

# Cleaning Columns

Originally there were 224 columns in the mass shooter table, using the logic below I reduced them to 75. I didn't include my logic for every column, below are just the highlights.

## 1. Personally Identifiable & Redundant Information

- **Shooter's Name** (Shooter\_Last\_Name, Shooter\_First\_Name) – Not relevant for analysis, anonymization is preferred.
  - **Street-Level Data** (Street\_Number, Street\_Name, Zip\_Code) – **City and state are enough** for geographic analysis; these may introduce privacy concerns.
  - **Full\_Date, Day, Day\_of\_Week, Month, Year** – Keep Full\_Date and extract time-based features programmatically.
- 

## 2. Excessive Detail on Personal Background

- Height, Weight – No established link to mass violence.
  - Birth\_Order\_Code, Birth\_Order – The impact of birth order on mass shootings is weakly studied.
  - Number\_of\_Siblings, Older\_Siblings, Younger\_Siblings – Unlikely to provide useful insights.
  - Sexual\_Orientation\_Code, Sexual\_Orientation – Not analyzing hate crimes, so not directly related.
  - Education\_Code, School\_Performance\_Code, School\_Performance\_Specified – Not analyzing academic struggles as a factor
  - Mother\_Violent\_Treatment – Specific and potentially redundant with Parental\_Substance\_Abuse, Parent\_Criminal\_Record, Family\_Member\_Incarcerated.
- 

## 3. Redundant or Overlapping Criminal & Law Enforcement History

- Part\_I\_Crimes\_Code, Part\_II\_Crimes\_Code – Not analyzing specific crime types
  - Domestic\_Abuse\_Specified\_Code, Domestic\_Abuse\_Specified – Redundant due to History\_of\_Domestic\_Abuse column.
- 

## 4. Excessively Detailed Mental Health History

May not add significant predictive value beyond a **general mental health or crisis indicator**:

- FASD\_\_Fetal\_Alcohol\_Spectrum\_Disorder\_ – Extremely specific condition with limited data availability.
  - Health\_Issues, Health\_Issues\_\_\_Specify – Not testing a hypothesis linking physical health to violence.
  - Head\_Injury\_\_\_Possible\_TBI – May be relevant but should be assessed based on available cases.
  - Voluntary\_or\_Involuntary\_Hospitalization\_Code, Voluntary\_or\_Involuntary\_Hospitalization – **Collapsed into a general prior hospitalization column.**
  - Voluntary\_or\_Mandatory\_Counseling\_Code, Voluntary\_or\_Mandatory\_Counseling – **Same thing.**
  - Psychiatric\_Medication\_Specified, Medication\_Category – **Collapsed into binary psychiatric medication column.**
- 
- 

## 6. Excessive Detail on Firearm Use

- Firearm\_Proficiency\_Code, Firearm\_Proficiency –
- Specify\_Other\_Weapons\_or\_Gear – Unless analyzing **weapon type impact**, it may not add significant value.

# Creating North Star metrics

## How the Overall Composite Risk Score was defined and calculated

### 1. Family Background

These columns provide insights into the shooter's upbringing and family environment:

- **Parental\_Divorce\_Separation:** Whether the shooter's parents were divorced or separated.
- **Parental\_Death\_in\_Childhood:** Whether the shooter experienced the death of a parent during childhood.

- **Parental\_Substance\_Abuse:** Whether the shooter's parents had a history of substance abuse.
  - **Parent\_Criminal\_Record:** Whether the shooter's parents had a criminal record.
  - **Family\_Member\_Incarcerated:** Whether a family member was incarcerated.
- 

## 2. Childhood Trauma and Abuse

These columns provide insights into the shooter's childhood experiences:

- **Childhood\_Trauma:** Whether the shooter experienced trauma during childhood.
  - **Physically\_Abused:** Whether the shooter was physically abused.
  - **Sexually\_Abused:** Whether the shooter was sexually abused.
  - **Emotionally\_Abused:** Whether the shooter was emotionally abused.
  - **Neglected:** Whether the shooter was neglected during childhood.
  - **Bullied:** Whether the shooter was bullied.
- 

