#### Esophageal manometry

L-U1\*\*\*\*3683 Patient name:

性別: 生日: 患者號碼: Height: Weight:

2019/07/25

花蓮慈濟醫院

# Average of 10: Wet swallow 5 ml

# Chicago classification3 \*

## Absent contractility

 $^{\ast}$  The normal values and analysis are according to the Chicago Classification  $^{3}$  as published in Neurogastroenterology & Motility, 2015, Vol. 27, Issue 2, p160-174. The classification is valid for adults and based on series of 10 swallows of 5 ml water each, swallowed in a supine posture. The Chicago Classification is only applicable for primary esophageal motility disorders. The actual diagnosis remains under all circumstances the responsibility of the clinician/physician.

#### Esophagus

DCI 0 mmHg.s.cm **CFV** 2.6 cm/s Peristaltic breaks 16.1 cm 15.9 cm Largest break Distal Latency 6.1 s

# UES

Upper border 17.4 cm IRP 0.2 s 2.6 mmHg IRP 0.8 s 11.5 mmHg

# LES

41.5 cm Upper border IRP 4 s 2.6 mmHg Intraabdominal length 2.0 cm

## Scoring parameter percentages<sup>3</sup>

Scoring		Intrabolus pressure pattern	
Normal	0 %	Normal	0 %
Ineffective	100 %	EGJ	0 %
Failed contraction	100 %	Compartmentalized	0 %
Premature	0 %	Panesophageal	0 %
Hyper	0 %	Unknown pressurization	100 %
Fragmented	0 %		

## Average esophagus results

Wet swallow 5 ml	DCI	CFV	Peristaltic breaks	Distal Latency
	mmHg.s.cm	cm/s	cm	S
1	0	2.6	16.0	10.0
2	0	2.6	16.0	4.3
3	0	2.6	16.7	7.2
4	0	2.6	15.6	3.0
5	0	2.6	15.6	6.9
6	0	2.6	13.1	7.5
7	0	2.6	17.3	7.0
8	0	2.6	16.3	4.6
9	0	2.6	15.5	7.3
10	0	2.6	18.7	3.0
Average	0	2.6	16.1	6.1

# 患者號碼:

## Average UES results

Wet swallow 5 ml	Upper border	IRP 0.2 s	IRP 0.8 s
	cm	mmHg	mmHg
1	17.4	2.2	9.9
2	17.4	1.1	14.8
3	17.4	4.2	15.3
4	17.4	0.9	9.4
5	17.4	3.1	13.0
6	17.4	3.9	13.6
7	17.4	6.3	12.4
8	17.4	3.7	9.4
9	17.4	1.2	9.5
10	17.4	-0.3	7.8
Average	17.4	2.6	11.5

Average LES results			
Wet swallow 5 ml	Upper border	IRP 4 s	Intraabdominal length
	cm	mmHg	cm
1	41.5	2.6	2.0
2	41.5	2.9	2.0
3	41.5	2.7	2.0
4	41.5	5.8	2.0
5	41.5	1.5	2.0
6	41.5	1.7	2.0
7	41.5	2.1	2.0
8	41.5	2.6	2.0
9	41.5	1.3	2.0
10	41.5	3.4	2.0
Average	41.5	2.6	2.0

# Average of 5: Leg

# Chicago classification3 \*

#### Absent contractility

\* The normal values and analysis are according to the Chicago Classification³ as published in Neurogastroenterology & Motility, 2015, Vol. 27, Issue 2, p160-174. The classification is valid for adults and based on series of 10 swallows of 5 ml water each, swallowed in a supine posture. The Chicago Classification is only applicable for primary esophageal motility disorders. The actual diagnosis remains under all circumstances the responsibility of the clinician/physician.

# Esophagus DCI 15 mmHg.s.cm CFV 2.6 cm/s Peristaltic breaks 11.5 cm

Peristaltic breaks 11.5 cm Largest break 11.2 cm Distal Latency 6.3 s

# UES

Upper border 17.4 cm
IRP 0.2 s 6.6 mmHg
IRP 0.8 s 20.0 mmHg

# LES

Upper border 41.5 cm
IRP 4 s -0.2 mmHg
Intraabdominal length 2.0 cm

# 患者號碼:

Scoring parameter percentages <sup>3</sup>				
Scoring		Intrabolus pressure pattern		
Normal	0 %	Normal	0 %	
Ineffective	100 %	EGJ	0 %	

Failed contraction	100 % Compartmentalized	0 %
Premature	0 % Panesophageal	0 %
Hyper	0 % Unknown pressurization	100 %
Fragmented	0 %	

