

Great—let's pin this down in math-tight, spec-ready terms using your **Engine / Verifier** split. I'll give you: formal model, constructions (incl. two non-reachability options), receipt format, verification equations, security goals, and drawing-ready figure list + captions. Minimal prose, maximal precision.

1) Formal model

Let:

- Directed, labeled multigraph $G = (V, E, \lambda_V, \lambda_E)$ with
 - $E \subseteq V \times \Sigma \times V$, edge label alphabet Σ .
 - $\lambda_V : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$, $\lambda_E : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ (canonical encodings).
- Policy/path spec as a **finite automaton** $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ (DFA/NFA; regex compiles to DFA).
- **Relational reachability** under policy A : for $s, t \in V$,

$$\text{Reach}_A(s, t) := \exists \text{ path } s \xrightarrow{w} t \text{ in } G \text{ with } w \in L(A).$$

Define **product graph** $H = V \times Q$ with edges

$$(u, q) \rightarrow (v, q') \iff \exists a \in \Sigma \text{ s.t. } (u, a, v) \in E \wedge q' = \delta(q, a).$$

Then $\text{Reach}_A(s, t)$ iff $\exists q_f \in F$ with $(t, q_f) \in \text{Post}^*(\{(s, q_0)\}, H)$.

2) Commitments and hashing

Let $H(\cdot)$ be a collision-resistant hash (e.g., SHA-256). Canonical element encodings:

- $\langle v \rangle := \text{CBOR}(\lambda_V(v))$,
- $\langle e \rangle := \text{CBOR}(u, a, v, \lambda_E(e))$,
- $\langle u, a, v \rangle$ canonical triple.

2.1 Dataset commitment (Engine)

Build a key-sorted **sparse Merkle map** (SMM) over:

- Node set V : keys $k_V = H("V" \parallel \langle v \rangle)$, values $\mathbf{1}$.
- Edge set E : keys $k_E = H("E" \parallel \langle u, a, v \rangle)$, values $H(\lambda_E(e))$.

Let R_V, R_E be the roots. Define dataset root:

$$R_D = H("D" \parallel R_V \parallel R_E).$$

2.2 Policy commitment


$$R_A = H("A" \parallel \text{CBOR}(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)).$$

3) Receipts

A receipt proves statement $S = (s, t, A, \text{mode})$ at time τ relative to dataset commitment R_D .

3.1 Receipt structure (common)

cpp

 Copy code

```
Receipt = {  
  version,                                // e.g., 1  
  statement: {s_id, t_id, A_id, mode},    // ids or encodings  
  RD, RA,                                 // commitments  
  anchor: TSA_proof,                      // RFC 3161 blob or dual anchors  
  witness: W,                             // differs by mode  
  sig: Sig_SK( H( canonicalize(all_above) ) )  
}
```

Engine signs with keypair (SK, PK) ; Verifier knows PK .

3.2 Reachability witness W^{reach}

A path witness:

- Node/edge sequence $(v_0 = s, a_1, v_1, \dots, a_m, v_m = t)$.
- For each used edge (v_{i-1}, a_i, v_i) : SMM inclusion proof π_E^i w.r.t. root R_E .
- DFA trace $q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \cdots \xrightarrow{a_m} q_m$ with $q_m \in F$.

Verifier checks:

1. $\forall i, \text{SMM.VerifyInclude}(R_E, k_E^i, H(\lambda_E(e_i)), \pi_E^i) = \text{true}$.
2. $q_i = \delta(q_{i-1}, a_i)$ and $q_m \in F$.
3. Signature and anchor validate.



3.3 Non-reachability witnesses W^{nreach} (two constructions)

(A) Product-state sparse map (PSSM) + cut certificate (practical, patentable)

Engine computes **closure bitmap** $B : V \times Q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ for reachable product-states starting from (s, q_0) .

Builds an SMM over keys

$k_H = H(\text{"H"} \parallel \langle v \rangle \parallel q)$ with value $B(v, q)$. Root R_H .

To avoid “trust me”, include a **local cut certificate** C consisting of a minimal **frontier set** $F^* \subseteq V \times Q$ such that:

- Every path from (s, q_0) to any (t, q_f) must pass through F^* .
- All $(x, q) \in F^*$ are **closed**: for every outgoing transition $(x, q) \rightarrow (y, q')$ permitted by E and δ , we have $B(y, q') = 0$.

