

Homework 3  
Erdun E  
Professor Nate  
Feb 16, 2025

CS 5200: Database Management System  
Homework 3

**Problem 1 (5 points).** List all non-trivial functional dependencies that hold in the current state of the relation:

$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$
1	$x$	$i$
1	$x$	$ii$
2	$x$	$i$
2	$x$	$iii$

Each FD should be minimal: that is to say, removing any attribute(s) from the left no longer functionally determines the right.

**Solution:**

- $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  (Valid and minimal)
- $A_1 \rightarrow A_3$  (Invalid)
- $A_2 \rightarrow A_1$  (Invalid)
- $A_2 \rightarrow A_3$  (Invalid)
- $A_3 \rightarrow A_1$  (Invalid)
- $A_3 \rightarrow A_2$  (Valid and minimal)
- $(A_1, A_2) \rightarrow A_3$  (Invalid)
- $(A_1, A_3) \rightarrow A_2$  (Not minimal, because  $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  already holds)
- $(A_2, A_3) \rightarrow A_1$  (Invalid)

**Final Answer:** The only valid non-trivial functional dependency is:

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$$

**Problem 2 (10 points).** List all non-trivial functional dependencies that do NOT hold given the current state of this relation:

	$A_4$	$A_5$	$A_6$
$t_1$	1	$y$	$iii$
$t_2$	4	$y$	$iii$
$t_3$	5	$z$	$iii$

With each FD you list, provide a pair of tuples that invalidate the FD. As shown in the table above, refer to the first tuple as  $t_1$ , the second as  $t_2$ , and the third as  $t_3$ .

**Solution:**

- $A_4 \rightarrow A_5$  (Invalidated by  $t_2, t_3$ )
- $A_5 \rightarrow A_4$  (Invalidated by  $t_1, t_2$ )
- $A_6 \rightarrow A_4$  (Invalidated by  $t_1, t_3$ )
- $A_6 \rightarrow A_5$  (Invalidated by  $t_1, t_3$ )
- $(A_4, A_6) \rightarrow A_5$  (Invalidated by  $t_2, t_3$ )
- $(A_5, A_6) \rightarrow A_4$  (Invalidated by  $t_1, t_2$ )

**Final Answer:** The following functional dependencies do not hold with counterexamples as shown above.

$$A_4 \rightarrow A_5, \quad A_5 \rightarrow A_4, \quad A_6 \rightarrow A_4, \quad A_6 \rightarrow A_5, \quad (A_4, A_6) \rightarrow A_5, \quad (A_5, A_6) \rightarrow A_4$$

**Problem 3 (15 points).** Consider a relational schema  $BAR(M, N, O, P)$  that has the following functional dependencies (FDs):  $O \rightarrow P$ ,  $O \rightarrow M$ ,  $N \rightarrow O$ .

- What are the candidate key(s) of  $BAR$ ?
- What is the highest normal form  $BAR$  is in? (You must justify your response.)
- If  $BAR$  violates 3NF, provide a decomposition that satisfies the FDs (remember to include all primary/foreign keys).

**Solution:**

#### Candidate Key

- To determine the candidate key, given:
- $N \rightarrow O$
- $O \rightarrow P$
- $O \rightarrow M$
- Since  $N$  determines  $O$ , and  $O$  determines both  $P$  and  $M$ , conclude:

$$N \rightarrow M, O, P$$

- Thus, the candidate key is:

$$\{N\}$$

#### Highest Normal Form

- 1NF: The schema is in 1NF because all attributes are atomic.
- 2NF: Since there is only one candidate key  $N$ , and all attributes fully depend on it, the schema satisfies 2NF.
- 3NF: The schema does not satisfy 3NF because  $O$  is not a candidate key but determines  $P$  and  $M$ .
- Thus, the highest normal form is:

$$2NF$$

#### 3NF Decomposition

- To decompose into 3NF, need to create two relations:

$$BAR_1(N, O) \quad (\text{Primary Key: } N, \text{ Foreign Key: } O)$$

$$BAR_2(O, M, P) \quad (\text{Primary Key: } O)$$

- This decomposition ensures that all functional dependencies are preserved and each relation satisfies 3NF.

**Problem 4 (15 points).** Consider a relational schema  $BAZ(Q, R, S, T)$  that has the following functional dependencies (FDs):  $R \rightarrow S, T \rightarrow Q$ .

- What are the candidate key(s) of BAZ?
- What is the highest normal form BAZ is in? (You must justify your response.)
- If BAZ violates 3NF, provide a decomposition that satisfies the FDs (remember to include all primary/foreign keys).

**Solution:**

#### Candidate Key

- To determine the candidate key, given:
- $R \rightarrow S$
- $T \rightarrow Q$
- Since neither  $R$  nor  $T$  alone can determine all attributes, we conclude:

$$(T, R) \rightarrow Q, R, S, T$$

- Thus, the candidate key is:

$$\{T, R\}$$

#### Highest Normal Form

- **1NF:** The schema is in 1NF because all attributes are atomic.
- **2NF:** The schema does not satisfy 2NF because  $S$  depends only on  $R$ , which is part of the candidate key  $\{T, R\}$ , causing partial dependency.
- Thus, the highest normal form is:

1NF

#### 3NF Decomposition

- To decompose into 3NF, we create three relations:

$BAZ_1(R, S)$  (Primary Key:  $R$ , Foreign Key:  $R \rightarrow BAZ_3$ )

$BAZ_2(T, Q)$  (Primary Key:  $T$ , Foreign Key:  $T \rightarrow BAZ_3$ )

$BAZ_3(R, T)$  (Primary Key:  $R, T$ )

- This decomposition ensures that all functional dependencies are preserved and each relation satisfies 3NF.