Average esophagu	s results			
Leg	DCI	CFV	Peristaltic breaks	Distal Latency
	mmHg.s.cm	cm/s	cm	S
1	0	2.6	15.1	7.2
2	5	2.6	2.5	3.5
3	71	2.6	4.3	7.1
4	0	2.6	17.5	6.8
5	0	2.6	18.1	6.9
Average	15	2.6	11.5	6.3

Average UES results			
Leg	Upper border	IRP 0.2 s	IRP 0.8 s
	cm	mmHg	mmHg
1	17.4	3.6	16.3
2	17.4	14.3	31.0
3	17.4	8.3	20.4
4	17.4	3.8	18.1
5	17.4	2.9	14.4
Average	17.4	6.6	20.0

Average LES results			
Leg	Upper border	IRP 4 s	Intraabdominal length
	cm	mmHg	cm
1	41.5	-0.6	2.0
2	41.5	0.8	2.0
3	41.5	-0.2	2.0
4	41.5	-0.4	2.0
5	41.5	-0.4	2.0
Average	41.5	-0.2	2.0

# 患者號碼:

			C	_		ma	i
А١	<i>r</i> era	ge.	OT	D:	ı	/IRS	ì

# Chicago classification<sup>3</sup> \*

# Absent contractility

\* The normal values and analysis are according to the Chicago Classification³ as published in Neurogastroenterology & Motility, 2015, Vol. 27, Issue 2, p160-174. The classification is valid for adults and based on series of 10 swallows of 5 ml water each, swallowed in a supine posture. The Chicago Classification is only applicable for primary esophageal motility disorders. The actual diagnosis remains under all circumstances the responsibility of the clinician/physician.

Esophagus	
DCI	0 mmHg.s.cm
CFV	2.6 cm/s
Peristaltic breaks	15.2 cm
Largest break	15.1 cm
Distal Latency	7.6 s

UES	
Upper border	17.4 cm
IRP 0.2 s	2.9 mmHg
IRP 0.8 s	9.0 mmHg

Upper border	41.5 cm
IRP 4 s	1.0 mmHg
Intraabdominal length	2.0 cm

Scoring parameter percentages <sup>3</sup>			
Scoring		Intrabolus pressure pattern	
Normal	0 %	Normal	0 %
Ineffective	100 %	EGJ	0 %
Failed contraction	100 %	Compartmentalized	0 %
Premature	0 %	Panesophageal	0 %
Hyper	0 %	Unknown pressurization	100 %
Fragmented	0 %		

Average esophagus	s results			
MRS	DCI	CFV	Peristaltic breaks	Distal Latency
	mmHg.s.cm	cm/s	cm	S
1	0	2.6	15.9	9.2
2	0	2.6	16.6	5.6
3	0	2.6	15.6	8.5
4	0	2.6	13.4	8.4
5	0	2.6	14.5	6.3
Average	0	2.6	15.2	7.6

Average UES resul	ts		
MRS	Upper border	IRP 0.2 s	IRP 0.8 s
	cm	mmHg	mmHg
1	17.4	6.0	12.8
2	17.4	4.9	11.9
3	17.4	3.5	9.1
4	17.4	1.4	5.8
5	17.4	-1.3	5.3
Average	17.4	2.9	9.0

# 患者號碼:

Average LES results			
MRS	Upper border	IRP 4 s	Intraabdominal length
	cm	mmHg	cm
1	41.5	0.3	2.0
2	41.5	0.8	2.0
3	41.5	0.8	2.0
4	41.5	1.7	2.0
5	41.5	1.4	2.0
Average	41.5	1.0	2.0

Resting pressure #1		
	Scoring	
	Hiatal hernia	否
	UES resting pressure	Normal
	LES resting pressure	Normal

UES		LES	
Upper border Resting pressure (mean) Resting pressure (minimal)	17.4 cm 38.8 mmHg -0.7 mmHg	Upper border Resting pressure (mean) Resting pressure (minimal) EGJ-CI	41.5 cm 18.4 mmHg 0.4 mmHg 20 mmHg.cm
Attending physician		檢查者	

#### Disclaimer<sup>3</sup>:

The normal values and analysis are according to the Chicago Classification<sup>3</sup> as published in Neurogastroenterology & Motility, 2015, Vol. 27, Issue 2, p160-174. The classification is valid for adults and based on series of 10 swallows of 5 ml water each, swallowed in a supine posture. The Chicago Classification is only applicable for primary esophageal motility disorders. The actual diagnosis remains under all circumstances the responsibility of the clinician/physician.

The analysis is based on:

IRP Normal upper limit

19.0 mmHg

MMS Water-perfused