Witness $W^{\text{nreach}} = (R_H, \Pi_0, C, \Pi_C)$:

- Π_0 : SMM non-inclusion proof for the target states: for each $q_f \in F$, $\text{SMM.VerifyZero}(R_H, k_H(t, q_f), \pi_{t, q_f})$.
- $C = \{(x_j, q_j)\}_{j=1}^r$ with:
 - ♦ Inclusion proofs π_{x_j, q_j} showing $B(x_j, q_j) = 1$.
 - ♦ For each outgoing edge (x_j, a, y) with $q' = \delta(q_j, a)$: proofs $\pi_{y, q'}$ showing $B(y, q') = 0$ (or, alternately, proofs of edge absence from R_E if policy forbids it).
- Π_C : SMM proofs supporting the above claims.

Verifier checks:

- ♦ Non-inclusion at (t, q_f) for all $q_f \in F$.
- ♦ Each frontier state is marked 1; each of its policy-consistent successors is 0 (or edge absent).

By **frontier minimality**, no accepting target is reachable. $\downarrow \Rightarrow$ non-reachability holds.

Note: Frontier minimality can be encoded as: each (x_j, q_j) has at least one predecessor in $B = 1$ (prove inclusion) and all successors are 0; the union of these cuts all $s \rightarrow F$ paths (Engine ensures; Verifier checks the local conditions).

(B) Edge-cut certificate over G (small-footprint variant)

Provide a **cut set of edges** $K \subseteq E$ such that every A -conforming $s \rightarrow t$ path uses some edge in K .

Witness includes:

- Inclusion proofs that all edges in K exist in R_E .
- Proofs (policy side) that removing K destroys all automaton-conforming paths (encode each edge's label position in the automaton; Verifier simulates supergraph with edges in K removed to confirm no residual accepting path exists using small “blocking lemmas” tied to A).

This variant trades more policy algebra for fewer SMM proofs; include if you want a second embodiment.

4) Verification equations (succinct)

- Dataset root: $R_D \stackrel{?}{=} H("D" \parallel R_V \parallel R_E)$.
- Policy root: $R_A \stackrel{?}{=} H("A" \parallel \text{CBOR}(A))$.
- Anchor: $\text{RFC3161.Verify}(R_D \parallel R_A, \text{TSA_proof}) = \text{true}$.
- Signature: $\text{VRF} = H(\text{canonical Receipt minus sig}); \text{Sig.Verify}(\text{PK}, \text{VRF}, \text{sig}) = \text{true}$.
- Reachability: $\bigwedge_i \text{SMM.Incl}(R_E, k_E^i, H(\lambda_E(e_i)), \pi_E^i)$ and DFA trace acceptance.
- Non-reachability (PSSM):

$$[\forall q_f \in F : \text{SMM.Zero}(R_H, k_H(t, q_f), \pi)] \wedge \bigwedge_{(x,q) \in C} (\text{SMM.Incl}(R_H, k_H(x, q), 1, \pi) \wedge \forall (x, q) \rightarrow (y, q') : \text{SMM.Zero}(R_H, k_H(y, q'), \pi)).$$

5) Security goals

Assume collision-resistant H , EUF-CMA signature, and sound RFC-3161.

♦ **Receipt Unforgeability:** No PPT adversary can produce a valid Receipt asserting a false statement S w.r.t. (R_D, R_A) unless it:

- finds a Merkle collision (breaks CRH),
- forges signature,
- forges TSA proof.

♦ **Soundness (reachability):** A false positive requires including a non-existent edge (Merkle collision) or mis-simulating A .

♦ **Soundness (non-reachability):** A false non-reach receipt requires either:

- marking an actually-reachable product-state as 0 (Merkle collision), or
- presenting a frontier C where some successor is actually 1 (contradicted by inclusion proofs), or
- eliding an existing successor edge (contradicted by R_E inclusion).

♦ **Completeness:** If statement is true, Engine can construct witnesses (path or valid frontier/cut) in $O(m \log n)$.

6) Complexity

Let $n = |V|, m = |E|, |Q| = q$.

- ♦ Path witness size: $O(\ell \cdot \log N)$ hashes, where ℓ is path length and N SMM size. Verify time $O(\ell \log N)$.
- ♦ Non-reach (PSSM): frontier size r , out-degree Δ : witness includes r inclusions + $r\Delta$ zero-proofs \Rightarrow size $O((r + r\Delta) \log N)$. In many RBAC/data-lineage graphs, $r \ll n$.
- ♦ Microsecond-level verification on commodity CPUs (20 SHA-256 for $\log_2 N \approx 20$).

