

INTERLEAVED INERTIAL SUPPORT OF WIND TURBINES

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES
OF
MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

BY

Yazar Ad Soyad

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
COMPUTER ENGINEERING

JUNE 2018

Approval of the thesis:

INTERLEAVED INERTIAL SUPPORT OF WIND TURBINES

submitted by **Yazar Ad Soyad** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Master of Science in Computer Engineering Department, Middle East Technical University** by,

Prof. Dr. Gülbin Dural Ünver
Dean, Graduate School of **Natural and Applied Sciences**

Prof. Dr. Bölüm Başkanı
Head of Department, **Computer Engineering**

Ozan KEYSAN
Supervisor, **Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, METU**

Examining Committee Members:

Prof. Dr. Jüri
JüriBölüm, METU

Prof. Dr. Jüri
JüriBölüm, METU

Prof. Dr. Jüri
JüriBölüm, METU

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jüri
JüriBölüm, METU

Assist. Prof. Dr. Jüri
JüriBölüm, Ankara University

Date:

I hereby declare that all information in this document has been obtained and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that, as required by these rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

Name, Last Name: Yazar Ad Soyad

Signature :

ABSTRACT

INTERLEAVED INERTIAL SUPPORT OF WIND TURBINES

Duymaz, Erencan

M.S., Department of Computer Engineering

Supervisor : Ozan KEYSAN

June 2018, 21 pages

Abstract

Keywords: Keyword1, Keyword2...

ÖZ

BAŞLIK

Duymaz, Erencan
Yüksek Lisans, Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Bölümü
Tez Yöneticisi : Ozan KEYSAN

Haziran 2018 , 21 sayfa

Özet Türkçe

Anahtar Kelimeler: AnahtarKelime1, AnahtarKelime2...

Íthafen...

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Teşekkür edilecekler

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	v
ÖZ	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTERS	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Global Renewable Energy Status	1
1.1.1 EU 2020 Goals	2
1.1.2 Wind Energy Status	3
1.2 Global Renewable Energy Future	4
1.3 Renewable Energy Problems	6
1.4 Thesis Motivation	7
2 POWER SYSTEM FREQUENCY STABILITY	9
2.1 Frequency in a Power System	9
2.1.1 Synchronous Generator and Synchronous Speed	9

2.1.2	Swing Equation	10
2.1.3	Frequency in Power Systems	11
2.1.3.1	Primary Frequency Controllers	12
3	WIND TURBINE MODELLING	13
3.1	VARIABLE SPEED PMSG WIND TURBINES	13
3.1.1	Aerodynamic Model	14
3.1.2	Gearbox	15
3.1.3	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator	16
3.1.4	Machine Side Converter	16
	REFERENCES	19
	APPENDICES	
A	EK A	21
A.1	Örnek Kısım	21

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Installed Renewable Energy Capacity of Leading Countries in 2016[1]	1
Figure 1.2	Renewable Energy Production of Leading Countries in 2016 [1]	2
Figure 1.3	Renewable Targets of EU Member States[2]	3
Figure 1.4	Wind Power Capacity of Leading Countries in 2016[1]	4
Figure 1.5	Wind Power Production of Leading Countries in 2016[1]	4
Figure 1.6	Renewable energy share in total energy consumption by EU for 2015, 2020 targets and 2030 potential according to REmap [3]	5
Figure 1.7	Renewable energy shares for 2010, 2030 Reference Case and 2030REmap [4]	5
Figure 2.1	Damper windings in a synchronous generator [5]	9
Figure 2.2	Frequency behaviour in electric grid with the water level in a con- tainer analogy [6]	12
Figure 3.1	Variable Speed Geared Wind Turbine Model	13
Figure 3.2	Power Coefficient Variation with Tip Speed Ratio under Zero Pitch Angle	15
Figure 3.3	Gearbox Modelling	15

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DFIG	Doubly Fed Induction Generator
PMSG	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator
MSC	Machine Side Converter or Controller
GSC	Grid Side Converter or Controller
AC	Alternating Current
DC	Direct Current
MOSFET	Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor
IGBT	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
PI	Proportional-integral

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Global Renewable Energy Status

Renewable energy is still one of the hottest topics in the power area. The share of the renewable energy systems has been reached significant levels. At the end of 2016, the renewable power capacity has reached 2011 GW throughout the world including hydropower plants.[1] The renewable capacity for the leading countries is given in the Figure 1.1. Almost half of this capacity belongs to four leading countries namely; China, USA, Brazil and Germany.




