# ViUniEmbed: Unified Embedding and Reranking with a Single, Calibrated Multimodal Vector

Nguyen Anh Nguyen\* (EraX) & Gtel Mobile JSC (GMobile) – Vietnam

\*Corresponding Author: nguyen@hatto.com

#### Abstract

We introduce ViUniEmbed (Unified Embedding and Reranking with a Single, Calibrated Multimodal Vector), an architecture that fundamentally simplifies multimodal search by unifying embedding and reranking into a single model. Unlike complex multi-vector methods or traditional two-stage pipelines, Vi-UniEmbed generates a single, dense, high-quality vector per input that excels at both initial retrieval and fine-grained reranking. Our key innovations include: (1) Prefix-Guided Multi-Task Training, which uses specialized vocabulary tokens as training scaffolds; (2) A Defense-in-Depth Stability Architecture, featuring six distinct mechanisms including the Gradient Vaccine, which prevents catastrophic forgetting during modality shifts; (3) Adaptive Loss Scheduling, which dynamically warms up and cools down loss parameters for stable convergence; (4) Calibrated Similarity Learning, producing continuous scores for direct reranking from the embedding's dot product; and (5) ViUniEmbed-M, an extension leveraging Matryoshka Learning for hierarchical embeddings that offer flexible speed-accuracy tradeoffs at inference time. Training on a carefully balanced 8.5 million sample dataset, early results demonstrate a Spearman correlation of 0.649 at just 3\% of training, validating the model's calibrated scoring capability. By eliminating separate models, ViUniEmbed reduces infrastructure costs by up to 60% while simultaneously improving accuracy and reducing latency, offering a production-ready solution for enterprise-grade multimodal search.

#### 1. Introduction: The Pipeline Problem in Modern Search

Modern AI-powered search and retrieval systems, despite their power, are often built upon a fragmented and inefficient architectural paradigm. A typical production pipeline requires a sequence of specialized models to achieve both speed at scale and high precision:

- 1. **An Embedding Model**: This first-stage model converts a vast corpus of documents and incoming queries into vector representations, optimized for fast, approximate nearest-neighbor search in a vector database.
- 2. A Reranking Model: After retrieving an initial set of candidates, a more computationally expensive cross-encoder model is used to score the relevance of each query-document pair, providing the final, precise ranking.
- 3. Multi-Vector Complications: To capture more nuance, some state-of-the-art approaches like ColPali (Faysse et al., 2024) and PLAID (Yasunaga et al., 2024) generate multiple vectors per document, a strategy fundamentally incompatible with standard vector databases that expect a single vector per entry.

This multi-stage, multi-model approach creates a cascade of technical and operational challenges, including inflated infrastructure costs, compounded latency, and significant maintenance complexity from synchronizing multiple models. ViUniEmbed addresses this foundational issue with a paradigm shift: a single, unified model that produces one vector per input, designed from the ground up to excel at both coarse retrieval and calibrated reranking.

- 2. The ViUniEmbed Architecture: Unified by Design
- 2.1 Core Principle: Calibrated Embeddings for Unified Tasks

Traditional embedding models are optimized to maximize the cosine similarity of positive pairs while minimizing it for negative pairs. They can determine *if* two items are similar, but not *how* similar on a meaningful scale. ViUniEmbed is trained differently. Its embeddings are calibrated such that the dot product of two L2-normalized vectors directly corresponds to a reranking score:

$$\text{similarity}(A,B) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_A \cdot \mathbf{e}_B + 1}{2} \in [0,1]$$

This property is the key to unifying tasks. A single vector search in a database performs the retrieval, and a simple dot product on the results performs the reranking, eliminating the need for a second model.

## 2.2 A Streamlined, Single-Path Architecture

Early multimodal architectures often relied on complex modal routing gates to direct information flow. We found this approach prone to instability. ViUniEmbed adopts a clean, single-path design that enhances stability and performance.

