**Project Team Assignments Form**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Thesis/Capstone Project Title: | | |
| **Name and Signature of Proponent/s** | **Project Role** | **E-mail Address and**  **Mobile Number** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Thesis/Capstone Adviser Request Form**
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**Form 1**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

[Dean/Asso Dean]

College of Computer Studies

Laguna State Polytechnic University

Sir:

We would like to request for the assistance/supervision of [name of chosen adviser] as our **Adviser** during the presentation, conduct and report of my thesis entitled [Thesis Title].

Hoping for your kind attention and approval.

Respectfully yours,

[complete name 1]

[complete name 2]

[complete name 3]

[complete name 4]

[name of faculty],

You are requested by [name 1] and [name 2] to assist and supervise him/her during the conduct of their thesis under the Bachelor of Science in Computer Science program.

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

[Dean/Asso Dean]

College of Computer Studies

Accepted:

[name of faculty]
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**Form 2**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**Title Proposal Form**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Proponents/Researchers:** | 1.  2.  3.  4. |
| **Project Title: (5 to 15 word count):**  *Title should be short, sharp, and describe what your research is about: it may also be a ‘working title’* ***that you will revise as your project develops****.* | |
| **Introduction** | *State the basis of the conduct of the study, discuss the foundation of the research. Mention the thrust focus of the research study and establish the alignment to the University Research Thrust and Priorities.* |
| **Statement of the Problem** | *What is the gap in literature/current practices you want to address? You may use key terms* |
| **Objective of the Study** | *How do you plan to address the gap/s that you identified in the Statement of the Problem?*  *Make sure that the objectives are well-defined and must answer the gap you posed.* |
| **Scope and Limitation of the Study:** | *Determine the assumptions and bounds of your study.*  *Example:*  *The study entitled “Automated Gate Pass Monitoring System” is a system that monitors the devices that has been brought by the student and teachers inside the school. Every device will have their unique barcodes which will be saved to the database together with the student’s information. Reports will be generated such as weekly, monthly and annual reports to be presented to school director. Also, it can produce instantaneous reports for monitoring purposes.* |
| **Review Literatures and System** | *An adequate literature and previous study review is required in all research proposals. The purpose of the literature review should:*   * *Provide evidence to the panelist that you are well acquainted with past and current research in the field of study.* * *Prove that the thesis/capstone project will not duplicate past or current research.*   *Indicate how the intended research relates to similar and past research; in other words, the literature review positions your research within the existing body of knowledge.* |
| **Conceptual Framework** |  |
| **References:** | APA Format |

Prepared by:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Title Defense Rating Sheet**
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**Form 3**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Group No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Proponents: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Research Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | CRITERIA | DESCRIPTION | WEIGHT | SCORE | | TOPIC ALIGNMENT | The proposed title is timely and aligned to Capstones/Research Agenda Thrust and Priority | 20% |  | | NOVELTY | Had checked the novelty of the proposed topic, identified the gap in the existing literature and /or introduced new ideas | 20% |  | | PURPOSE | The purpose of the proposed capstone/thesis topic is clearly stated and properly identified | 20% |  | | REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURES AND SYSTEM | Provided sufficient reviews of related studies and system relative to the proposed topic | 20% |  | | FEASIBILITY | The proposed topic is reliable, practical and feasible | 20% |  | | TOTAL | |  |  |   **PRE-PROPOSAL VERDICTS** | |
| **[ ]** | **APPROVED**. Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front of and checked by all panelists. **86 – 100** |
| **[ ]** | **APPROVED WITH REVISIONS**. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. **70 – 85** |
| **[ ]** | **DISAPPROVED**. The Proponents/Researchers failed to propose a researchable or scholarly Thesis / Capstone Project. **Below 70** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Role on the Defense | Signature over Printed Name of Evaluator |

**Notice of Title Acceptance**
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**Form 4**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**C E R T I F I C A T I O N**

The undersigned members comprising the panel for oral examination hereby approve the thesis/capstone project entitled: “ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ” including its team members composed of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Research Adviser | |
|  |  |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Evaluator | Evaluator |
|  | |
|  |  |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| RIU-Head, CCS College Dean/Assoc. Dean | |

