

Reinforcement Learning

Exercise 2 - Solution

Jonathan Schnitzler - st166934
ErickVillanuevaVillasenor - st190300
Eric Choquet - st160996

April 26, 2024

1 Formulating Problems

a) The game of chess

States The position of all pieces on the board. A chess board is a 8x8 grid, which starts with 16 white and 16 black pieces on opposing sites. The state space is large (an upper bound from around $\approx 10^{45}$ ¹).

Actions The possible moves of the current player. The number of possible moves is limited by the number of pieces on the board and the rules of chess.

Reward Signal

- win, lose or draw the game (by checkmate)
- evaluate the current position of the board (e.g. material advantage, positional advantage)

b) A pick and place robot

States

- position and orientation of the axes
- is holding something
- source of objects and destination

¹see <https://tromp.github.io/chess/chess.html>

Actions

- pick an object
- place an object
- move

Reward Signal

- successfully pick and place an object
- time to pick and place an object
- lost an object

c) A drone which should stabilize in air

States

- tilt angle
- crashed

Actions

- adapt speed of individual rotors

Reward Signal

- time in air
- minimize the tilt angle
- minimize steering (and energy consumption)

d) Playing tetris

States

- position of the falling block
- position of the other blocks
- preview of next block

Actions

- move block left/right
- rotate block

Reward Signal

- clear a row
- lose the game

2 Value Functions

a) k-armed Bandits as MDP The Future rewards are independent on the current state. Since there is only one state, i.e. you are about to roll a bandit, the only thing which could change is our policy based on new estimates of the true distribution - exploration.

This is not considered in the expected reward and therefore its basically the same $R_t = R$ for all rolls. Then it holds that the sum is just an additional factor, which is not relevant for the value function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} = R \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k = R \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \quad (1)$$

since $\gamma < 1$.

b) Proof Relation of value and action-value function We shall show that

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) q_{\pi}(s, a) \quad (2)$$

holds. We can use the definition of the value function

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s] \quad (3)$$

and the definition of the action-value function

$$q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] \quad (4)$$

to show the relation. We use the law of total expectation to condition on the action $A_t = a$:

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s] \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_a \pi(a|s) \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]. \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_a \pi(a|s) q_{\pi}(s, a) \quad (7)$$

c) Rephrase value function The bellman equation is given by

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')] \quad (8)$$

We can execute the sum over r and note that the reward is dependent on $r(s, a, s')$ and the transition probability is dependent on $p(s'|s, a)$, i.e.

$$\sum_{s', r} p(s', r|s, a)r = \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a)r(s, a, s') \quad (9)$$

Which yields the rephrased value function

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a)r(s, a, s') + \gamma v_\pi(s') \quad (10)$$

3 Bruteforce the Policy Space

a) Number of policies There are $3 \cdot 3 = 16$ tiles, which are the states. There are four actions, namely up, down, left and right. If the policy is deterministic, then for each tile the decision tree branches into four additional options, i.e.

$$n_\pi = a^s = 4^9 = 2^{18} = 262144 \quad (11)$$

which is do-able for a computer but still kind of ridiculous.

0	0	0.537
0	0	1.477
0	0	5

Figure 1: v_π for $\pi = \text{Go left policy}$

0.414	0.775	1.311
0.364	0.819	2.295
0.132	0	5

Figure 2: v_π for $\pi = \text{Go right policy}$

b) Do you expect the behaviour?

c) Bruteforce There are two optimal policies for the given optimal value function v_* , which are shown in Table 3. Namely,

0.498	0.832	1.311
0.536	0.977	2.295
0.306	0	5

Figure 3: Optimal value v_*

1/2	2	2
3	3	2
0	1	1

Figure 4: Best policy π_*



Figure 5: 3x3 gridworld of icy lake

d) Is Bruteforce a good idea? No. In general it is not feasible to Bruteforce any algorithm, which scales exponentially $O(e^n)$. For larger maps this is the case. The assumption for our solution is to calculate every policy and find the optimal one. Other algorithms should be used, which don't calculate the whole space.