This streamlined design ensures that all modalities are processed through a consistent and robust pathway, which is critical for learning a truly unified representation.

## 3. A Unified Theory of Stability: The ViUniEmbed Innovations

Training large, multi-objective contrastive models is notoriously unstable. ViUniEmbed's success stems from a holistic approach to stability, integrating innovations at the data, architecture, and loss function levels.

## 3.1 Innovation 1: Prefix-Guided Multi-Task Training

To teach the model diverse capabilities without adding architectural complexity, we developed a "prefix-guided" training strategy. We first expand the tokenizer's vocabulary with a small set of task-specific special tokens: <text\_pair>, <ocr>, <vqa\_single>, and <vqa\_multi>.

During training, these tokens are prepended to the input data to signal the task objective. Crucially, **these prefixes are used only during training**. They act as learning scaffolds, forcing the model to develop specialized representations within its shared parameter space. At inference time, the prefixes are omitted. We hypothesize that the model learns to associate input characteristics (e.g., a short question with an image) with the internal states previously conditioned by the prefixes, leading to an emergent, zero-overhead task specialization.

Excellent point. To truly sell this to investors and earn the buy-in of top-tier AI engineers, we need to translate these powerful concepts into the rigorous language of mathematics. This demonstrates a deep, first-principles understanding of the problem space, not just empirical trial-and-error.

Here is that section, rewritten with the requested mathematical sophistication.

#### 3.2 Innovation 2: The Defense-in-Depth Stability Architecture

We engineered a comprehensive, six-layer defense system where each component is mathematically formulated to counteract a specific, empirically observed failure mode during large-scale contrastive training.

#### Defense 1: The Gradient Vaccine: Annealed Modality Introduction

To prevent catastrophic forgetting when introducing the vision modality, we employ a curriculum learning strategy we term the **Gradient Vaccine**. Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{text}}$  be the loss from a text-only batch and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{multi}}$  be the loss from a multimodal batch. The expected loss  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}]$  at training step t is a dynamically weighted mixture:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}^{(t)}] = (1 - p_v(t)) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{text}} + p_v(t) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{multi}}$$

where  $p_v(t)$  is the probability of a batch being multimodal. This probability is annealed from a small initial value  $\epsilon$  (e.g., 0.02) to full exposure using an exponential growth schedule:

$$p_v(t) = \min(1.0, \epsilon \cdot (1+r)^t)$$

Here, r is a small growth rate (e.g.,  $4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ) ensuring the gradient distribution from the vision encoder,  $\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_{\text{multi}}$ , is introduced slowly, allowing the shared backbone parameters  $\theta$  to adapt without being destabilized by high-entropy initial vision gradients.

#### Defense 2: Adaptive Loss Parameter Scheduling

The learning objective itself is a non-stationary function of the training step t. Key hyperparameters are dynamically scheduled over a warmup phase of  $T_w$  steps to stabilize initial learning. The contrastive temperature  $\tau$ , which controls the sharpness of the softmax distribution, is annealed from a high initial value  $\tau_{\text{init}}$  to a target  $\tau_{\text{final}}$ :

$$\tau(t) = \tau_{\text{init}} - (\tau_{\text{init}} - \tau_{\text{final}}) \cdot \min(1, t/T_w)$$
 (Cool-down)

Conversely, the weights for the score regression and ranking losses,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , are gradually introduced to avoid penalizing the randomly initialized model too harshly:

$$\alpha(t) = \alpha_{\text{init}} + (\alpha_{\text{final}} - \alpha_{\text{init}}) \cdot \min(1, t/T_w)$$
 (Warm-up)

This scheduling strategy ensures the model first learns a coarse separation of the embedding space (driven by InfoNCE with high temperature) before being tasked with the more difficult, fine-grained objectives of score calibration and ranking.

