Question 2

[step 1]

```
Define the set \mathcal{G} of formulas that uoc \{\neg, \land\}
       Let \mathcal{G} be the smallest set such that
       Basis: If x is a propositional variable, then x \in \mathcal{G}
       INDUCTION STEP: If F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{G}, then \neg F, (F_1 \land F_2) \in G
[step 2]
WTS: For all F \in \mathcal{G} there exists F' such that
F' uoc from \{\underline{1}, \ll\}
Basis: Let F = x, where x is a propositional variable.
       Let F' = x
       Then F' uoc \{\underline{1}, \ll\}
       and F' LEQV F, as wanted
INDUCTION STEP: Let F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{G}
       Suppose F_1', F_2' uoc \{\underline{1}, \ll\} and
       F_1' LEQV F_1 and F_2' LEQV F_2
                                                               [H]
       Case 1: F = \neg F_1
               Let F' = (\underline{1}F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1) \ll (F_1 \ll 1F_1)
               then F' uoc \{\underline{1}, \ll\} and
                F' = (1F'_1 \ll 1F'_1) \ll (F'_1 \ll 1F'_1)
                                                                                [by given]
                     LEQV (\underline{1}F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1) \ll (F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1)
                                                                                [by IH]
                     LEQV (\underline{1}F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1) \ll (\neg F_1)
                                                                                [since F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1 sastified if F_1 falsified]
                     LEQV (\underline{0}F_1) \ll (\neg F_1)
                                                                                [since x \ll x always falsified]
                     LEQV \neg F_1
                                                                                [since \underline{0}F_1 \ll \neg F_1 sastified if \neg F_1 sastified]
                     =F
                                                                                [by given]
               as wanted.
       Case 2: F = F_1 \wedge F_2
Let F' = (F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1) \ll F_2
               Then F' uoc \{\underline{1}, \ll\} and
                F' = (F_1' \ll \underline{1}F_1') \ll F_2'
                                                                  [by given]
                     LEQV (F_1 \ll 1F_1) \ll F_2
                                                                 [by IH]
                     LEQV (\neg F_1) \ll F_2
                                                                 [since F_1 \ll \underline{1}F_1 sastified if F_1 falsified]
                     LEQV F_1 \wedge F_2
                                                                 [since they have the same truth tables]
                     =F
                                                                 [by given]
               as wanted.
```

[step 3]

Since $\{\neg, \land\}$ is complete, therefore $\{\underline{1}, \ll\}$ is also complete.