Figure 1.1: Installed Renewable Energy Capacity of Leading Countries in 2016[1]

Figure 1.2 shows the energy production from renewable energy systems. It is clear that China, USA and Brazil produces highest amount of energy from renewable since they already have the highest installed capacity. However, India and Canada produces




Figure 1.2: Renewable Energy Production of Leading Countries in 2016 [1]

more energy than Germany even though Germany has more installed capacity. This result is due to the fact that renewable energy system production is dependent on parameters such as solar radiation and wind speed depending on the renewable source.

1.1.1 EU 2020 Goals

In 2008, 20 20 by 2020-Europe's Climate Change Opportunity report has been released by EU Commission and two key targets are set for 2020 [7]:

- At least 20 % reduction in greenhouse gases (GHG) by 2020
- Achieving 20% renewable energy share in energy consumption of EU by 2020

The Renewable Energy Directive is published in 23 April 2009. This directive has set national binding targets for EU countries in order to accomplish the 20% renewable energy target for EU and 10 % target for the renewable energy usage in the transport. [8] As a result, each EU country has been determined their national action plans. In order to achieve the 20 % target, each member state determine their own targets ranging from 10% in Malta to 49% in Sweden. According to the latest release by Eurostat, renewable share of the EU in energy consumption has reached 17 % in 2016

[2]. Moreover, eleven of EU member states has already achieved their 2020 targets. Renewable shares of EU members are shown in Figure 1.3.




Figure 1.3: Renewable Targets of EU Member States[2]

1.1.2 Wind Energy Status

Wind power has the highest share in the renewable energy except for hydropower. The wind power capacity at the end of 2016 has reached 467 GW worldwide. The wind power capacity of the leading countries are given in the Figure 1.4. China and USA have also the highest installed capacities in the wind power. Moreover, it should also be noted that the share of the wind power in the total installed capacity is more important than total wind power capacity. The energy production from wind energy is shown in the Figure 1.5. Even though China has the highest wind power capacity, USA generates more energy from wind than any other country.




Figure 1.4: Wind Power Capacity of Leading Countries in 2016[1]




Figure 1.5: Wind Power Production of Leading Countries in 2016[1]

1.2 Global Renewable Energy Future

The share of renewable energy is increasing each passing day. Today, reports arguing the possibility of even 100% renewable energy region by region is published[9]. The renewable energy reports estimate the share of renewable energy in the total energy consumption for 2030 and 2050. Figure 1.6 shows the EU renewable energy share for 2030. Moreover, the report published by IRENA (International Renewable Energy

Agency) estimates the share of renewable energy in EU as 24% by 2030 which is below proposed target of 27%[4].




Figure 1.6: Renewable energy share in total energy consumption by EU for 2015, 2020 targets and 2030 potential according to REmap [3]




Figure 1.7: Renewable energy shares for 2010, 2030 Reference Case and 2030REmap [4]

Renewable shares of REmap countries in 2010, 2030 reference case and 2030REmap

and the world average is also shown in Figure 1.7. The only country whose renewable energy decreases in the 2030 is Nigeria. The reason is the main source of energy in Nigeria is biogas for the time being. However, the renewable share is expected to decrease dramatically as the industry switches to natural gas.

1.3 Renewable Energy Problems

It is an undeniable fact that renewable energy systems are advantageous in terms of global warming and carbon dioxide emission. Nonetheless, they also have disadvantages to the system operators due to intermittent energy generation. With the large penetration of intermittent sources, electric grid will face with transmission system issues as overloaded transmission lines, changes on the protection and control in the distribution system, greater level of power-factor control and low voltage ride-through (LVRT) requirements [10].