## Defense 3: Advanced Pooling & Spectrally Normalized Projection

We replace standard pooling with a **Multi-Head Attention Pooling** layer that computes a learned query  $e_{pool}$  from the sequence of hidden states  $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_h}$ . The projection head, a function  $f_{\theta_p} : \mathbb{R}^{d_h} \to \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$ , is fortified with **Spectral Normalization**. For each linear layer  $x \mapsto Wx + b$  within the projection head, the weight matrix W is normalized by its largest singular value (spectral norm)  $\sigma(W)$ :

$$W_{norm} = \frac{W}{\sigma(W)} = \frac{W}{\max_{v \neq 0} \frac{\|Wv\|_2}{\|v\|_2}}$$

This forces the Lipschitz constant of the layer to be  $\leq 1$ , constraining the magnitude of the gradients  $\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f_{\theta_n}\|$  and preventing uncontrolled gradient flow that can lead to collapse.

## Defense 4: Component-Wise Gradient Clipping

Recognizing the varying stability of different model sub-modules, we partition the model parameters  $\Theta$  into disjoint sets  $\{\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \dots, \Theta_k\}$  (e.g., backbone, pooling, projection). After the backward pass, we clip the L2-norm of the gradient for each partition independently:

$$\forall i \in \{1,\dots,k\}, \quad \mathbf{g}_i = \nabla_{\Theta_i} \mathcal{L}, \quad \text{if } \|\mathbf{g}_i\|_2 > C_i \text{ then } \mathbf{g}_i \leftarrow C_i \frac{\mathbf{g}_i}{\|\mathbf{g}_i\|_2}$$

where  $C_i$  is the clipping threshold for partition i. This allows us to apply a very strict clip (e.g.,  $C_{\text{backbone}} = 1.0$ ) to preserve the robust features of the pretrained backbone, while allowing more aggressive updates (e.g.,  $C_{\text{projection}} = 5.0$ ) for the randomly initialized layers.

## Defense 5: Anti-Collapse Regularization

To directly penalize the symptom of representation collapse—high similarity between distinct items—we introduce a regularization term. For a batch of embeddings  $\{e_1, \dots, e_B\}$ , we compute the off-diagonal similarity matrix  $S_{ij} = e_i^T e_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . The penalty is a Hinge loss activated only when similarities exceed a high threshold  $\delta$  (e.g., 0.95):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{collapse}} = \lambda_{\text{ac}} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} \left[ \max(0, \mathbf{S}_{ij} - \delta) \right]$$

This term is inert when the model is healthy  $(S_{ij} < \delta)$  but acts as a powerful repulsive force if the representations begin to cluster, providing an emergency brake against collapse.

## Defense 6: Hyperspherical Uniformity Loss

To encourage the model to utilize the entire surface of the embedding hypersphere, we add a uniformity loss based on the pairwise Gaussian potential, as defined by Wang & Isola (2020):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{uniform}} = \log \mathbb{E}_{i \neq j} \left[ \exp(-t \| \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j \|_2^2) \right]$$

where t is a temperature parameter. Minimizing this loss is equivalent to maximizing the potential energy of a system of particles, encouraging them to spread out as far as possible from one another. This directly improves the quality and expressive power of the learned representations.

## 3.3 Innovation 3: Calibrated, Task-Specific Loss Functions

The learning objective is tailored to the nature of each task, leveraging the scheduled parameters described above.

• For Continuous Similarity (Text Pairs): We use a composite loss of KL-Divergence (for distribution matching), Mean-Squared Error (for direct score calibration), and a Ranking Loss (to preserve relative order). This trifecta teaches the model to produce truly calibrated scores.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{text-pair}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{KL}} + \alpha(t) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{score}} + \beta(t) \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{rank}}$$

• For Binary Tasks (OCR/VQA): We employ a powerful combination of InfoNCE loss for primary contrastive learning and a Triplet Loss with task-specific margins for hard-negative mining, all calculated using the scheduled temperature  $\tau(t)$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{OCR/VQA}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{InfoNCE}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{triplet}}$$

#### 4. Innovation 4: ViUniEmbed-M and Hierarchical Matryoshka Learning

ViUniEmbed-M extends the base architecture with nested representations using Matryoshka Representation Learning (Kusupati et al., 2022). A single 2048-dimensional vector is trained such that its prefixes form complete, usable embeddings at smaller dimensions (e.g., 512, 768, 1024).

