

# NOTES ON ERGODIC THEORY

ZHAOSHEN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. **TODO**

## CONTENTS

### 1 Lecture 1 (Samy Lahlou): Crash course on Measure Theory, Part I

1

#### 1. LECTURE 1 (SAMY LAHLOU): CRASH COURSE ON MEASURE THEORY, PART I

Given a set  $X$ , our goal is to define a map  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  that assigns to each subset  $A \subseteq X$  a *measure*  $\mu(A) \in [0, \infty]$  that ‘behaves like the volume of  $A$ ’. This turns out to be impossible in full generality (and we shall see using ergodic-theoretic methods that this impossibility is for good reason), so we instead restrict to special subsets of  $X$  with a nice algebraic (think: ‘constructible’) structure.

**TODO:** add references to Anush’s notes and Folland.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set. A  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  of subsets of  $X$  containing  $\emptyset$  and is closed under complements and countable unions. More precisely:

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$ .
2. For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{B}$ .
3. For any countable family  $\{A_n \in \mathcal{B} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , we have  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $X$ , the pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is said to be a *measurable space*.

A useful way to construct a  $\sigma$ -algebra is to start with a collection  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  and close<sup>1</sup> it under the above three conditions. Abstractly:

**Definition 1.3.** The  $\sigma$ -algebra *generated* by  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_\sigma := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{C} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra on } X \}$ .

Note that  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_\sigma$  is indeed a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  since the intersection of  $\sigma$ -algebras is again a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. The *Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra* on  $X$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X) := \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle_\sigma$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the topology on  $X$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  are called the *Borel sets* of  $X$ .

Intuitively, for any topological space  $X$ , one would like to measure open sets, closed sets, countable unions of closed sets (called  *$F_\sigma$  sets*), countable intersections of open sets (called  *$G_\delta$  sets*), countable intersections of  $F_\sigma$  sets, countable unions of  $G_\delta$  sets, and so on<sup>2</sup>.

**Definition 1.5.** A *measure* on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_n)$  for any pairwise disjoint family  $\{A_n \in \mathcal{B} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

The triple  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is then called a *measure space*.

---

*Date:* May 6, 2025.

Notes for the summer 2025 reading group on ERGODIC THEORY, organized by Frédéric Kai, Ludovic Rivet, and Zhaoshen Zhai ([website](#)).

<sup>1</sup>This ‘closure’ operation can be made precise as follows. Starting with  $\mathcal{C}_0 := \mathcal{C}$ , throw in all the subsets of  $X$  that is necessary to satisfy Definition 1.1 relativized to  $\mathcal{C}_0$  to obtain  $\mathcal{C}_1$  (that is, let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  contain  $\emptyset$  and such that if  $A \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , then  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{C}_1$ , and similarly for condition 3). Then, let  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be defined by throwing in all the countable unions and complements of sets in  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Doing so infinitely-many times and taking the union  $\bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  will give us  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_\sigma$ , but *beware* that this process must proceed into the transfinite up to  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , where  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal; ask your local set theorist why.

<sup>2</sup>This goes up the *Borel hierarchy*.

**Definition 1.6.** A measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is said to be *finite* if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , a *probability measure* if  $\mu(X) = 1$ , and  *$\sigma$ -finite* if there is a partition  $X = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  such that  $X_n \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mu(X_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 1.7.** There is<sup>3</sup> a unique measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda([a, b]) = b - a$  for each  $a \leq b$ , called the *Lebesgue measure*. This measure will be the default measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  unless stated otherwise.

**Exercise 1.8.** For each  $p \in [0, 1]$ , is a unique measure  $\mu_p : \mathcal{B}(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that for each word  $w \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ , we have  $\mu_p([w]) = p^{n_1}(1-p)^{n_0}$ , where  $n_i$  is the number of  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  in  $w$  and  $[w]$  is the set of all sequences in  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  containing  $w$  as a prefix, called the *Bernoulli( $p$ ) measure*.

**TODO:** add intuition about densities; ask Samy

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space. A subset  $Z \subseteq X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -*null* if there exists some  $Z' \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $Z \subseteq Z'$  and  $\mu(Z') = 0$ . We write  $\text{Null}_\mu$  for the set of all  $\mu$ -null subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\mu$ -*measurable*<sup>4</sup> if there exists some  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and some  $\mu$ -null set  $Z$  such that  $A = B \cup Z$ . We write  $\text{Meas}_\mu$  for the set of all  $\mu$ -measurable sets.

**Fact 1.11.** For any measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , we have  $\text{Meas}_\mu = \langle \mathcal{B} \cup \text{Null}_\mu \rangle_\sigma$ . Moreover,  $\mu$  admits a unique extension to a map  $\bar{\mu} : \text{Meas}_\mu \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , called the *completion* of  $\mu$ , and this measure satisfies  $\text{Meas}_{\bar{\mu}} = \text{Meas}_\mu$ .

We end with some exercises. Throughout, let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

**Exercise 1.12** (Monotonicity). For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ . Deduce that if  $\mu$  is finite, then  $\mu$  is a bounded function.

**Exercise 1.13** (Inclusion-exclusion). For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B)$ .

**Exercise 1.14** (Continuity  $\nearrow$ ). If  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ .

**Exercise 1.15** (Continuity  $\searrow$ ). If  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing and  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ .

## REFERENCES

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, MONTREAL, QC, H3A 0B9, CANADA

Email address: zhaoshen.zhai@mail.mcgill.ca

<sup>3</sup>We will not prove this fact, but it is an application of Carathéodory's Extension Theorem.

<sup>4</sup>Very confusing terminology. One might think that elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  are the 'measurable' ones, but this removes  $\mu$  from the picture. In general, there are much more  $\mu$ -measurable sets than there are sets in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Indeed, **TODO**