

# Sequent Calculus Cut Elimination

Akim Demaille [akim@lrde.epita.fr](mailto:akim@lrde.epita.fr)

EPITA — École Pour l'Informatique et les Techniques Avancées

March 22, 2009

## Sequent Calculus Cut Elimination

- 1 Problems of Natural Deduction
- 2 Sequent Calculus
- 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

## Preamble

The following slides are implicitly dedicated to **classical** logic.

## Problems of Natural Deduction

- 1 Problems of Natural Deduction
- 2 Sequent Calculus
- 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

## Normalization

## Proofs hard to find

Some elimination rules used formulas coming out of the blue.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \vee B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [A] \\ \vdots \\ C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [B] \\ \vdots \\ C \end{array}}{C} \vee \mathcal{E}$$

## Negation is awkward

## Sequent Calculus

- 1 Problems of Natural Deduction
- 2 **Sequent Calculus**
  - Syntax
  - LK — Classical Sequent Calculus
  - Cut Elimination
- 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

- 1 Problems of Natural Deduction
- 2 Sequent Calculus
  - Syntax
  - LK — Classical Sequent Calculus
  - Cut Elimination
- 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

## Sequents

### Sequent

A **sequent** is an expression  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ , where  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are (finite) sequences of formulas.

Variants:

Sets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  can be taken as (finite) sets.

## Sequents

### Sequent

A **sequent** is an expression  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ , where  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are (finite) sequences of formulas.

Variants:

Sets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  can be taken as (finite) sets.

- Simplifies the structural group
- Prevents close examination of ... the structural

Single Sided Sequents can be forced to be  $\vdash \Gamma$

- ... the structural group
- ... the structural rules

## Sequents

### Sequent

A **sequent** is an expression  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ , where  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are (finite) sequences of formulas.

Variants:

Sets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  can be taken as (finite) sets.

- Simplifies the structural group
- Prevents close examination of ... the structural rules

Single Sided Sequents can be forced to be  $\vdash \Gamma$

- ... the structural group
- ... the structural rules

# Sequents

## Sequent

A **sequent** is an expression  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ , where  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are (finite) sequences of formulas.

Variants:

Sets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  can be taken as (finite) sets.

- Simplifies the structural group
- Prevents close examination of . . . the structural rules

# Sequents

## Sequent

A **sequent** is an expression  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ , where  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are (finite) sequences of formulas.

Variants:

Sets  $\Gamma, \Delta$  can be taken as (finite) sets.

- Simplifies the structural group
- Prevents close examination of... the structural rules

Single Sided Sequents can be forced to be  $\vdash \Gamma$

- Half the number of rules is needed
- Not for intuitionistic systems

## Sequents

### Sequent

A **sequent** is an expression  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ , where  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are (finite) sequences of formulas.

Variants:

**Sets**  $\Gamma, \Delta$  can be taken as (finite) sets.

- Simplifies the structural group
- Prevents close examination of... the structural rules

**Single Sided** Sequents can be forced to be  $\vdash \Gamma$

- Half the number of rules is needed
- Not for intuitionistic systems

## Reading a Sequent

$\Gamma \vdash \Delta$

If all the formulas of  $\Gamma$  are true,  
then one of the formulas of  $\Delta$  is true.

- Commas on the left hand side stand for "and"
- Turnstile,  $\vdash$ , stands for "implies"
- Commas on the right hand side stand for "or"

## Reading a Sequent

$\Gamma \vdash \Delta$

If all the formulas of  $\Gamma$  are true,  
then one of the formulas of  $\Delta$  is true.

- Commas on the left hand side stand for "and"
- Turnstile,  $\vdash$ , stands for "implies"
- Commas on the right hand side stand for "or"

## Reading a Sequent

$\Gamma \vdash \Delta$

If all the formulas of  $\Gamma$  are true,  
then one of the formulas of  $\Delta$  is true.

- Commas on the left hand side stand for "and"
- Turnstile,  $\vdash$ , stands for "implies"
- Commas on the right hand side stand for "or"

## Reading a Sequent

$\Gamma \vdash \Delta$       If all the formulas of  $\Gamma$  are true,  
then one of the formulas of  $\Delta$  is true.