This is achieved by applying the full, scheduled loss function to different prefixes of the embedding during training, with contributions weighted quadratically to prioritize the fidelity of larger dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \sum_{d \in D} w_d \cdot \mathcal{L}_d, \quad \text{where } w_d = \frac{(d/D_{\text{max}})^2}{\sum_{k \in D} (k/D_{\text{max}})^2}$$

This provides unparalleled deployment flexibility, allowing practitioners to dynamically trade between retrieval speed (using shorter embeddings) and reranking accuracy (using the full embedding) from a single model and a single stored vector.

## 5. Commercial Advantages and Production Readiness

The unified architecture of ViUniEmbed offers substantial, quantifiable benefits over traditional pipelines.

Metric	Traditional Pipeline	ViUniEmbed	Advantage
Models	2-3 (e.g., Embedder + Reranker)	1	~60% less
Required Projected	50ms + 20ms = 70ms	~25ms	$^{\sim}2.8 imes$ faster
Latency			
Projected RAM Usage	8GB + 6GB = 14GB	$\sim\!6\mathrm{GB}$	$\sim$ 57% reduction
Vector DB	Often poor (multi-vector)	Universal (single vector)	Works with all
Compatibility			DBs
Calibrated Scores	No, requires second model	Yes, natively	Direct reranking

In a real-world scenario with 100 million documents, a traditional pipeline might require five GPU servers. The ViUniEmbed system could deliver superior performance with just two, representing a 60% reduction in infrastructure cost and operational overhead.

#### 6. Preliminary Results: A Proof of Concept

Though training on the full 8.5 million sample dataset is ongoing, results from the first 3,000 steps (representing under 3% of one epoch) are highly promising and validate our approach.

Metric	Value	Significance	
R@1 (Retrieval)	0.678	Strong first-stage retrieval capability.	
Spearman Correlation	0.649	Excellent calibrated ranking performance.	
VQA R@1	0.999	Near-perfect visual question answering.	
OCR R@1	0.807	Highly accurate optical character recognition.	
Embedding sim_gap	0.411	A large, healthy gap between positive and negative similarities.	

The per-dimension health of ViUniEmbed-M is also excellent, demonstrating a clear hierarchical separation of mean similarities (e.g., 0.20 for 512d vs. 0.78 for 2048d). This demonstrates the Matryoshka objective is being successfully learned without representation collapse. Trained with 2x NVIDIA A100 GPUs. Training time: ~140 hours for UniRep, ~360 hours for ViUniEmbed-M.

# 7. Conclusion: A New Paradigm for Multimodal Search

ViUniEmbed and ViUniEmbed-M establish a new, more efficient paradigm for building high-performance multimodal search systems. By successfully unifying the distinct tasks of embedding and reranking into a single, calibrated vector, we eliminate the architectural fragmentation that has plagued production AI systems. Our key contributions—the **Gradient Vaccine**, prefix-guided training, a defense-in-depth stability architecture, **dynamically scheduled loss objectives**, and Matryoshka flexibility—provide a comprehensive blueprint for the next generation of practical, powerful, and cost-effective multimodal AI.

To accelerate progress in the field, all code, model weights, and training configurations will be made publicly available upon publication.

#### 8. References

Faysse, M., et al. (2024). ColPali: Efficient Document Retrieval with Vision Language Models. arXiv:2405.16738.

Kusupati, A., et al. (2022). Matryoshka Representation Learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS).

Wang, T., & Isola, P. (2020). Understanding Contrastive Representation Learning through Alignment and Uniformity on the Hypersphere. *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*.

Yasunaga, M., et al. (2024). Retrieval-Augmented Thought Process for Weak-to-Strong Reasoning. arXiv:2405.01354.