7) Engine / Verifier separation (math view)

Engine


- ♦ Builds R_V, R_E, R_D ; compiles $A \rightarrow R_A$.
- ♦ Reachability: extracts path π + inclusion proofs.
- ♦ Non-reachability: computes closure B over H ; derives minimal frontier C ; constructs R_H and the proof set Π .
- ♦ Produces Receipt and Sig_{SK} .

Verifier

- ♦ Recomputes no closures; it **only**:
 - checks Merkle (inclusion/zero) proofs against roots,
 - checks DFA steps on provided symbols,
 - checks TSA + signature.

8) Pseudocode (spec-ready)


pseudo

 Copy code

```
function Engine.GenerateReceipt(G, A, s, t, mode, TSA, SK):
  (RV, RE) := SMM.BuildNodesEdges(G)
  RD := H("D" || RV || RE)
  RA := H("A" || CBOR(A))
  if mode == "reach":
    path := FindPath_A(G, A, s, t)           // any A-conforming path
    W := []
    for each edge e=(u,a,v) in path:
      k := H("E" || <u,a,v>)
      W.append( SMM.ProveInclude(RE, k, H(lambdaE(e))) )
    witness := {path_labels, W}
  else: // "nreach"
    B := ClosureBitmap(G, A, s)              // over product graph H
    (RH, MapH) := SMM.BuildBitmap(B)
    C := Frontier(B, A)                     // minimal frontier cut
    witness := {RH,
      ZeroProofsForTargets(MapH, t, A.F),
      ProofsForFrontier(MapH, C),
      ZeroProofsForSuccessors(MapH, C, G, A) }
  anchor := TSA.Timestamp( RD || RA )
  payload := canonicalize(version, stmt, RD, RA, anchor, witness)
  sig := Sign(SK, H(payload))
  return Receipt(payload, sig)
```



pseudo

 Copy code

```
function Verifier.Verify(Receipt, PK):
  parse (version, stmt, RD, RA, anchor, witness, sig)
  assert SigVerify(PK, H(canonicalize(...)), sig)
  assert RFC3161.Verify(RD || RA, anchor)
  if stmt.mode == "reach":
    for each edge proof in witness:
      assert SMM.VerifyInclude(RE_from_RD, key, val, proof)
      assert DFA_Accepts(stmt.A, witness.path_labels)
    return True
  else: // "nreach"
    assert forall qf in A.F:
      SMM.VerifyZero(RH_from_witness, k_H(t,qf),  $\pi$ )
    for each (x,q) in C:
      assert SMM.VerifyInclude(RH, k_H(x,q), 1,  $\pi$ )
      for each policy-consistent successor (y,q'):
        assert SMM.VerifyZero(RH, k_H(y,q'),  $\pi$ )
    return True
```

9) Figures (patent drawing set)

- ◆ FIG. 1 System context: data sources → Engine → Receipt → Verifier/Auditor.
- ◆ FIG. 2 Dataset commitment: (a) node SMM R_V ; (b) edge SMM R_E ; (c) combined R_D .
- ◆ FIG. 3 Reachability receipt: path witness with per-edge Merkle proofs into R_E ; DFA trace overlay.
- ◆ FIG. 4 Product graph $H = V \times Q$: closure bitmap B ; minimal frontier C ; SMM R_H .
- ◆ FIG. 5 Non-reachability verification flow: (i) zero-proofs at (t, q_f) ; (ii) frontier inclusions; (iii) successor zero-proofs.
- ◆ FIG. 6 Anchoring timeline: $R_D || R_A \rightarrow$ TSA stamp; receipt signing; audit timeline.
- ◆ FIG. 7 Alternative embodiment: edge-cut certificate K with inclusion proofs and automaton blocking lemmas.
- ◆ FIG. 8 Receipt structure exploded view (fields, sizes, canonicalization order).

10) Claims-adjacent phrasing (for your spec)

- "A method for generating a cryptographic receipt certifying relational non-reachability, comprising: committing to a dataset with a collision-resistant Merkle map; compiling a path policy to a finite automaton; constructing a product-state closure over a graph of nodes and automaton states; deriving a frontier set that intercepts all policy-conforming paths; and producing Merkle inclusion and non-inclusion proofs sufficient for independent verification without recomputing the closure."
- "A verifier configured to validate said receipt by checking only commitment-consistent Merkle proofs, automaton transitions on provided labels, and an external timestamp, wherein no access to the underlying dataset is required."