

# N-Body Simulations with REBOUND

Lab course protocol

Group 3+10

Pratyush Singh,  
Proshmit Dasputpa,  
Erasyel Telman

07/03/2025

Advanced lab course in astronomy  
Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen

WiSe 2024/25

# Abstract

This is optional, but never longer than half a page.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theory</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Classical N-Body Problem . . . . .	1
2.2	Time Integrators . . . . .	1
2.2.1	Leapfrog . . . . .	1
2.2.2	IAS15 . . . . .	1
2.2.3	WHFast . . . . .	1
2.2.4	Gragg-Bulirsch-Stoer . . . . .	1
2.3	REBOUND . . . . .	1
2.3.1	REBOUNDX . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Experiment</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Two Body Problem . . . . .	2
3.2	Three Body Problem and Stability of the Planet System . . . . .	5
3.3	Jupiter and Kirkwood Gaps . . . . .	5
3.4	Resonant Capture of a Planet . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>5</b>
	Code . . . . .	5

# 1 Introduction

Very short summary what the experiment is about and why the subject plays a role in astronomy/astrophysics.

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 Classical N-Body Problem

### 2.2 Time Integrators

#### 2.2.1 Leapfrog

#### 2.2.2 IAS15

#### 2.2.3 WHFast

#### 2.2.4 Gragg-Bulirsch-Stoer

### 2.3 REBOUND

To simulate the N-body problem in various astrophysical contexts, we use the REBOUND software package developed by Professor Hanno Rein. REBOUND can simulate particles under the influence of their gravities. These particles can represent astrophysical bodies like stars, planets, moons, asteroids, dust particles etc[RL12]. The documentation for REBOUND can be found at: <https://rebound.readthedocs.io/en/latest/>. It provides convenient tools to study the properties and evolution of an N-body system like the energy, angular momentum and orbital elements.

REBOUND runs natively on windows, mac and linux. This can be run in either C or Python. For our purposes we will stick with the latter. REBOUND for python can be easily installed by `pip install rebound`.

### 2.3.1 REBOUNDX

## 3 Experiment

### 3.1 Two Body Problem

We use the simple two body problem to test various integrators in REBOUND (Leapfrog, IAS15, WHFast, Gragg-Bulirsch-Stoer) and compare the quality of the resulting outputs. We also test the quality of the results as we change the timestep from 1 to  $10^{-6}$ . In this two body problem we simulate a moon orbiting a planet or a planet orbiting a star. Here, one body will be significantly heavier than the other. The energy and the angular momentum of the system should remain constant and are given as:

$$E = -\mu \frac{GM}{2a} \quad (1)$$

$$L = \mu \sqrt{GMa(1 - e^2)} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{M}$  is the reduced mass of the system and  $M = m_1 + m_2$  is the total mass. From the above equations we can derive that the semi major axis and the eccentricity of the system should also remain constant as we integrate the system over time.

The two body problem is simulated with REBOUND as per the following procedure:

1. Initialize the simulation with a chosen integrator and timestep
2. Add the two bodies to the system with  $m_1 = 1$  and  $m_2 = 0.3, a = 1, e = 0.3$
3. The simulation is integrated for one orbit and 250 steps. At each step the positions, energies and orbital parameters of the system are stored.
4. The orbit can be plotted from the stored positions of the two bodies. Various properties of the system can be plotted as a function of time.

The code where the following procedure is implemented is given in the appendix 4. Here is the orbit we obtain with the leapfrog integrator and a timestep of  $10^{-3}$ :






Figure 1: Orbit of a two body system with leapfrog integrator and timestep of  $10^{-3}$ . The number of data points are less dense closer to the pericenter, which means that  $m_2$  is moving faster. This follows Kepler's second law.

Effect of smaller timesteps is tested with the leapfrog integrator with timesteps of 1,  $10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-6}$ .




(a) Conservation of Energy at various timesteps. As we increase the timestep, the energy deviation from the initial value increases. (b) Conservation of Angular Momentum at various timesteps. Conservation of angular momentum gets worse as we decrease the timestep.

Figure 2: Conservation of Energy and Angular Momentum at various timesteps




(a) Semi Major Axis at various timesteps




(b) Eccentricity at various timesteps

Figure 3: Constant semi major axis and eccentricity at various timesteps

Effect of different integrators is tested with a timestep of  $10^{-3}$  using the leapfrog, IAS15, WHFast and Gragg-Bulirsch-Stoer integrators




(a) Conservation of Energy using different integrators




(b) Conservation of Angular Momentum using different integrators

Figure 4: Conservation of Energy and Angular Momentum using different integrators



(a) Semi Major Axis using different integrators



(b) Eccentricity using different integrators

Figure 5: Constant semi major axis and eccentricity using different integrators

### 3.2 Three Body Problem and Stability of the Planet System

### 3.3 Jupiter and Kirkwood Gaps

### 3.4 Resonant Capture of a Planet

## 4 Conclusions

An important section in which you should critically review the experiment and its results. Mention also parts that did not work out as expected, but keep a neutral to positive view. This can span from a few sentences to half a page.

## References

- [RL12] H. Rein and S. -F. Liu. “REBOUND: an open-source multi-purpose N-body code for collisional dynamics”. In: *aap* 537, A128 (Jan. 2012), A128. DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201118085. arXiv: 1110.4876 [astro-ph.EP].

## Appendix

### Code

Please attach here your original handwritten notes and other documents created during the experiment.