## Differentiability:

"given a curve y = f(x) how can we compute the tangent line at a print  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  of the curve?"

- This is difficult to do in general.

- The seeand line bet " two prints  $P_0 := (N_0, f(N_0))$  and  $P_1 := (N_1, f(N_1))$  is always easy to compute . It is just the unique of line that pawes through the pts, given by

J= yo + 41- yo (x-xo), yo = f(xo), y=f(x1).

- As Po and P, gets clover and clover, this secant line becomes clover and clover to the tangent. At the limit P, -> Po, there two coincide.

Defn: Given  $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $(a,b) \neq \emptyset$ , and a point  $\pi_0 \in I$ , we say that f is differentiable at  $\pi_0$  if the following limit exists and is finite:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)-f(\pi_0)}{\pi-\pi_0}$ 

This limit is called the derivative of fat no, denoted by

- If This limit doern't exist or is not finite, then we say that f is not differentiable at the point no.

- No∈(a,b) is always a cluster print for (a,b) so the limit is well-defined.

- Usually, we don't define the derivative of a fn: [a,b] -> R at the end points a,b since there the idea of a tangent line doern't make sense.

of: of for) = x is always differentiable on R.

• f(n) = |x| is not differentiable at  $x_0 = 0$ .

$$\lim_{n\to 0^+} \frac{|n|-0}{n-0} = 1$$
,  $\lim_{n\to 0^-} \frac{|n|-0}{n-0} = -1$ .

- The quotient from - f(xo) is called the Newton - Quotient.

Gometrically, it is the slope of the secant line through (40, flrs)) and (nif(n)). So, geometrically the derivative is the slope of the tangent line to the graph of f at the print (xo, f(xo)).

eg: f((1) = 2x for f(1) = x2.

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \gamma_0} \frac{f(\alpha) - f(\gamma_0)}{\alpha - \gamma_0} = \lim_{\alpha \to \gamma_0} \frac{\gamma^2 - \gamma_0^2}{\alpha - \gamma_0} = \lim_{\alpha \to \gamma_0} (\gamma + \gamma_0) = 2\gamma_0.$$

· Equivalent def de derivative in fl(m) = lim f(n+h)-f(n)

eg: f:R->R, f(n)= sinx.

$$f:R \to R, f(\pi) = 2\pi i n \times .$$

$$f(\pi) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\pi + h) - f(\pi)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{8in(\pi + h) - 8in + x}{h}$$

$$f(\pi) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\pi + h) - f(\pi)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{8in(\pi + h) - 8in + x}{h}$$

$$8in(\pi-h) - 8in\pi = \frac{h}{h} = \frac{h}{h$$

$$\frac{2\sin(\pi-h)-\sin\pi=:}{2\sin\frac{\pi+h-\eta}{2}\cos\frac{\pi+h+\eta}{2}} = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\sin\frac{h}{2}}{h/2} \lim_{h\to 0} \cos(\pi+h/2)$$

Theorem: If f: (a, b) - R is differentiable at No E(a, b), then f is di cont at No.

Proof: 
$$f$$
 is cont. at  $r_0$  iff  $\lim_{n\to n_0} f(n) = f(n_0)$ 

iff  $\lim_{n\to n_0} (f(n) - f(n_0)) = 0$ .

Now,  $\lim_{n\to n_0} (f(n) - f(n_0)) = F \lim_{n\to n_0} \left[ \frac{f(n) - f(n_0)}{(n-n_0)} (n-n_0) \right]$ 

$$= \lim_{n\to n_0} \frac{f(n) - f(n_0)}{n-n_0} \lim_{n\to n_0} (n-n_0)$$

$$= f'(n_0) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot f$$

The reverse is NOT true. 29 fm= 1-11 is cent. on IR but not differentiable at 0.

· The theorem implies, if a for is discontinous at No, Then It is NOT differentiable at No.

eq: in 1 are not differentiable at 0.