Another challenge of renewable energy systems is the power system frequency stability. Since the frequency of the power system depends on the balance between generation and consumption, grid operators are responsible for adjusting the generation in order to maintain a constant frequency. However, the renewable energy generation is strictly dependent on the renewable source i.e. solar radiation or wind speed. Therefore, renewable systems makes the system operation harder due to their intermittent and uncertain power generation profiles. Moreover, as the renewable systems with power electronics interface increase in the electricity grid, the grid equivalent inertia decreases. In [11], the reduced grid inertia due to the high DFIG wind turbine penetration is emphasized. Moreover, the results of the reduced grid inertia following a disturbance is listed as:

- increased effective aggregated angular acceleration of synchronous machines which require high restoring forces
- high rate of change of frequency and hence, decreased frequency nadir

It should be noted that this problem is not specific to DFIG wind turbines but renew-

able energy systems which are connected to grid with power electronics. Conventional synchronous generators rotate with synchronous speed which is proportional to grid frequency. If the grid frequency decreases, then the synchronous speed also decreases. In this case, the generator active power is increased inherently due to kinetic energy extraction from the generator inertia. The increase in active power provides action time for primary controllers and is crucial for frequency stability. Type-1 and Type-2 wind turbines are directly connected to grid. Hence, the frequency deviations affect the active power output of such wind turbines[12]. Nonetheless, active power output of renewable energy systems with power electronics such as Type-3 and 4 wind turbines and photovoltaic systems is not affected from the grid frequency deviations. Therefore, these systems have no contribution to the grid inertia whether the system includes inertia or not. Hence, the aggravated grid inertia is reduced with the penetration of renewable energy sources. Another reason for the decrease in the grid inertia is the de-commitment or dispatch of the conventional sources due to economic concerns. Since the renewable energy has the lowest cost for energy production, it is preferred instead of conventional generators. As a result, conventional generators are dispatched to a lower generation profile or taken-off from operation.

1.4 Thesis Motivation

The frequency of the electric grid depends on the balance between generation and consumption. Grid operators are responsible for maintaining this balance so that frequency of the grid is maintained between allowed dead-band. In order to achieve this purpose, power generation is adjusted according to the consumption value. However, the balance between supply and demand might be disturbed with unintentional generator trip or instant load connections. Grid frequency decreases such instants until the generation is increased to arrest the frequency. Inertia of the electric grid provides additional power from the stored kinetic energy and avoids the system frequency from decreasing down very fast. That is called as inertial support and it is very important for power system frequency stability.

Although renewable energy systems are beneficial for environmental concerns and

lower energy cost, higher renewable penetration also brings operational challenges for system operators. One of the most important problem that comes with renewable energy is the power system frequency stability. With the high renewable penetration, grid aggravated inertia decreases. As a result, grid frequency deviates steeper for disturbances. To avoid steeper frequency declines in the grid, all generation technologies should provide inertial support for the frequency disturbances.

CHAPTER 2

POWER SYSTEM FREQUENCY STABILITY

2.1 Frequency in a Power System

2.1.1 Synchronous Generator and Synchronous Speed

Synchronous machines produce torque only in synchronous speed. This is why they are equipped with damper windings which are basically induction machine windings. If the frequency of grid changes, damper windings create a torque which creates a force to synchronize the speed to the grid frequency. Two type of damper windings are given in Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Damper windings in a synchronous generator [5]

Due to the damper windings in the rotor, the synchronous machines always operate in synchronous speed. Relation between grid frequency and the synchronous speed is given in 2.3

$$n_s = \frac{120f}{p_f} [5] \quad (2.1)$$

$$n_s = \frac{60}{2\pi} \omega_{syn} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\omega_{syn} = \frac{4\pi f}{p_f} \quad (2.3)$$

where n_s is the synchronous speed in rpm, f is the grid frequency in Hz, p_f is the number of poles of the corresponding generator and ω_{syn} is the synchronous angular speed in rad/s.