**Form 5**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**
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**Notice of Thesis/Capstone Project Oral Examination**

Date Filed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Reference Code: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] Proposal

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] Final Oral Defense

Venue: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Research Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Proponents:

1. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**C E R T I F I C A T I O N**

The undersigned members comprising the panel for oral examination hereby agree to the schedule of hearing for the above research.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Research Adviser | |
|  |  |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Subject Specialist | Technical Editor |
|  | |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Language Critic | Statistician |
| Recommending Approval:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| Research Coordinator | |
| Approved by: | |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| College Dean/Asso Dean | |

57

**Form 6**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**PROPOSAL DEFENSE RATING SHEET**

Adviser: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Specialization Expert: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Group No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Proponents: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Research Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **MANUSCRIPT (30%)** | | |
| CRITERIA | WEIGHT (%) | EVALUATED SCORE |
| 1. Format (documentation, chapter division, style including neatness and organization of details.) | 7 |  |
| 2. Research Problems and Objectives (discuss the problems encountered by the client and answered with appropriate and adequate solutions) | 8 |  |
| 3. Related Literature and Studies (includes 10 for literature and 10 studies and summarize the discussion on synthesis) | 7 |  |
| 4. Research Methodology (appropriateness of methods of study, statistical treatment, analysis and interpretations) | 8 |  |
| SUB – TOTAL | 30 |  |
| **ORAL DEFENSE (30%)** | | |
| 1. Presentation ( content and creativity of visual aid and/ or graphics and mastery of study evidenced by logical presentation of the conclusion) | 15 |  |
| 2. Defense (Ability to answer reasoning capability and ability to justify interpretation and conclusion) | 15 |  |
| SUB – TOTAL | 30 |  |
| **CAPSTONE/THESIS PROJECT (40%)** | | |
| 1. Innovation | 15 |  |
| 2. Application and Relevance | 15 |  |
| 3. Research Thrust Impact | 10 |  |
| SUB – TOTAL | 40 |  |
| **OVER ALL TOTAL** | **100** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PROPOSAL VERDICTS** | |
| [ ] | **APPROVED**. Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front of and checked by all panelists. **86 – 100** |
| [ ] | **APPROVED WITH REVISIONS**. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists. **75 – 85** |
| [ ] | **DISAPPROVED**. The Proponents/Researchers failed to propose a researchable or scholarly Thesis / Capstone Project. **Below 75** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Role on the Defense | Signature over Printed Name of Evaluator |

**Form 7**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**FINAL ORAL DEFENSE RATING SHEET**
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Adviser: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Specialization Expert: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Group No.: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Proponents: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Research Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **MANUSCRIPT (30%)** | | |
|  | **WEIGHT (%)** | **EVALUATED SCORE** |
| 1. Format (documentation, style including neatness and organization of details) | 5 |  |
| 1. Research Problems and Objectives (discuss the problems encountered by the client and answered with appropriate and adequate solutions) | 5 |  |
| 1. Related Literature and Studies (includes 10 for literature and 10 studies and summarize the discussion on synthesis) | 5 |  |
| 1. Research Methodology (Appropriateness of methods of study, statistical treatment, analysis and interpretations). | 5 |  |
| 1. Technical Background (relevant design tools, design, and implementation plan is appropriate on requirements) | 5 |  |
| 1. Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations (Findings, Conclusions and Recommendations are attuned with the objectives) | 5 |  |
| **SUB-TOTAL** | **30** |  |
| **ORAL DEFENSE (20%)** | | |
| 1. Presentation (content and creativity of visual aid and/or graphics and mastery of study evidenced by logical presentation of the conclusion) | 10 |  |
| 1. Defense (Ability to answer reasoning capability and ability to justify interpretation and conclusion) | 10 |  |
| **SUB-TOTAL** | **20** |  |
| **CAPSTONE PROJECT / THESIS (50%)** | | |
| Functionality -This characteristic represents the degree to which a product or system provides functions that meet stated and implied needs when used under specified conditions. | 15 |  |
| Usability- Degree to which a product or system can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction in a specified context of use. | 20 |  |
| Reliability- Degree to which a system, product or component performs specified functions under specified conditions for a specified period of time. | 15 |  |
| **SUB-TOTAL** | **50** |  |
| **TOTAL** | **100** |  |

