probabilities on fields to σ -fields

Given a probability on (Ω, \mathcal{F}_0) where \mathcal{F}_0 is a field, we want to extend P to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_0}$, i.e. the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{F}_0 .

Definition 2.6 With (Ω, \mathcal{F}_0) , let P be a probability on \mathcal{F}_0 . For every $A \subseteq \Omega$, define

$$P^*(A) := \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(B_i)$$

where $A \subseteq \cup B_i$, $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_0$. (inf is taken over all possible coverings.) $P^*(A)$ is called the **outer measure** of A .

Elementary properties of outer measure:

1. $P^*(\emptyset) = 0$, $P^*(\Omega) = 1$.
2. P^* is countably sub-additive, i.e. if A_i are any (countable number of) sets in Ω , then $P^*(\cup A_i) \leq \sum P^*(A_i)$.

Let's prove the second property above.

Proof: Using the definition of $P^*(A_i)$ as an infimum: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some covering $\{B_{ij}\}$ of A_i ($j = 1, \dots, \infty$) with $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_0$ such that

$$P^*(A_i) + \varepsilon/2^i \geq \sum_j P(B_{ij}).$$

Now,

$$\bigcup_i A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i,j} B_{ij},$$

so

$$P^*\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) \leq \sum \left[\sum P(B_{ij}) + \varepsilon/2^i \right] \leq \sum P^*(A_i) + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε was arbitrary, we are done. ■

Aside: What have we learnt about length and area since the Greeks? Two things:

1. You can't consistently assign length to all subsets of $(0, 1]$.
2. One more idea (due to Carathéodory) makes a reasonable theory. On (Ω, \mathcal{F}_0) , with P a probability on \mathcal{F}_0 , let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{A : \forall E \subseteq \Omega, P^*(E) = P^*(A \cap E) + P^*(A^c \cap E)\}.$$

We will show that:

- (a) \mathcal{M} is a σ -field containing \mathcal{F}_0 .
- (b) P^* is countably additive on \mathcal{M} .
- (c) $P^*(A) = P(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$.
- (d) P^* is unique.