2.1.2 Swing Equation

Speed in synchronous machines changes according to the net torque acting on the rotor. Therefore, the speed is maintained constant unless there is no difference between mechanical and electromechanical torque. The equation of motion is given in Eq.2.4 where J is aggravated moment of inertia of the generator and the turbine in kgm^2 , T_m and T_e are mechanical and electromechanical torques in Nm .

$$J \frac{d\omega_m}{dt} = T_m - T_e = T_a \quad (2.4)$$

In power system network, the power ratings of the generators and corresponding moment of inertia values varies. Hence, it is more convenient to use inertia constant, H whose unit is seconds and varies between 2 and 9. Inertia constant is defined as the ratio of kinetic energy stored in the inertia to the power rating of the generator as in Eq.2.5 where ω_{0m} denotes the rated angular velocity of generator in rad/s and S_{base} is the rated apparent power in VA.

$$H = \frac{\frac{1}{2} J \omega_{0m}^2}{S_{base}} \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting Eq.2.5 into Eq.2.4 and replacing units to per-unit quantities yield the relation of frequency with power and inertia constant as in Eq.2.10

$$J = \frac{2H}{\omega_{0m}^2} S_{base} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{2H}{\omega_{0m}^2} S_{base} \frac{d\omega_m}{dt} = T_m - T_e \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{2H}{\omega_{0m}^2} S_{base} \omega_m \frac{d\omega_m}{dt} = P_m - P_e \quad (2.8)$$

$$2H \frac{\omega_m}{\omega_{0m}} \frac{d(\omega_m/\omega_{0m})}{dt} = \frac{P_m - P_e}{S_{base}} \quad (2.9)$$

$$2H \overline{\omega_m} \frac{d\overline{\omega_m}}{dt} = \overline{P_m} - \overline{P_e} \quad (2.10)$$

2.1.3 Frequency in Power Systems

The frequency in a power system changes according to the swing equation. The equation basically investigates the relation between mechanical and electromechanical powers and the rate of change of angular speed of a generator. Therefore, the speed of an generator remains constant if the mechanical and electromechanical powers are equal.

The electricity grid can also be thought as a single generator whose inertia constant is aggravated from each generator in the network. In this case, average frequency in the network can be found as in Equation 2.11.

$$2H_{sys} \overline{f_{sys}} \frac{d\overline{f_{sys}}}{dt} = \overline{P_m} - \overline{P_e} \quad (2.11)$$

In the Equation 2.11, P_m is the aggravated mechanical input of the generators meanwhile P_e is the aggravated electromechanical output. In other words, the system frequency depends on the balance between generation and consumption. Note that generation means the input mechanical power of the generators.

The behaviour of the frequency in electric grid is given in Figure 2.2. As it can be seen from the water level in a container analogy, the frequency of the system is dependent on the in-flow and the out-flow. Therefore, in the electricity grid, frequency increases as the aggravated input power is higher than the aggravated output power. Note that, the direction of the frequency is dictated by this balance. Having a constant 49.8Hz frequency does not mean that consumption is higher than generation.




Figure 2.2: Frequency behaviour in electric grid with the water level in a container analogy [6]

Having a constant frequency is one of the most important responsibilities of a system operator. In order to have a constant frequency, supply is being adjusted according to the demand continuously. By doing so, the system frequency varies between a band-gap. The variation depends on the disturbances which are generally a sudden generation outage or instant load connection. The size of the disturbance determines the severity of the frequency change and there are three main mechanisms to arrest the frequency changes in the system.

2.1.3.1 Primary Frequency Controllers

CHAPTER 3

WIND TURBINE MODELLING

3.1 VARIABLE SPEED PMSG WIND TURBINES

The share of variable speed PMSG wind turbines is increasing worldwide due to the high efficiency and torque density. This type of wind turbines are equipped with full-scale power electronics which enable the turbine to have wide speed range. Even though the permanent magnet price fluctuates with time, the reliability and high efficiency of this type of turbine increase its share in the market.




Figure 3.1: Variable Speed Geared Wind Turbine Model

Figure 3.1 shows the modelling of variable speed wind turbine. The aerodynamic sub-model includes turbine structure that captures power from the wind. The gear-box establishes the connection between wind turbine and PMSG. In this type of wind turbines, PMSG is not directly connected to grid so that the turbine speed is independent from the grid frequency. Therefore, back-to-back converter is used between generator and the electrical grid. The converter which is connected to PMSG is called Machine Side Converter (MSC) meanwhile the one connected to grid is called Grid Side Converter (GSC).

3.1.1 Aerodynamic Model

Aerodynamic model is the sub-model that captures power from the wind. The output of this block is the aerodynamic torque that rotates the turbine. However, the wind speed is not the only input. Turbine speed and pitch angle are also the inputs of the system since they affect the mechanical power that is captured from the wind.

