

## Lecture 16: November 29

Lecturer: Joseph Romano

Scribes: Kenneth Tay

## 16.1 Examples of UMPU Tests

## 16.1.1 Testing independence in a bivariate normal family

Let  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  be iid,  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi, \sigma^2)$ ,  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\eta, \tau^2)$ , and the  $(X_i, Y_i)$ 's having joint density

$$\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \xi)^2 - \frac{2\rho}{\sigma\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \xi)(Y_i - \eta) + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \eta)^2 \right] \right\},$$

where  $\rho$  is the correlation between the  $X_i$ 's and  $Y_i$ 's. Assume that all 5 parameters are unknown, and that we are testing  $H_0 : \rho \leq 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \rho > 0$ .

In canonical form (introduced in the previous lecture), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \sum X_i Y_i, & \theta &= \frac{2\rho}{\sigma\tau 2(1-\rho^2)}, \\ \vartheta_1 &= \xi, & \vartheta_2 &= \eta, & \vartheta_3 &= \sigma^2, & \vartheta_4 &= \tau^2, \\ T_1 &= \sum X_i, & T_2 &= \sum Y_i, & T_3 &= \sum X_i^2, & T_4 &= \sum Y_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a UMPU test exists, and it rejects if  $U > C(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4)$ , where

$$P_{\rho=0} \{U > C(T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4) \mid T_1, \dots, T_4\} = \alpha.$$

Equivalently, if we let  $\hat{\rho}$  denote the sample correlation, the UMPU test rejects if

$$\hat{\rho} := \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}} > C'(T_1, \dots, T_4).$$

Note that for the reduced family with  $\rho = 0$ , the distribution of  $\hat{\rho}$  does not change as  $T_1, \dots, T_4$  vary. Hence,  $\hat{\rho}$  is ancillary. Since  $T_1, \dots, T_4$  are complete sufficient for the reduced family  $\rho = 0$ , by Basu's Theorem  $\hat{\rho}$  is independent of  $(T_1, \dots, T_4)$  under  $\rho = 0$ .

Thus,  $C'(T_1, \dots, T_4)$  does not depend on  $(T_1, \dots, T_4)$ , i.e. the UMPU test rejects if  $\hat{\rho} > \tilde{c}$ , where  $\tilde{c}$  is determined by  $P_{\rho=0} \{\hat{\rho} > \tilde{c}\} = \alpha$ .

Under  $\rho = 0$ , it is a fact that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n-2}\hat{\rho}}{\sqrt{1-\hat{\rho}^2}} \sim t_{n-2}.$$

Hence, the UMPU test rejects if  $\frac{\sqrt{n-2}\hat{\rho}}{\sqrt{1-\hat{\rho}^2}} > t_{n-2}(1-\alpha)$ .

### 16.1.2 One-sample randomization tests

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid,  $X_i \sim f(x - \theta)$ , where  $f$  is assumed to have a density and  $f(\cdot)$  is assumed to be symmetric about 0. (No other assumptions on  $f$ .) We want to test  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > 0$ .

The unbiasedness of a test  $\varphi$  implies

$$\int \dots \int \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) dx_i = \alpha \quad \text{for all } f \text{ symmetric about 0.} \quad (16.1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{\text{all densities about 0}\}$ . Then  $T := \text{set of ordered absolute values of } X_i$  is complete sufficient for  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Thus, any test satisfying Equation 16.1 must satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi \mid T) = \alpha. \quad (16.2)$$

Under  $H_0$ , for any given  $T$ , there are  $2^n$  equally likely datasets which give rise to that  $T$ . For any test statistic, we can calculate it for these  $2^n$  datasets to obtain a null reference distribution. We then reject if the test statistic for the data was large relative to this reference distribution.

There is no one test statistic that results in a UMP test for the whole family  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . For a particular subfamily, how do we go about picking a test statistic?