- Commas on the left hand side stand for “and”
- Turnstile,  $\vdash$ , stands for “implies”
- Commas on the right hand side stand for “or”

## Some Special Sequents

$\Gamma \vdash A$      $A$  is true under the hypotheses  $\Gamma$

## Some Special Sequents

$\Gamma \vdash A$      $A$  is true under the hypotheses  $\Gamma$

$\vdash A$      $A$  is true

## Some Special Sequents

$\Gamma \vdash A$      $A$  is true under the hypotheses  $\Gamma$

$\vdash A$      $A$  is true

$\Gamma \vdash \quad$      $\Gamma$  is in contradiction

## Some Special Sequents

$\Gamma \vdash A$   $A$  is true under the hypotheses  $\Gamma$

$\vdash A$   $A$  is true

$\Gamma \vdash \quad$   $\Gamma$  is in contradiction

$A \vdash \neg A$

## Some Special Sequents

$\Gamma \vdash A$   $A$  is true under the hypotheses  $\Gamma$

$\vdash A$   $A$  is true

$\Gamma \vdash \quad$   $\Gamma$  is in contradiction

$A \vdash \neg A$

$\vdash \quad$  contradiction

## LK — Classical Sequent Calculus

### 1 Problems of Natural Deduction

### 2 Sequent Calculus

- Syntax
- LK — Classical Sequent Calculus
- Cut Elimination

### 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

## Identity Group

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{Id} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

### Gentzen's Hauptsatz

The **cut rule is redundant**, i.e., any sequent provable with cuts is provable without.

## Identity Group

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{Id} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

### Gentzen's Hauptsatz

The **cut rule is redundant**, i.e., any sequent provable with cuts is provable without.

## Structural Group

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \tau(\Delta)} \vdash X \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\sigma(\Gamma) \vdash \Delta} X \vdash$$

## Structural Group

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \tau(\Delta)} \vdash X \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\sigma(\Gamma) \vdash \Delta} X \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash W \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} W \vdash$$

## Structural Group

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \tau(\Delta)} \vdash X \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\sigma(\Gamma) \vdash \Delta} X \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash W \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} W \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} C \vdash$$



## Logical Group: Conjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \neg A, \Delta} \vdash \neg \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \vdash \Delta} \neg \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge$$

## Logical Group: Conjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \wedge \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} l\wedge \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} r\wedge \vdash$$

## Logical Group: Conjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} l\wedge \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} r\wedge \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash \wedge$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \wedge \vdash$$

## Logical Group: Conjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} l\wedge \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} r\wedge \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash \wedge$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \wedge \vdash$$

&

⊗

## Logical Group: Disjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash l\vee$$

## Logical Group: Disjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash l\vee$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash r\vee$$

## Logical Group: Disjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash IV$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash rV$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash \Delta} \vee \vdash$$

## Logical Group: Disjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash IV$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash rV$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash \Delta} \vee \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash \vee$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma', B \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma', A \vee B \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \vee \vdash$$

## Logical Group: Disjunction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash IV$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash rV$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash \Delta} \vee \vdash \oplus$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vdash \vee$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma', B \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma', A \vee B \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \vee \vdash \mathcal{N}$$

## Logical Group: Implication

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \quad \Gamma', B \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma', A \Rightarrow B \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \Rightarrow \vdash$$

## Logical Group: Implication

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A \quad \Gamma', B \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma', A \Rightarrow B \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \Rightarrow \vdash \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \Rightarrow B, \Delta} \vdash \Rightarrow$$

## Example

Prove  $A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B$

## Example

Prove  $A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{A \vdash A}}{A \wedge B \vdash A} l\wedge \vdash \quad \frac{\frac{}{B \vdash B}}{A \wedge B \vdash B} r\wedge \vdash}{A \wedge B, A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{}{A \wedge B \vdash A \wedge B} C\vdash$$

## Example

Prove  $A \wedge B \vdash A \vee B$

## Example

Prove  $A \wedge B \vdash A \vee B$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{A \vdash A}}{A \wedge B \vdash A} \wedge I}{A \wedge B \vdash A \vee B} \vee I$$

## Example

Prove  $A \vee B \vdash A \vee B$

## Example

Prove  $A \vee B \vdash A \vee B$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{A \vdash A}}{A \vdash A \vee B} \vee I \quad \frac{\frac{\overline{B \vdash B}}{B \vdash A \vee B} \vee I}{A \vee B \vdash A \vee B} \vee I$$