The aerodynamic power of wind is given in Equation 3.1 where ρ_{air} is air density in kg/cm^3 , R is the blade radius in m and v_{WIND} is the wind speed in m/s . Note that this is the available power of the air that is striking the turbine swept area and it is not possible to extract that amount of energy. Otherwise, the air would be standstill behind the wind turbine [13].

$$P_{WIND} = 0.5\rho_{air}\pi R^2 v_{WIND}^3 \quad (3.1)$$

The wind turbine captures a fraction of the available wind power that is denominated as power coefficient C_p . Therefore, turbine power captured from wind can be found with the Equation 3.2.

$$P_{TUR} = C_P P_{WIND} \quad (3.2)$$

Power coefficient determines the amount of power and it is a non-linear function of the tip speed ratio, λ and pitch angle, β . Tip speed ratio is a parameter proportional with turbine speed. It can be defined as the ratio of the speed in the turbine tip to the wind speed as in the Equation 3.3. Power coefficient for a specific tip speed ratio and pitch angle can be found with the Equation 3.4 and 3.5 where c_1 is 0.5176, c_2 is 116, c_3 is 0.4, c_4 is 5, c_5 is 21 and c_6 is 0.0068 [14].

$$\lambda = \frac{\omega_{tur} R}{v_{WIND}} \quad (3.3)$$

$$C_p(\lambda, \beta) = c_1(c_2/\lambda_i - c_3\beta - c_4)e^{-c_5/\lambda_i} + c_6\lambda \quad (3.4)$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \frac{1}{\lambda + 0.08\beta} - \frac{0.035}{\beta^3 + 1} \quad (3.5)$$

Variation of power coefficient C_p is given in Figure 3.2. For the zero pitch angle, power coefficient has the maximum value of 0.48 for the tip speed ratio of 8.1. In order to ensure that the maximum of wind power is extracted, wind turbine should rotate a speed that gives the optimum tip speed ratio.




Figure 3.2: Power Coefficient Variation with Tip Speed Ratio under Zero Pitch Angle

3.1.2 Gearbox

Variable speed PMSG wind turbines have a gearbox between turbine and generator except for direct-drive wind turbines. The gearbox increases angular speed and decreases the torque in the generator side. By decreasing the rated torque, generator size and cost can be reduced since the generator size is almost proportional to rated torque due to constant shear stress [15]. Moreover, turbine speed is increased to the allowable speed range of the generator which is generally much higher than that of wind turbines. Otherwise, generator should have high pole numbers.




Figure 3.3: Gearbox Modelling

3.1.3 Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator

PMSGs are generally preferred over electrically excited synchronous generators due to high efficiency. Absence of electrical excitation on the rotor decreases losses. Besides, slip ring is not needed in the generator which also decrease the maintenance. Dynamical equations of the salient pole PMSG are projected on a reference frame which rotates synchronously with magnet flux and given in Equations 3.6 and 3.7 where R_1 is stator resistance in Ω , L_{sd} and L_{sq} are d and q axis inductances in H , i_{ad} and i_{aq} are d and q axis currents in A , ω is the electrical angular frequency in rad/s ψ_f is magnet flux linkage in Vs [13].

$$v_{1d} = R_1 i_{ad} + L_{sd} \frac{di_{ad}}{dt} - L_{sq} \omega i_{sq} \quad (3.6)$$

$$v_{1q} = R_1 i_{aq} + L_{sq} \frac{di_{aq}}{dt} + L_{sd} \omega i_{sd} + \omega \psi_f \quad (3.7)$$

Another important PMSG parameter is the power in dq frame. The power expression is given in Equation 3.8. The electromechanical torque can be found by the relation between power and angular speed. The torque expression is also given in Equation 3.9 where p is the number of pole pair.

$$P_{elm} = \frac{3}{2} \omega i_{aq} (\psi_f + i_{ad} (L_{sq} - L_{sd})) \quad (3.8)$$

$$T_e = \frac{P_{elm}}{\omega_m} = \frac{P_{elm}}{\omega/p} = \frac{3}{2} p i_{aq} (\psi_f + i_{ad} (L_{sq} - L_{sd})) \quad (3.9)$$