**PROPOSAL VERDICT**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [ ] | **PASSED.** Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front and checked by all panelists. Grade range: **95-100**. |
| [ ] | **PASSED WITH MINOR REVISIONS**. Minor revisions can be defined as revision either document manuscript or system but not both. Revision shall be incorporated in the final copy of the Manuscript. And should be closely monitored by adviser and must be checked by the panelists. Grade range: **85-94**. |
| [ ] | **PASSED WITH MAJOR REVISIONS**. Major revisions on system and minor revisions on documentation will be considered as REPRESENT. The system should be presented in a panel setting with system presentations. Grade range: **75-84**. |
| [ ] | **REDEFENSE**. Major revisions on both document manuscript and system were found to be for reexaminations. The system should be presented in a panel setting and documentation scrutiny. Grade Range: **70-74**. |
| [ ] | **DISAPPROVED**. The Proponents/Researchers failed to defend and submit the required deliverables in the Final Oral Defense. Grade range: **BELOW 70**. |

Role on the Defense Signature over Printed Name of Evaluator

**Form 8**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**
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**TITLE DEFENSE COMMENT FORM**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Group No.: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Proponents: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Research Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Consolidated Comments / Suggestions / Recommendation:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Secretariat

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Function** | **Conforme Signature** |
|  | Evaluator 1 |  |
|  | Evaluator 2 |  |
|  | Evaluator 3 |  |

**Form 9**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**APPROVAL SHEET FOR FINAL PRINTING**
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This thesis entitled **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** prepared and presented by **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ AND \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_,** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science in Computer Science is hereby recommended for final printing.

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Adviser

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by the committee on Oral Examination.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Subject Specialist | Technical Editor |
|  | |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Language Critic | Statistician |

|  |
| --- |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Research Implementing Unit, Head  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Chairman |

**Form 10**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

# APPROVAL SHEET

The thesis entitled **“THESIS TITLE IN ALL CAPS FORM,”** prepared and submitted by **NAME OF THE MEMBER/S (FIRST NAME MI. LAST NAME)**, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN COMPUTER SCIENCE**, major in **WRITE IN FULL**, is hereby recommended for approval and acceptance.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **FIRSTNAME MI. LASTNAME, XXX** |
|  |  | Thesis Adviser |

================================================================

Approved by the Committee on Oral Examination with a grade of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FIRSTNAME MI. LASTNAME, XXX** | |  | **FIRSTNAME MI. LASTNAME, XXX** | |
| Subject Specialist | |  | Technical Editor | |
| **FIRSTNAME MI. LASTNAME, XXX** | |  | **FIRSTNAME MI. LASTNAME, XXX** | |
| Statistician | |  | Language Critic | |
|  | **ZERAH-JANE M. ASTOVEZA** | | |  |
| Research Coordinator | | | | |

================================================================

Accepted and approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN COMPUTER SCIENCE**, Major in **WRITE IN FULL.**

**FRANCIS F. BALAHADIA, DIT**

Associate Dean

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **MA. CECILIA C. GATBONTON** |  |  |
| Chairperson, Research and Development |  | Date Signed |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION NO.** |  |

**Form 11**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**THESIS PANEL MEMBER NOMINATION**

Student/s Name and Signature : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Degree Sought : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Major Field (if applicable) : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

I/we, hereby nominate as Panel Member (\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)

Name of Faculty : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

College : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Field of Specialization : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

I, hereby indicate my willingness to serve as a Panel Member.

Signature of Faculty : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date Signed

Endorsed By: Recommending Approval:

**ZERAH-JANE M. ASTOVEZA** **FRANCIS F. BALAHADIA, DIT**

Research Coordinator Dean/Associate Dean

APPROVED/DISAPPROVED:

**MA. CECILIA C. GATBONTON**

R&D Chairperson

**Form 12**

**CCS-SR-\_\_\_\_\_**

**THESIS ADVISER NOMINATION**

Student/s Name and Signature : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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