Embedded Software

A Message Distribution System



Agenda

- Current design
- Decoupling current setup
 - Specific receiver
 - ▶ Broadcasting who the receiver is *is* irrelevant
- Tools to use
 - Publisher/Subscriber (or Observer) pattern (& Signal/Slots)
 - Singleton pattern
 - Mediator pattern



Current design and next step




Current design

- A thread has a message queue, through which other threads pass it messages
 - Consequence is that "other" threads need to have access to its message queue.
 - Also need to know how that particular thread (message queue) wants its data
 - At application start these pointers (or references) must be passed around
- Problems Potential Couplings issues
 - Challenges during creation chicken and the egg
 - Leading to cyclic includes
 - Close relationships that are not needed



Next step

- 2 Overall different communication forms
 - ► Communication via requests and confirms, two-way communication
 - Knowledge of, or access to, message queue is relevant
 - Higher coupling, shared information
 - Status information indication
 - One way communication
 - Knowledge of each other may be irrelevant
 - Anonymous system design may be used
 - Lower coupling






Design: Specific receiver



Design: Specific receiver

- Improve upon the include
 - Introduce another level (Mediator)
- Create a central postoffice
 - Send messages by naming (string format) the recipient
 - Or acquire a handle (speed up :-))
- Achieves
 - Low coupling since sender does not need to know receiver
 - Singleton usage or parsing around pointer/reference
 - Two-way communication possible




- Requires
 - A postoffice is up and running prior to use
 - Using a singleton or parsing around pointer/reference



Design: Specific receiver - Example

- Sequence diagram to illustrate
 - Most importantly, the receiving party is denoted by a string





Design: Specific receiver - Example

 Communication identification is done using a separate header file

```
// InfoThreadComInf.hpp
struct InfoThreadComInf
{
    static const std::string QUEUE;
    enum { ID_START, ID_STOP, ... }
};
struct StartMsg : public osapi::Message
{ ... };
```

```
InfoThreadComInf
enum { ID_START, ID_STOP, ...}

Call to send
message

osapi::MsgQueue

PostOffice

InputThread

InfoThreadComInf
enum { ID_START, ID_STOP, ...}
```

Design: Broadcasting - who the receiver is is irrelevant



Broadcasting - who the receiver is is irrelevant


- Subscriber = Receiver
- Subscribes to a named message (std::string)
 - Who By providing message queue pointer
 - How By providing ID to receive when a message is ready

Publisher

 Notifies all subscribers (if any), each will receive the message being distributed with their own desired ID

Achieves

- Eliminates the need for the publisher to handle subscribers(s) (adding, removing)
- Multiple subscribers may get the same message




- Requires
 - A MessageDistributionSystem is up and running prior to use
 - Using a singleton usage or parsing around pointer/reference
 - Messages must be Globally identifiable by strings
 - One way communication



Broadcasting - who the receiver is is irrelevant


- Each recipient has a local ID
- We then need a global unique ID
 - Use the fully qualified name as a string
- Subscriber
 - Subscribes using own MsgQueue and Local ID when receiving a new Message by the name of Global ID
- Publisher
 - Notifies by passing a new
 Message and associated Global
 ID





Design: Broadcasting - who the receiver is *is* irrelevant Example

 Simple example using the MessageDistributionSystem directly






Design: Broadcasting - who the receiver is *is* irrelevant Example

- Common header file(s) contains message structures & declaration of global string message ids
- Source file(s) contains the actual definition

```
// cpp - file
const std::string START_MSG = "StartMsg";
const std::string LOG_ENTRY_MSG =
"LogEntryMsg";
```




```
// hpp - file
struct StartMsg : public osapi::Message
{
    int x;
    int y;
};
extern const std::string START_MSG;

struct LogEntry : public osapi::Message
{
    char* filename_;
    int lineno_;
    std::string logStr_;
};
extern const std::string LOG_ENTRY_MSG;
```



Message Distribution System in action





Summary MDS

- Broadcasting Who the receiver is is irrelevant
 - One way communication
 - Knowledge of each other irrelevant
 - Lower coupling
- Usage scenarios
 - Indication that something has happened
 - Log entry
 - New temperature value



Patterns




Publisher/Subscriber (or Observer)



Publisher/Subscriber (or Observer) pattern

- Challenge
 - Needs notification when change occur (We do not want to poll)
 - One-to-many relation Broadcasting
- Possible solution
 - Publisher/Subscriber (or Observer)
- Usage could be
 - Message Distribution System
 - Button pushed in GUI -> Chain reaction (closing down + exiting program)
 - Sensor changes value -> various entities want to know




- Downsides
 - Updates cost throughout the system
 - A subscriber may take "long" time to handle incoming notification affecting the publisher



Publisher/Subscriber (or Observer) pattern

UML Class diagram





Mediator pattern



Mediator pattern


- Challenge
 - Need loose coupling and remove the need for objects (MsgQueues) to know each other
- Possible solution
 - Mediator
- Usage could be
 - Message Distribution System
 - Graphics system A draw() call is propagated to interesting parties
 - PostOffice

- Ups
 - Centralizes control
 - Focuses on how objects interact and not on behavior
 - Entities need not know about one another
- Downsides
 - Centralizes control



Mediator pattern

UML Class diagram






Singleton pattern



Singleton pattern

- Challenge
 - System wide access to a given object ⇒Many pointers and/or references to be passed around
- Possible solution
 - Singleton
- Usage could be
 - Message Distribution System
 - Config service
 - Log service
 - Any kind of application wide service



- Downsides
 - Global variable like
 - Serialized access needed
 - Lifetime
 - Who creates?
 - Who destroys and when?



Singleton pattern - Example

- Simple code example using the static block initialization approach
- Good
 - First access creates
 - Extremely easy to code and understand
 - No locks (in our approach)
- Downsides
 - First access creates Multithreaded challenge
- Beware of "The double-checked locking" idiom
 - ▶ IT does not work!

```
// Singleton
class MessageDistributionSystem : osapi::NotCopyable
public:
    void subscribe(const std::string& msgId,
          osapi::MsqQueue* mq, unsigned long id);
    void unSubscribe(const std::string& msqId,
                     osapi::MsqQueue* mq, unsigned long id);
    static MessageDistributionSystem& getInstance()
        static MessageDistributionSystem mds;
        return mds;
    }
private:
    MessageDistributionSystem() {}
};
// Subscriber
MessageDistributionSystem::
       getInstance().subscribe(START_MSG, &mq_, ID_START);
```

