# **CSCI1520**

### Eric Cho

#### February 2025

## Idea

I decided to use the min hash, and lsh approach to figure out the q most similar document pairs.

## Approach

For this, it was most convenient to use the lecture approach

- 1. Pick b and r
- 2. Run (br) minHash  $h_i(s_i) \forall i = 1, \dots, (br) \forall j = 1, \dots, n$ 
  - (a) Note: early stopping is possible
- 3. For group  $i = 1, \dots, b$

(a) For 
$$j = 1, \dots, n$$
, throw  $S_j$  to bucket  $\begin{pmatrix} h_{(i-1)r+1}(s_j) \\ \vdots \\ h_{i-r}(s_j) \end{pmatrix}$ 

- (b) For every non-empty bucket b, add all pairs in B into C.  $C \leftarrow C \cup \{(j,k)\} \forall j,k \in B$
- 4. Return  $C \leftarrow$  final candidate pairs

## Picking b and r, (and t)

I chose b and r based on the fact that

$$Pr[(S,T) \in C] = 1 - (1 - x^r)^b$$

In other words, if a pair has x = 0.5 similarity, then with minHash + LSH, the pair would have probability  $1 - (1 - 0.5^r)^b$  of being in the same bucket.

From trial and error, r = 1, 2, 3, 4 would make it so that too many document pairs would be in the same bucket. Thus, I decided on r = 5. To get the maximum amount of document pairs, using a threshold of 0.5

$$1 - (1 - x^r)^b = 0.5 \approx 1 - \frac{1}{e}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x^r = \frac{1}{b}$$

for x = 0.5 and r = 5, b = 32.

However,  $1 - \frac{1}{e} \approx 0.6$  more than 0.5. So, I decided to use b = 20 as

$$1 - (1 - 0.5^5)^{20} \approx 0.47$$

Using this logic, I decided to use r = 5 and b = 20. Finally, to choose a threshold t for the number of pairs, given that the best threshold is at 0.5, I decided to stop LSH when we found t = n/2 document pairs, where t is the threshold number of document pairs and n is the number of documents

### **Optimizations**

#### Optimization 1

In my first appraoch, I found all the k-shingles per document, and then computed multiple minHash based on my hash functions on the k-shingles. However, it kept crashing because it meant that, if each k-shingle length was approximately 10,000 and there were 28,000 documents, I would be storing  $28,000 \cdot 10,000 \cdot 6$  characters. This is roughly 2 GB of data.

So, I decided, for each document, to first find the k-shingle, and then compute all the minHash per hash functions. That way, I would store the list of minHashes and not the k-shingles. Thus, I would only be storing  $28,000 \cdot b \cdot r$  minhashes.

#### Optimization 2

I also stopped my lsh after t document pairs were found. This is because, probabilistic, most of the documents would have a similarity score above 0.5 and would definitely be above 0.18. Thus, my code would be faster Optimization 3

To compute the buckets, I took each band  $[h_{(i-1)r+1(s_j)}, \dots h_{(i-r)}(s_j)]$  for  $i=1,\dots,b$  and converted them to tuples. Then, I used a dictionary that hashed the tuples into keys and sorted them into buckets. Thus, it would take O(1) time to sort the bands into buckets, and also O(1) to see how many bands were in each bucket.