Defn: Ret A and B be nxn matrices. We cay that A is limiter to B, denoted by A ~ B cometimes, if there exicts an investible matrix P such that, A = PBP-1.

· A~B = B~A (just multiply by P-1 on left and Pon right.) Theorem: If A~B, then the following are true:

(1) rank (A) = rank (B). (Say.)

(ii) det (A) = det (B) (Say.)

(11) A and B have the same eigenvalues.

troof of (11): A = PABP-1 for some matrix P. dd (A-7I) = det (A-2PP-1) = let (PBP-1-2PP-1)

= det (P(B-DI) p-1) = det Polit (B-DI) det (P-1) So, A and B have the same eigenvalues as the P(r) is same ...

Recall, if A is a triangular matrix, then the eigenvalues of A are its diagonal entries.

Defn: A matrix A is called diagonalizable if it is similar to a diagonal matrix D. i.e. there exist an invertible matrix P such that $A = PDP^{-1}$.

Theorem: A matrix A is diagonalizable if there is a basis { V11 V21 -- , Vn} of R" consisting of eigenvectors of A.

Prof: Let A & diagondizable · Ket P = (V1 V2 ... Vn) and D = () 22 0) such that A = PDP-1. Multiplying by P we get,

AP = PD

ie. (Av, Av2 ... Avn) = (21v1 22v2 ... 2nvn).

=) Avi= Aivi.

So, the RAT of P, V11 V21..., In are the eigenvectors of A and they form a basis for IR" since P is investible.

Conversely, let $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{R}^n when v_i 's \mathcal{L} are the eigenvectors of A. Let λ ; be the eigenvalue arrow at \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}

* The problem of diagonalization of a matrix A is equivalent to finding a basis of R" ransisting of eigenvectors of A.

* It is not always possible to diagonalize a matrix.

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has a distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Then A is diagonalizable.

Port: Sach eigenvalue di given an eigenvector vi. The Ret

{V11 V21... Vn & is linearly indep. because they correspond to
distinct eigenvalues. So, {V11 V21..., vn & is a benis of IR h and
hence A is diagonalizable. //.

* What if A has repeated eigenvalues?

Theorem: A matrix A is diagonalizable if the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of each eigenvalue are equal-

Proof: Let the eigenvalues he 71,72,..., 7p and let the alg. and geom. multiplicities be k1, k2,..., kp and g1,92,..., 9p seep.

Let $k_i = q_i$. Since $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_p = n$ to, $q_i + q_2 + \cdots + q_p = n$.

That is, there exist n linearly indep. vectors of A so A is diagonalizable.

Conversely, since A has n linearly indep. eigenvectors and $q_i \in k_i$ of $\sum k_i = n$ so the only possibility is $q_i = k_i$ so that $\sum q_i = n$.

 $\frac{2x!}{2x!}$ Determine if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 3 \\ -4 & -6 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is diagonalizable. If yer, find a matrix P that diagonalizar A.

 $\frac{2}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2$

Signvalues au $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = -2$.

For $\lambda_2 = -2$, we get $A - \lambda_2 I \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Here $g_2 = 1 \le k_2 = 2$.

So, by the previous results, A is not diagonalizable. 1.

Remark! The matrix P is not unique. Multiplying on eigenvector by a constant gives a different P.

Some other important propostes:

1) trace of a matrix = sum of its eigenvalues.

2 determinant of a matrix = product of its eigen values.

6) The eigenvalues of A² are exactly λ_1^2 , λ_2^2 ,..., λ_n^2 and every eigenvector of A². (Just multiply $\lambda_n = \lambda_2$ by A)

(4) The above generalizes to higher powers of A as well.

The general the eigenvalues of AB are not the product of eigenvalues of A and B. eq. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Theorem: Diagonalizable matrices share the same P iff AB=BA.

Proof: If A=PDP-1, B=PD2P-1. Then AB=PD1P-1PD2P-1

Put $D_1D_2 = D_2D_1$ (Since diagonal matrices always commute). Conversely, if AB = BA, then, let AA = AA = ABA = BAA = B

So, I and Bx are both eigen reletors of A, Rhaving the same 7. ic. if we assume the eigenvectors are distinct (wlog) then the eigenspece is 1-dimensioned to Bx is a multiple of x and have x is an eigenvector of B as well as A.

For symmetric matrices we can even prove the following!

Theorem: Let A be a symm matrix. If V, and V2 are eigen vectors of A corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues 21 and 22, then V, LV2 il: V1.V2=0.

Front: her have, $\partial_1 v_1^T v_2 = (\lambda_1 v_1)^T v_2 = (Av_1)^T v_2 = V_1^T A^T v_2$ = $v_1^T A v_2$ (since $A^T = A$) = $v_1^T (\partial_2 v_2) = \partial_2 v_1^T v_2$.

=> (21-22) 4TV2=0 => V1TV2=0 (since 21 + 22).4.

Theoren: Any rynmetric matrix is diagonalizable. In fact, et gives an orthonormal basis of R" of eignvectors of the matrix.