Classes



Classes

Classes



Anatomy of a Class

By the end of next lecture, you'll understand everything in this class definition.

```
package edu.gatech.cs1331.card;
import java.util.Arrays;
public class Card {
 public static final String[] VALID_RANKS = {"2", ..., "ace"};
 public static final String[] VALID SUITS = { "diamonds ". ... }:
  private String rank;
 private String suit;
  public Card(String aRank, String aSuit) {
   // ...
 public String toString() {
   return rank + " of " + suit:
 private boolean isValidRank(String someRank) { ... }
```

The Card Class Example

In this lecture we'll use a running example stored in a Git repo. Go to https://github.com/cs1331/card, click on "Clone or download" and copy the clone URL. Then open your terminal and do this:

```
cd cs1331
git clone https://github.com/cs1331/card.git
cd card
```

Note that if you've uplaoded your public SSH key you may use an SSH clone URL.

Now you're ready to follow along. Start by checking out $v0.0^{-1}$:

```
git checkout v0.0
```



A Card Class, v0.0

Consider how to represent a Card ADT:

- rank the rank of a playing card, e.g., 2, jack, ace
- ▶ suit the suit of a playing card, e.g., spades, diamonds

```
public class Card {
    String rank;
    String suit;
}
```

- rank and suit are instance variables
- Every instance of Card has its own copy of instance variables.

Let's "do something" with Card by adding a main method and bumping to v0.1.



Card v0.1

After

```
git checkout v0.1
```

we have (we won't include the git commands in future slides):

```
public class Card {
    String rank;
    String suit;

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        System.out.println(c);
    }
}
```

Note that we can put a main method in any class. This is useful for exploratory testing, like we're doing here.

The String representation isn't very appealing. (What does it print?)



Card v0.2

```
public class Card {
    String rank;
    String suit;
    public String toString() {
        return rank + " of " + suit;
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card swedishPop = new Card();
        swedishPop.rank = "ace";
        swedishPop.suit = "base";
        Card handy = new Card();
        handy.rank = "jack";
        handv.suit = "all trades":
        System.out.println(swedishPop);
        System.out.println(handy);
```

Now we have a nice String representation, but we havve an "ace of base" card and a "jack of all trades", which aren't valid cards.



Encapsulation: Card, v1.0

Let's protect the instance variables by making them private:

```
public class Card {
    private String rank;
    private String suit;

public String toString() {
        return rank + " of " + suit;
    }

public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        c.rank = "ace";
        c.suit = "base";
        System.out.println(c);
    }
}
```

Why does this still compile?

▶ main method in Card - can see Card 's private parts



A Dealer Class, v1.1

```
public class Dealer {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        c.rank = "ace";
        c.suit = "base";
        System.out.println(c);
    }
}
```

This won't compile (which is what we want). Why?



Mutators: Card, v1.2

```
public class Card {
    private String rank;
    private String suit;

    public void setRank(String rank) {
        rank = rank;
    }
    public void setSuit(String suit) {
        suit = suit;
    }
}
```

- ► Now client code can set the rank and suit of a card by calling setRank and setSuit .
- setX is the Java convention for a setter method for an instance variable named x.



Dealing Card, v1.2

Let's try out our new Card class.

```
public class Dealer {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        c.setRank("ace");
        c.setSuit("base");
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```

Oops. Prints "null of null". Why?



Shadowing Variables

The parameters in the setters "shadowed" the instance variables:

```
public void setRank(String rank) {
   rank = rank;
}

public void setSuit(String suit) {
   suit = suit;
}
```

- rank in setRank refers to the local rank variable, not the instance variable of the same name
- ▶ suit in setSuit refers to the local suit variable, not the instance variable of the same name



Dealing with this: Card, v1.2.1

```
public class Card {
    private String rank;
    private String suit;

    public void setRank(String rank) {
        this.rank = rank;
    }
    public void setSuit(String suit) {
        this.suit = suit;
    }
}
```

- Every instance of a class has a this reference which refers to the instance on which a method is being called.
- this.rank refers to the rank instance variable for the Card instance on which setRank is being called.
- ▶ this.rank is different from the local rank variable that is a parameter to the setRank method.



Dealing Card, v1.2.1

```
public class Dealer {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        c.setRank("ace");
        c.setSuit("base");
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```

Now we have encapsulation, but we can still create invalid Card s, e.g., "base" is not a valid suit. How to fix?