· Consider  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(\pi) = \begin{cases} \eta^3 & , \chi \leq 1 \\ 2-\chi^2 & , \chi \geq 1 \end{cases}$ 

f(n) is cont. It is obvious for (-0,1) and (1,+00).

Also, lim f(n) = lim (2-2)=1, lim f(n) = 1.

And lim f(n) = 1 = f(1).

But, the furtien is NOT differentiable at 1.

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{f(n) - f(1)}{n - 1} = \lim_{n \to 1} \frac{2 - n^2 - 1}{n - 1} = -2.$$

$$\lim_{n \to 1^{-}} \frac{f(n) - f(1)}{n - 1} = 3.$$

Rules of differentiation: Let f: (a1b) -> IR and q: (a1b) -> IR be two firs differentiable at 70 € (a1b) and c € IR, then

· The for h(a): = cf(a) is diff-at no, h'(no) = cf'(no).

· The for h(x):= f(x)+g(x) - -. at No, h'(x)=f(x0)+g'(x0).

4

• If 
$$g(x_0) \neq 0$$
, then the for  $h(x_0) := \frac{f(x_0)}{g(x_0)}$  is diff at  $x_0$  and  $\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x_0) = \frac{f(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g'(x_0)}{(g(x_0))^2}$ .

Proofs: Observe: 
$$f(n) + g(n) - f(n_0) - g(n_0) = \frac{f(n) - f(n_0)}{n - n_0} + \frac{g(n) - g(n_0)}{n - n_0}$$

$$\frac{f(n)g(n)-f(n_0)g(n_0)}{n-n_0}=\frac{f(n)-f(n_0)}{n-n_0}\frac{g(n)}{g(n)}+\frac{g(n)-g(n_0)}{n-n_0}\cdot f(n_0)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{9(\pi)} - \frac{1}{9(\pi)}}{\pi - \pi_0} = \frac{1}{9(\pi)g(\pi_0)} \frac{9(\pi_0) - g(\pi)}{\pi - \pi_0} \Rightarrow h'(\pi) = \frac{1}{9!(\pi)} = \frac{g'(\pi)}{9(\pi)g(\pi_0)}$$

Combine this with furious result.

Chain Rule: Ret (a, b) CIR, (e, d) CIR and f! (a, b) -> IR and  $g:(c,d)\to\mathbb{R}$  be such that  $g((c,d))\subseteq(a,b)$  and  $\pi_0\in(c,d)$ . If g is diff at to and f is diff at y = 9(70) ∈ (9, b), then h(n):=f(g(n)) is diff at no and h'(ro) = f'(g(ro)), g'(ro).

Proof: Note whenever g(n) + g(no), we have

$$\frac{f(q(n)) - f(q(n0))}{n - n0} = \frac{f(q(n)) - f(q(n0))}{g(n) - q(n0)} \cdot \frac{q(n) - q(n0)}{n - n0}$$

We arrune That this is fine at least near. No. (whe prove This milder version only.) Define y:= g(n) and yo = g(70)).

By cont of g(n) at 70 we have as n > no, y -> yo. Thus, lim f(g(n)) - f(g(ro)) = lim f(y) - f(yo) = f((yo) = f((g(ro))).

eq:  $h:\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(\pi) = e^{f(\pi)} = h(\pi) = f(\pi) e^{f(\pi)}$ . (5)  $h(\pi) = e^{(f(\pi))^{2}} = h(\pi) = (f(\pi)^{2}) e^{(f(\pi))^{2}}$   $= f(\pi) 2 f(\pi) e^{(f(\pi))^{2}}$ 

Derivative of the Inverse Function: Ret  $(a_1b)$  & (c,d)  $\subseteq IR$ ,  $f!(a_1b) \rightarrow (c,d)$  he cont. and invertible. We consider  $f^{-1}!(c,d) \rightarrow (a_1b)$ . If f is diff at  $m \in (a_1b)$  and  $f'(m) \neq 0$ , then  $f^{-1}$  is diff at  $y_0 := f(m)$  and  $(f^{-1})'(y_0) = \frac{1}{f'(m)} = \frac{1}{f'(m)}$ .