Given equations are defined for salient pole machines. If the cylindrical rotor machine is used, the torque equation reduces to the Equation 3.10.

$$T_e = \frac{3}{2} p i_{aq} \psi_f \quad (3.10)$$

3.1.4 Machine Side Converter

Variable speed wind turbines are equipped with the Back-to-Back converters in order to decouple grid frequency and the turbine speed. This gives wind turbine degree of freedom for the rotational speed. In this way, turbine is able to capture the maximum

available power in wind. Machine Side Converter (MSC) i.e. Generator Side Converter is the converter that is connected between generator and DC-bus. The three phase generator output AC voltage is converted to DC voltage. Conversion from AC to DC can be achieved by three-arm full bridge converters. This converter can be equipped with uncontrolled, semi-controlled and fully-controlled switches. Fully-controlled switches such as MOSFET, IGBT are commonly used in the industry and gives two control parameters to the user.

Voltages and currents are generally transformed into synchronously rotating reference frame or also called dq frame. Since the frame is rotating in synchronous speed, three-phase phasors transform into DC quantities. Therefore its control becomes easier [16]. Proportional-integral (PI) controllers are associated with the dq control structure due to their satisfactory behaviour interaction to DC variables [17]. Hence, the control in the back-to-back converter is achieved with PI controllers in the dq frame.

REFERENCES

- [1] International Renewable Energy Agency, *Renewable Energy Statistics 2017*. 2017.
- [2] Eurostat, “Renewable energy in the EU-newsrelease,” Tech. Rep. January, 2018.
- [3] European Commission; and IRENA International Renewable Energy Agency, “Renewable Energy Prospects for the European Union,” Tech. Rep. February, 2018.
- [4] IRENA, “A Renewable Energy Roadmap,” Tech. Rep. June, 2014.
- [5] P. Kundur, *Power System Stability and Control*. McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- [6] J. Eto, J. Undrill, P. Mackin, R. Daschmans, B. Williams, B. Haney, R. Hunt, J. Ellis, H. Illian, C. Martinez, M. OMalley, K. Coughlin, and K. Hamachi-LaCommare, “Use of Frequency Response Metrics to Assess the Planning and Operating Requirements for Reliable Integration of Variable Renewable Generation,” no. December 2010, pp. LBNL-4142E, 2010.
- [7] European Commission, “Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European economic and social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - 20 20 by 2020 - Europe’s climate change opportunity,” *COM (2008) 30 final*, p. Brussels, 2008.
- [8] European Parliament, “Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009,” *Official Journal of the European Union*, vol. 140, no. 16, pp. 16–62, 2009.
- [9] REN21, *Renewables Global Futures Report*. 2017.
- [10] A. Ipakchi and F. Albuyeh, “Grid of the future,” *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 52–62, 2009.

- [11] D. Gautam, L. Goel, R. Ayyanar, V. Vittal, and T. Harbour, “Control strategy to mitigate the impact of reduced inertia due to doubly fed induction generators on large power systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 214–224, 2011.
- [12] E. Muljadi, V. Gevorgian, and M. Singh, “Understanding Inertial and Frequency Response of Wind Power Plants Preprint,” *2012 IEEE Power Electronics and Machines in Wind Applications (PEMWA)*, no. July, pp. 1–8, 2012.
- [13] T. Ackermann, *Wind Power in Power Systems* *Wind Power in Power Systems Edited by*, vol. 8. 2005.
- [14] S. Heier, *Grid Integration of Wind Energy*. 3 ed., 1998.
- [15] H. Polinder, J. A. Ferreira, B. B. Jensen, A. B. Abrahamsen, K. Atallah, and R. a. McMahon, “Trends in Wind Turbine Generator Systems,” *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 174–185, 2013.
- [16] M. P. Kazmierkowski, R. Krishnan, and F. Blaabjerg, *Control in Power Electronics—Selected Problems*. New York: Academic, 2002.
- [17] F. Blaabjerg, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and A. V. Timbus, “Overview of control and grid synchronization for distributed power generation systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1398–1409, 2006.

APPENDIX A

EK A

A.1 Örnek Kısım

Kısım içine yazılacaklar...