## Example

Prove the equivalence of the two  $\wedge$  rules

$$\frac{\text{Multiplicative}}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \wedge \times} \equiv \frac{\text{Additive}}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta'} \wedge +}$$

## Example

Prove the equivalence of the two  $\wedge$  rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \times \quad \equiv \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash \wedge +$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta} \vdash \wedge + \\ \hline \frac{}{\Gamma, \Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash C \\ \hline \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} C \vdash \end{array}$$

## Example

Prove the equivalence of the two  $\wedge$  rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \times \quad \equiv \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash \wedge +$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma, \Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta} \vdash \wedge + \\ \hline \frac{}{\Gamma, \Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash C \\ \hline \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} C \vdash \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta} W \vdash \quad \frac{\Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta'} W \vdash \\ \hline \frac{}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash W \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash B, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash W \\ \hline \frac{}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash \wedge \times \end{array}$$

## Logical Group: Quantifiers

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x \cdot A, \Delta} \vdash \forall \quad \frac{\Gamma, A[t/x] \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x \cdot A \vdash \Delta} \forall \vdash$$

## Logical Group: Quantifiers

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x \cdot A, \Delta} \vdash \forall \quad \frac{\Gamma, A[t/x] \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x \cdot A \vdash \Delta} \forall \vdash$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x], \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x \cdot A, \Delta} \vdash \exists \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \exists x \cdot A \vdash \Delta} \exists \vdash$$

In  $\vdash \forall$  and  $\exists \vdash$ ,  $x \notin FV(\Gamma, \Delta)$ .

## Single Sided

### Defining the Negation

- Alternatively, one can **define the negation as a notation** instead of defining it by inference rules.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(\neg p) &:= p \\ \neg(A \wedge B) &:= \neg A \vee \neg B \\ \neg(A \vee B) &:= \neg A \wedge \neg B \\ \neg(\forall x \cdot A) &:= \exists x \cdot \neg A \\ \neg(\exists x \cdot A) &:= \forall x \cdot \neg A\end{aligned}$$

- Then define the sequents as  $\vdash \Gamma$
- I.e.,  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \rightsquigarrow \vdash \neg \Gamma, \Delta$



## Single Sided

### Defining the Negation

- Alternatively, one can **define the negation as a notation** instead of defining it by inference rules.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(\neg p) &:= p \\ \neg(A \wedge B) &:= \neg A \vee \neg B \\ \neg(A \vee B) &:= \neg A \wedge \neg B \\ \neg(\forall x \cdot A) &:= \exists x \cdot \neg A \\ \neg(\exists x \cdot A) &:= \forall x \cdot \neg A\end{aligned}$$

- Then define the sequents as  $\vdash \Gamma$
- I.e.,  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \rightsquigarrow \vdash \neg \Gamma, \Delta$



## Single Sided

### Defining the Negation

- Alternatively, one can **define the negation as a notation** instead of defining it by inference rules.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(\neg p) &:= p \\ \neg(A \wedge B) &:= \neg A \vee \neg B \\ \neg(A \vee B) &:= \neg A \wedge \neg B \\ \neg(\forall x \cdot A) &:= \exists x \cdot \neg A \\ \neg(\exists x \cdot A) &:= \forall x \cdot \neg A\end{aligned}$$

- Then define the sequents as  $\vdash \Gamma$
- I.e.,  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta \rightsquigarrow \vdash \neg \Gamma, \Delta$



## Single Sided

### The Full Sequent Calculus

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\vdash \neg A, A} \text{Id} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, A \quad \vdash \neg A, \Delta}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta} \text{Cut} \\ \\ \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \sigma(\Gamma)} \text{X} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash A, \Gamma} \text{W} \quad \frac{\vdash A, A, \Gamma}{\vdash A, \Gamma} \text{C} \\ \\ \frac{\vdash A, \Delta}{\vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \vee \quad \frac{\vdash B, \Delta}{\vdash A \vee B, \Delta} r\vee \quad \frac{\vdash A, \Delta \quad \vdash B, \Delta}{\vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \wedge \\ \\ \frac{\vdash A, \Delta}{\vdash \forall x \cdot A, \Delta} \forall \quad \frac{\vdash A[t/x], \Delta}{\vdash \exists x \cdot A, \Delta} \exists \end{array}$$



# Cut Elimination

- 1 Problems of Natural Deduction
- 2 **Sequent Calculus**
  - Syntax
  - LK — Classical Sequent Calculus
  - **Cut Elimination**
- 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

## Subformula Property

What can be told from the last rule of a proof?