Class Invariants

Class invariant: a condition that must hold for all instances of a class in order for instances of the class to be considered valid. Invariants for Card class:

- ▶ rank must be one of {"2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9", "10", "jack", "queen", "king", "ace"}
- suit must be one of {"diamonds", "clubs", "hearts", "spades"}



Class Invariants: Card v1.3

rank invariant can be maintained by adding:

```
public class Card {
   private final String[] VALID_RANKS =
        {"2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9",
         "10", "jack", "queen", "king", "ace"};
   public void setRank(String rank) {
        if (!isValidRank(rank)) {
            System.out.println(rank + " is not a valid rank.");
            System.exit(0):
        this.rank = rank;
   private boolean isValidRank(String someRank) {
        return contains (VALID RANKS, someRank):
   private boolean contains(String[] array, String item) {
        for (String element: array) {
            if (element.equals(item)) {
                return true;
        return false:
                                                                  Georgia
```

Class Invariants Ensure Consistent Objects

Now we can't write code that instantiates an invalid Card object:

```
public class Dealer {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        c.setRank("ace");
        c.setSuit("base");
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```

yields:

```
$ java Dealer
base is not a valid suit.
```



Dealer v1.3.1

Version 1.3.1 fixes the invalid suit:

```
public class Dealer {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        c.setRank("ace");
        c.setSuit("spades");
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```



Initializing Instances (v1.4)

Card now ensures that we don't create card objects with invalid ranks or suits. But consider this slight modification to Dealer in v1.4:

```
public class Dealer5 {

   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        System.out.println(c); // Printing a new Card instance
        c.setRank("ace");
        c.setSuit("base");
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```

What if we printed our Card instance, c, before we called the setters?



Object Initialization

Two ways to initialize the instance variables of an object:

▶ Declaration point initialization:

```
public class Card {
   private String rank = "2";
   // ...
}
```

Constructors

```
public class Card {
    public Card() {
       rank = "2";
    }
    // ...
}
```

A constructor is what's being called when you invoke operator new .



Initializing Objects

Since we didn't write our own constructor, Java provided a default no-arg constructor

default no-arg ctor sets instance variables (that don't have their own declaration-point intializations) to their default values.

That's why a Card object's instance variables are null (" null of null") after they're instantiated. We have to call the setters on a Card instance before we have a valid object.



Innitialization Style

In general, it's poor style to require multi-step initialization.

- ▶ After new Card() is called, instance variables have useless defaults.
- Client programmer must remember to call setter methods.
- ▶ Often there can be order dependencies that we don't want to burden client programmers with.

The way to fix this is by writing our own constructor.



A Constructor for Card, v2.0

If we write a constructor, Java won't provide a default no-arg constructor.

```
public class Card {
    // ...
   public Card(String rank, String suit) {
        setRank(rank);
        setSuit(suit);
   }
   // ...
}
```

If we want a no-arg constructor in addition to other constructors, we must write it explicitly.



Dealer v2.0

Now this won't even compile:

```
public class Dealer {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card();
        // ...
}
$ javac Dealer.java
Dealer.java:4: error: constructor Card in class Card cannot be
    applied to given types;
        Card c = new Card();
  required: String, String
  found: no arguments
  reason: actual and formal argument lists differ in length
1 error
```



Using the Card v2.0.1 Constructor

Now we have a safer, more consistent way to initialize objects:

```
public class Dealer {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card("queen", "hearts");
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```



Progress Check

Let's review our progress with our Card class design:

- ▶ We have a nice string representation of Card objects.
- We have encapsulated the rank and suit in private instance variables with mutator methods to set their values.
- ▶ We validate the rank and suit in the mutator methods so we can't set invalid ranks and suits in Card objects.
- Card has a constructor, which ensures that instance variables are initialized when an instance of Card is created.



