STATS 300A: Theory of Statistics I

Autumn 2016/17

Scribes: Kenneth Tay

Lecture 10: October 27

Lecturer: Joseph Romano

## 10.1 Examples of Minimax Estimators

## 10.1.1 Binomial setting, weighted squared loss

 $X \sim \text{Binom}(n,p)$ . We showed last week that if the loss function is given by  $L(d,p) = \frac{(d-p)^2}{p(1-p)}$ , then the estimator  $\frac{X}{n}$  is Bayes w.r.t. the prior U(0,1) = Beta(1,1). We also showed last week that a Bayes estimator with constant risk is minimax.

Since  $\frac{X}{n}$  has constant risk  $\frac{1}{n}$  and is Bayes, it is minimax.

## 10.1.2 Binomial setting, squared error loss

We know that X + an + a + b is Bayes w.r.t. the prior Beta(a, b). If we can find values of a and b such that this estimator has constant risk, then it will be minimax. The risk of the estimator is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{p}\left[\left(\frac{X+a}{n+a+b}-p\right)^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{(n+a+b)^{2}}\mathbb{E}_{p}\left[\left[X+a-p(n+a+b)\right]^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+a+b)^{2}}\mathbb{E}_{p}\left[\left[(X-np)+(a-pa-pb)\right]^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+a+b)^{2}}[np(1-p)+(a-pa-pb)^{2}].$$

To make the risk constant, we need the coefficients of  $p^2$  and p in the second factor of the product on the RHS to be zero, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} -n + (a+b)^2 = 0, \\ n - 2a(a+b) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, if  $a=b=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}$ , the estimator  $\frac{X+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}}{n+\sqrt{n}}$  has constant risk and is Bayes w.r.t. to the Beta(a,b) prior, so is minimax.

## 10.1.3 Normal location model

We will use the following general fact:

**Proposition 10.1** If an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  has constant risk and is admissible, then it is minimax.

10-2 Lecture 10: October 27

**Proof:** If it is not minimax, then there is an estimator  $\delta$  with better worst case risk, i.e.

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) < \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} R(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = R(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}),$$

which means that  $\delta$  dominates  $\hat{\theta}$ , making  $\hat{\theta}$  inadmissible.

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iid, with  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ . Under squared error loss, we showed last week that  $\bar{X}$  is admissible and has constant risk. Thus, by the proposition above, it is minimax.

**Note:** The converse of the proposition is not true: A minimax estimator with constant risk need not be admissible.