

## Exercises

(Goddard) A3, problem 3. Suppose we have a list of  $n$  numbers. The list is guaranteed to have a number which appears more than  $n/2$  times on it. Devise a good algorithm to find the Majority element.

**Answer:** Given a number that appears more than half of the list. Sorting is a good algorithm to find out the majority element.

**Sorting:** Sort the list, because this number is more than half of the list, and return the element which at the middle index is the majority element.

**Algorithm Steps:**

1. Calculate the length of the list
2. Determining special cases ( $\text{list.length} = 0$  or  $1$ )
3. Sorting the list in ascending order
4. Return the element at the middle index which is the majority element, because it appears more than  $n/2$ .

**Time Complexity:**  $O(n \log n)$ , sorting takes  $O(n \log n)$  time.

---

**Algorithm: 1 Sorting Psseudocode**

---

```
1: length = list.length()
2: if if  $length \leq 1$  then
3:   return list
4: end if
5: list.sort()
6: return list[length / 2]
```

---

(Dasgupta) 2.14. You are given an array of  $n$  elements, and you notice that some of the elements are duplicates; that is, they appear more than once in the array. Show how to remove all duplicates from the array in time  $O(n \log n)$ .

**Answer:** Given remove all duplicates from the array in time  $O(n \log n)$ . Divide and Conquer could approach.

**Divide and Conquer:** First, the array is divided into two smaller subarrays to reduce the size of the problem; then, duplicates are removed from each subarray by recursively removing them until they are small enough to be processed directly; and finally, the final array is ensured to contain no duplicates by merging the processed subarrays.

**Algorithm Steps:**

1. Calculate the length of the array
2. Determining special cases ( $\text{array.length} = 0$  or  $1$ )
3. Splits the array into two subarrays and recursively processes each half.
4. Each subarray is processed recursively. When the size of the subarray is 1 or less than 1, there is no duplication.
5. Merges two sorted arrays while removing duplicates.

**Time Complexity:**  $O(n \log n)$ . Because sorting takes  $O(n \log n)$  and merging takes  $O(n)$  across  $\log n$  recursive levels, making sorting is the most time-consuming.

Pseudocode on the next page.

---

**Algorithm: 2 Sorting Pseudocode**

---

```
1: function REMOVEDUPLICATES(array)
2:   return function divideAndConquer(array)
3: end function
4: function DIVIDEANDCONQUER(array)
5:   if  $array.length() \leq 1$  then
6:     return array
7:   end if
8:   mid = array.length() / 2
9:   left = copyOfRange(array, 0, mid)
10:  right = copyOfRange(array, mid, array.length())
11:  left = function divideAndConquer(left)
12:  right = function divideAndConquer(right)
13:  left.sort()
14:  right.sort()
15:  return function mergeAndRemoveDuplicates(left, right)
16: end function
17: function MERGEANDREMOVEDUPLICATES(left, right)
18:  merged = new empty array
19:  i = 0
20:  j = 0
21:  while  $i < left.length()$  and  $j < right.length()$  do
22:    if  $left[i] < right[j]$  then
23:      if  $merged.length() == 0$  or  $merged[merged.length() - 1] \neq left[i]$  then
24:        merged.append(left[i])
25:      end if
26:       $i = i + 1$ 
27:    else if  $left[i] > right[j]$  then
28:      if  $merged.length() == 0$  or  $merged[merged.length() - 1] \neq right[j]$  then
29:        merged.append(right[j])
30:      end if
31:       $j = j + 1$ 
32:    else
33:      if  $merged.length() == 0$  or  $merged[merged.length() - 1] \neq left[i]$  then
34:        merged.append(left[i])
35:      end if
36:       $i = i + 1$ 
37:       $j = j + 1$ 
38:    end if
39:  end while
40:  while  $i < left.length()$  do
41:    if  $merged.length() == 0$  or  $merged[merged.length() - 1] \neq left[i]$  then
42:      merged.append(left[i])
43:    end if
44:     $i = i + 1$ 
45:  end while
46:  while  $j < right.length()$  do
47:    if  $merged.length() == 0$  or  $merged[merged.length() - 1] \neq right[j]$  then
48:      merged.append(right[j])
49:    end if
50:     $j = j + 1$ 
51:  end while
52:  return merged
53: end function
```

---

(Dasgupta) 2.15. In our median-finding algorithm (Section 2.4), a basic primitive is the split operation, which takes as input an array  $S$  and a value  $v$  and then divides  $S$  into three sets: the elements less than  $v$ , the elements equal to  $v$ , and the elements greater than  $v$ . Show how to implement this split operation in place, that is, without allocating new memory.

**Answer:** Given implement this split operation in place without allocating new memory. Split in-place algorithm is a good algorithm to implement this split operation.

**Split In-Place:** Set up three pointers. Point to the beginning of the array, the element being examined, and the end of the array. Iterate through the array, swapping values less than  $v$  to the front of  $v$  and values greater than  $v$  to the back of  $v$ .

**Algorithm Steps:**

1. Initial 3 pointers as low, mid, and high
2. Iterate the array until mid greater than high
3. If array[mid] less than  $v$ , swap array[mid] with array[low], pointers move
4. If array[mid] greater than  $v$ , swap array[mid] with array[high], pointer high moves, but mid doesn't because need to check the swapped value again.
5. For others, pointer mid moves due to equal to  $v$

**Time Complexity:**  $O(n)$ , each element being checked at most once, and  $n$  is the length of the array.

---

**Algorithm: 3 Split In-Place Pseudocode**

---

```
1: low = 0, mid = 0
2: high = S.length() - 1
3: while mid ≤ high do
4:   if S[mid] < v then
5:     swap low and mid
6:     low = low + 1
7:     mid = mid + 1
8:   else if S[mid] > v then
9:     swap mid and high
10:    high = high - 1
11:   else
12:     mid = mid + 1
13:   end if
14: end while
15: return S
```

---