Suppose we want to maximize power when  $f = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . The conditional probability density function at  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has absolute values  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ , is

$$\begin{aligned} & \propto \prod_{i=1}^n f_\mu(x_i) \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \mu)^2\right\} \\ & \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum X_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum X_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Conditionally,  $\sum X_i^2$  is constant. Hence, the UMPU test is equivalent to rejecting for large values of  $\sum X_i$ . Since the  $t$ -statistic

$$\hat{t} = \frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sqrt{(\sum X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2)/(n-1)}}$$

is an increasing function of  $\bar{X}$ , the UMPU test is equivalent to rejecting for large values of the  $t$ -statistic.

## 16.2 Invariant Tests

We try to motivate invariant tests through 2 examples.

### 16.2.1 Example: Normal setting

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be mutually independent, with  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta_i, 1)$ . Testing  $H_0 : \theta_1 = \dots = \theta_n = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \text{not all 0}$ .

Consider our data as a vector  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $Y = OX$ , where  $O$  is an orthogonal matrix.

Geometrically speaking,  $Y$  is simply a rotation of the data  $X$ . Hence, it is reasonable that a test's result for data  $X$  should be the same as that for data  $Y$ . In this context, we say that a test  $\varphi$  is **invariant** if  $\varphi(X) = \varphi(OX)$  for any orthogonal matrix  $O$ .

Now, think of data  $X$  as living in  $n$ -dimensional space. Note that the invariance condition means that  $\varphi$  must give the same result for 2 datasets if their distances from the origin are the same. This implies that for a test  $\varphi$  to be invariant, it must be a function of  $T := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ .

In general,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  has a non-central chi-squared distribution:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2 \right).$$

Let  $\psi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i^2$ . Then the original testing setting is equivalent to testing  $\psi^2 = 0$  vs.  $\psi^2 > 0$  based on  $T$ .

Note that the family of distributions of  $T$  has monotone likelihood ratio in  $T$ , hence the UMPU test rejects if  $T > c_n(1 - \alpha)$ , where  $c_n(1 - \alpha)$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi_n^2$ .

### 16.2.2 Example: Non-parametric setting with symmetry

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid on  $(0, 1)$ . Testing  $H_0 : X_i \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  vs.  $H_1 : X_i$ 's have density  $f(x)$  or  $f(1 - x)$ , where  $f$  is fixed.

Let  $Y_i = 1 - X_i$ . Then testing based on the  $Y$ 's is the same problem as testing based on the  $X$ 's, so an invariant test  $\varphi$  should give the same result, i.e.

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n).$$

Note now that if  $\varphi$  is invariant, the power of  $\varphi$  at  $f(x)$  or  $f(1 - x)$  is the same, which implies that it is the same at  $\frac{f(x) + f(1 - x)}{2}$  as well.

But now we are testing a simple null vs. a simple alternative! We can use the Neyman-Pearson Lemma to obtain an invariant test which rejects for large values of  $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{f(X_i) + f(1 - X_i)}{2}$ .

### 16.2.3 General Set-up

Assume we have data  $X \sim P_\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the sample space for  $X$ . Testing  $H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta \in \Omega_1$ .

Let's assume that there is a group of 1-to-1 transformations  $G$  which act on the data such that for any  $g \in G$ ,

$$X \sim P_\theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad gX \sim P_{\theta'}$$

for some  $\theta' \in \Omega$ . If this is the case, we write  $\theta' = \bar{g}\theta$ , and we say that  $g$  induces a transformation on the parameter space.

We also assume that  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$  are *preserved* in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{g}\theta \in \Omega_0 & \text{ iff } \theta \in \Omega_0, \\ \bar{g}\theta \in \Omega_1 & \text{ iff } \theta \in \Omega_1.\end{aligned}$$

We write  $\bar{g}\Omega_i = \Omega_i$ .

**Definition 16.1** A test  $\varphi$  is ***invariant*** if  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(gx)$  for all  $g \in G$ .