- If the last rule is a cut,

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

- Otherwise...

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \wedge \vdash \quad \dots$$

## Subformula Property

What can be told from the last rule of a proof?

- If the last rule is a cut,

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

nothing can be said!

- Otherwise...

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \wedge \vdash \quad \dots$$

## Subformula Property

What can be told from the last rule of a proof?

- If the last rule is a cut,

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

nothing can be said!

- Otherwise...

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \wedge \vdash \quad \dots$$



## Subformula Property

What can be told from the last rule of a proof?

- If the last rule is a cut,

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

nothing can be said!

- Otherwise. . .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} I \wedge \vdash \quad \dots$$

premisses can only use **subformulas** of the conclusion!

## Cut Elimination

- replace “complex” cuts by simpler cuts (smaller formulas)
- until the cut is on the simplest form, the identity
- where it is not longer needed!

## Cut Elimination

### Logical Rules

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \wedge B \vdash \Delta'} I \wedge \vdash}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

↗

## Cut Elimination

### Logical Rules

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \vdash \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \wedge B \vdash \Delta'} I \wedge \vdash}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

↗

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

## Cut Elimination

### Logical Rules

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \wedge B \vdash \Delta'} \wedge \vdash}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

For all the connectives.

## Cut Elimination

### Removal of a Cut

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Identity}}{A \vdash A} \quad \frac{\vdots}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} \text{Cut}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$

## Cut Elimination

### Removal of a Cut

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Identity}}{A \vdash A} \quad \frac{\vdots}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} \text{Cut}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta$$

## Cut Elimination

### Commutations

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, C \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \vee C \vdash A, \Delta} \vee \vdash \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, B \vee C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$

## Cut Elimination

### Commutations

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, C \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \vee C \vdash A, \Delta} \vee \vdash \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, B \vee C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, B, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\Gamma, C \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}}{\Gamma, B \vee C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \vee \vdash$$

## Cut Elimination

### Commutations

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, C \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, B \vee C \vdash A, \Delta} \vee \vdash \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, B \vee C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, B \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, B, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\Gamma, C \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}}{\Gamma, B \vee C, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \vee \vdash$$

Beware of the **duplication**!

## Cut Elimination

### Structural Rules: Weakening

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash W \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

## Cut Elimination

### Structural Rules: Weakening

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash W \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta} \vdash W}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \vdash W$$

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Contraction

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} C} \text{Cut}$$

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Contraction

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} C} \text{Cut}$$

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Contraction

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

Nice!

$\rightsquigarrow$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} C} \text{Cut}$$

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Contraction

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow$$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} C} \text{Cut}$$

Nice!

but  
wrong

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Contraction

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

Nice!

but  
wrong

$$\begin{array}{c} \sim \\ \frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} C} \text{Cut} \end{array}$$

might  
loop  
for  
ever

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Complications with Contractions

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \frac{\Gamma', A, A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'} C \vdash}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$\sim$

## Cut Elimination

Structural Rules: Complications with Contractions

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \vdash C \quad \frac{\Gamma', A, A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'} C \vdash}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut}$$

$\sim$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta \quad \frac{\Gamma', A, A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'} C \vdash}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash A, \Delta, \Delta'} \text{Cut} \quad \frac{\Gamma', A, A \vdash \Delta'}{\Gamma', A \vdash \Delta'} C \vdash}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta', \Delta'}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta, \Delta'} C} \text{Cut} \end{array}$$

## Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

- 1 Problems of Natural Deduction
- 2 Sequent Calculus
- 3 Natural Deduction in Sequent Calculus

## Recommended readings

[1], Chapters 5 & 13 A short (160p.) book addressing all the concerns of this course, and more (especially Linear Logic). Easy and pleasant to read. Now available for free.

## Bibliography I



J.-Y. Girard, Y. Lafont, and P. Taylor.

*Proofs and Types*.

Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[http:](http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~pt/stable/Proofs+Types.html)

[//www.cs.man.ac.uk/~pt/stable/Proofs+Types.html](http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~pt/stable/Proofs+Types.html).