Valid Ranks and Suits

Recall the declarations and definitions of our VALID_RANKS and VALID_SUITS :

Do we need a separate instance of VALID_RANKS and VALID_SUITS for each instance of our Card class?



Static Members, Card v2.1

static members are shared with all instances of a class:

Given the declarations above:

- ► Each instance shares a single copy of VALID_RANKS and a single copy of VALID_SUITS
- ► Since they're final , we can safely make them public so clients of our Card class can use them



Stateful Card Objects?

Card v2.1 is pretty good, but we can write code like this:

```
public class Dealer {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        Card c = new Card("queen", "hearts");
        System.out.println(c);
        c.setRank("jack"); // modifying c
        System.out.println(c);
   }
}
```

Does this make sense? Should Card objects be mutable?



Immutable Objects

Card objects don't change. We can model this behavior by removing the setters and putting the initialization code in the constructor (or making the setters private and calling them from the constructor):

```
public Card(String aRank, String aSuit) { // constructor
  if (!isValidRank(rank)) {
    System.out.println(aRank + " is not a valid rank.");
    System.exit(0);
}
rank = aRank;
if (!isValidSuit(aSuit)) {
    System.out.println(aSuit + " is not a valid suit.");
    System.exit(0);
}
suit = aSuit;
}
```

Note the use of another idiom for disambiguating constructor paramters from instance variables (as opposed to using this).



A Few Final Bits of Polish

Take a look at the final evolution of our Card class.

```
git checkout master
```

It contains a few more enhancements:

- ▶ It has an equals() method for comparing cards of equal value.
 - We'll learn the role of the equals method today and learn how to write one in a couple of weeks.
- It uses enum s for rank and suit.



Enums

```
public enum Rank {
   TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE, TEN, JACK,
   QUEEN, KING, ACE
}

public enum Rank {
   TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE, TEN, JACK,
   QUEEN, KING, ACE
}
```

Now we get static type checking for \mathtt{Rank} and \mathtt{Suit} – no need for run-time validity checks.

```
public class Card {
    private Rank rank;
    private Suit suit;

    public Card(Rank aRank, Suit aSuit) {
        rank = aRank;
        suit = aSuit;
    }
...
}
```

Equality

- ► For reference types:
 - == means identity equality (aliasing testing).
 - equals(Object) tests value equality, as defined by the class.
- For primitive types == means value equality and is the only equality test.

Here's the final Card class, with a definition of value equality for Card instances:

```
public class Card {
   private Rank rank;
   private Suit suit;
   public Card(Rank aRank, Suit aSuit) {
        rank = aRank:
        suit = aSuit:
   public String toString() { return rank + " of " + suit; }
   public boolean equals(Object other) {
        if (null == other) { return false; }
        if (this == other) { return true: }
        if (!(other instanceof Card)) { return false; }
        Card that = (Card) other:
        return this.rank.equals(that.rank) &&
            this.suit.equals(that.suit);
```

Equality Tests

Given our finished Card class with a properly implemented equals(Object) method, this code:

```
Card c1 = new Card("ace", "spades");
Card c2 = new Card("ace", "spades");
Card c3 = c1;
System.out.println("c1 == c2 returns " + (c1 == c2));
System.out.println("c1.equals(c2) returns " + c1.equals(c2));
System.out.println("c1 == c3 returns " + (c1 == c3));
System.out.println("c1.equals(c3) returns " + c1.equals(c3));
```

produces this output:

```
c1 == c2 returns false
c1.equals(c2) returns true
c1 == c3 returns true
c1.equals(c3) returns true
```

By the way, what if we left off the parentheses around c1 == c2 in System.out.println("c1 == c2 returns " + (c1 == c2))?



Exercise: Treating People as Objects

Using the encapsulation techniques we just learned, write a class named Person with a name field of type String and an age field of type int. Write a suitable toString method for your Person class.

Add a main method that:

- ► Creates an array of Person objects
- ▶ Iterates through the array and prints each Person object who's age is greater than 21