 $\frac{\text{Proof:}}{\text{lim}} \frac{\text{Proof:}}{f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y_0)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\pi - \pi_0}{f(n) - f(n_0)} = \frac{1}{f'(\pi_0)} = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(y_0))} \cdot 4.$ 

eg!  $g:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(n) = \ln n$ . Red  $g = f^{-1}$ ,  $f:\mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0,+\infty)$ ,  $f(n) = \mathbb{R}^{n}$ .

Now,  $g'(\pi) = (f^{-1}(\pi))' = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(\pi))} = \frac{1}{e^{f^{-1}(\pi)}} = \frac{1}{e^{\ln x}} = \frac{1}{x} \cdot 1.$ 

eg: f: 1R -> (-1/2, 1/2), f(m) = arctam (%), 970.

g: (-7/2, T/2) -> R, g(1) = a tomx, g-1=f.

 $(g^{-1})'(\eta) = \frac{1}{g'(g^{-1}(\eta))}$ ,  $g'(\eta) = a \operatorname{sle}^2 \pi = a(\tan^2 \pi + 1)$ .

So)  $g'(g^{-1}(x)) = a(ton^{2}((g'(x))) + 1) = a(ton^{2}(arcten(N_{q})) + 1)$   $= a(N_{q})^{2} + 1)$ .  $= \frac{n^{2} + a^{2}}{a}$ . Thus,  $f'(x) = (g^{-1})'(x) = \frac{1}{n^{2} + a^{2}} = \frac{a}{n^{2} + a^{2}}$ . Say that  $\gamma_0$  is a Stationary point if  $f'(\gamma_0) = 0$ .

eg: O is the unique stationary pt - of f(x) = 213.

Detn: Given f: (a,b) -> TR, we kay that no E(a,b) is a local max" pt. (rup. a local min" pt.) if there exists \$70 s.t.

No is a max" pt. (rup. a min" pt) for f(a) in (no-8, no+8)

C(a,b).

eq: f(n) = - x3 - 2n2+x+2, F== the pt - 2+ st is a local max and 2-st is a local min !

Thm: Given a fn: (a,b) -> IR,

diff at 70 € (a,b). If no is a

local max mpt. or a local min mpt., Then f'(x,)=0.



Proof: (For local max mpt.) Note, Since f(n) is diff. at no,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n) - f(n_0)}{n-n_0} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n) - f(n_0)}{n-n_0}.$ 

Since, no is a local max  $\frac{m}{n}$  pt, there exists  $870 \text{ s.t.} + f(n) \leq f(n_0)$  for all  $n \in (n_0 - \delta, n_0 + \delta)$ .

Then,  $\frac{f(n)-f(n_0)}{m-n_0} \leq 0$ ,  $n \to n_0 + and$   $\frac{f(n)-f(n_0)}{n-n_0} \geq 0$  as  $n \to \gamma_0^-$ .

This implies,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)-f(n_0)}{n-n_0} \leq 0$  &  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)-f(n_0)}{n-n_0} \leq 0$ .

Thus, fl(m) = 0.11.

• The converse is NOT true. Eq.  $f(n) = n^3$ , 0 is a stationary point, but 0 is neither a local max mor a local min m.

In fact, for any 870, take  $n_1 = -8/2$ ,  $n_2 = 8/2$  so that,  $n_1, n_2 \in (0-8, 6+8) = (8, 8)$  but  $f(n_1) < f(0) < f(n_2)$ .

The theorem doern't say that every local max mor minis a stationary front. This is only true when the for is diff at that pt. eq: f:R > IR, f(n) = h1 Ras max max max max mor origin, but WA diff. there.