

## Sympathetic Magic (common mistake)

Don't confuse on Rv with its distribution.

Example:  $P(X+Y) \neq P(X) + P(Y)$

Remember this: The word is not the thing, the map is not the territory.

Rv  $\longleftrightarrow$  Random House , Distribution  $\rightarrow$  Blueprint.

Poisson Distribution:  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$

$$P(X=k) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\lambda$  is called the rate Parameter. ( $\lambda > 0$ )

Validity check:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} = e^{-\lambda} \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}}_{\text{Taylor Series for } e^\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} e^\lambda = 1$

Taylor Series for  $e^\lambda$

Expectation Value:

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^l}{l!}}_{\text{Taylor Series for } e^\lambda} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} e^\lambda = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X) = \lambda$$

Use Cases:

Counting # Successes where there are a large # trials, each with a small Prob. of success.

Examples:

1. # emails received in an hour. (Many people who could email you, each with a low Prob.)

2. # earthquakes in a year in some region.

Poisson Paradigm (Story of Poisson, Poisson approximation)

Given events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ ,  $P(A_j) = p_j$  with a large  $n$  and small  $p_j$ 's,

when events are indep. or "weakly dep.", the # of  $A_j$ 's that do occur

is approximately  $\sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ ;  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j$ .

$\lambda$  is the  $\mathbb{E}(A_j \text{'s that do occur})$ , so by linearity we get that

Example: Consider  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ ; let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p \rightarrow 0$  in a way that  $\lambda = np$  is held constant.

And what happens to  $P(X=k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ . For fixed  $k$ .

Find what happens to  $P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ . For fixed  $k$ .

$$= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{k! n^k} \lambda^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-k}$$

$\rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\rightarrow \frac{n^k \lambda^k}{n^k k!} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$e^{-\lambda}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow e^\lambda$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \quad \text{which is } P(X=k) \text{ for } X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$$

**Example.** Have  $n$  People, Find approximate Prob. that there are 3 People with same birthday.

**Answer:** we have  $\binom{n}{3}$  triplets of people. Create indicator  $R_{ij}$  for each triplet  $i < j < k$

$$E(\# \text{ triple matches}) = \binom{n}{3} \frac{1}{365^2} \quad \text{Exact.}$$

But we wanted the Probability, not the expected value. See the difference?

Set  $X = \# \text{ triple matches}$ . It's Approx. Pois( $\lambda$ ) with  $\lambda = \binom{n}{3} \frac{1}{365^2}$

**Justification:** Why is Pois( $\lambda$ ) a good approximation?

a Large number of trials:  $\binom{n}{3}$

b. Small Probability of each:  $\frac{1}{365^2}$

c. e.g.  $I_{123}$  and  $I_{456}$  are indep. But  $I_{123}$  and  $I_{124}$  are weakly indep.

$$\Rightarrow P(X=k) \approx \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda = \binom{n}{3} \frac{1}{365^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(X \geq 1) = 1 - P(X=0) = 1 - \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^0}{0!} = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$$