## 3. Psychological and Behavioral History

These columns provide insights into the shooter's mental health and behavioral history:

- **Mental\_Illness:** Whether the shooter had a diagnosed mental illness.
- **Substance\_Use:** Whether the shooter had a history of substance use.
- **History\_of\_Physical\_Altercations:** Whether the shooter had a history of physical altercations.
- **History\_of\_Animal\_Abuse:** Whether the shooter had a history of animal abuse.
- **History\_of\_Domestic\_Abuse:** Whether the shooter had a history of domestic abuse.
- **History\_of\_Sexual\_Offenses:** Whether the shooter had a history of sexual offenses.
- **Gang\_Affiliation:** Whether the shooter was affiliated with a gang.
- **Terror\_Group\_Affiliation:** Whether the shooter was affiliated with a terrorist group.

- **Known\_Hate\_Group\_or\_Chat\_Room\_Affiliation\_Code:** Whether the shooter was affiliated with a hate group or extremist chat room.
- 

#### 4. Recent Stressors and Crisis Indicators

These columns provide insights into the shooter's recent life circumstances and mental state:

- **Recent\_or\_Ongoing\_Stressor\_Code, Recent\_or\_Ongoing\_Stressor:** Whether the shooter experienced recent or ongoing stressors.
- **Signs\_of\_Being\_in\_Crisis:** Whether the shooter exhibited signs of being in crisis.
- **Crisis\_Six\_Months\_or\_Less:** Whether the shooter exhibited signs of crisis within the last six months.
- **Paranoia:** Whether the shooter exhibited paranoia.
- **Rapid\_Mood\_Swings:** Whether the shooter exhibited rapid mood swings.
- **Isolation:** Whether the shooter was socially isolated.
- **Abusive\_Behavior:** Whether the shooter exhibited abusive behavior.
- **Suicidality\_Code, Suicidality:** Whether the shooter exhibited suicidal tendencies.

#### Determining the weights for Composite risk Score

- **Psychological and Behavioral History** (e.g., mental illness, history of violence, substance abuse) is often a stronger predictor.

**40 points**

- **Recent Stressors** (e.g., crisis indicators, paranoia, suicidality) may also be highly relevant.

**30 points**

- **Childhood Trauma and Abuse** can have long-term effects but may be less directly predictive than recent behaviors.

**20 points**

- **Family Background** (e.g., parental divorce, criminal record) may provide context but is often less directly predictive.

**10 points**

## Creating the Firearm Acquisition Risk Score

We can break this down into two components:

1. **Acquisition Risk** (higher risk for illegal, stolen, or modified firearms)
2. **Firearm Risk** (higher risk for weapons with modifications or high-capacity magazines)

### 1. Acquisition Risk (0-3 points)

- **3 Points** → **Illegal\_Purchase = 1** OR **Theft = 1** (High Risk: Black market, stolen)
- **2 Points** → **Assembled\_with\_Legal\_Parts = 1** OR **Modified = 1** (Moderate Risk: Ghost guns, modifications)
- **1 Point** → **Gifted = 1** OR **Legal\_Purchase = 1** (Lower Risk, but loopholes exist)
- **0 Points** → **Unknown = 1** OR no other factors met

### 2. Firearm Risk (0-2 points)

- **2 Points** → **Large\_Capacity\_Magazine = 1** OR **Extended\_Magazine = 1** (Higher lethality)
- **1 Point** → **Modified = 1** (Gun was altered for increased firepower)
- **0 Points** → No modifications

## Interpretation

- **Score 5** → Highest risk (illegally obtained, stolen, or assembled + high-capacity magazine)
- **Score 3-4** → Moderate risk (legal but modified, or illegally purchased but unmodified)
- **Score 1-2** → Lower risk (legal, no modifications)
- **Score 0** → Unknown or no risk factors

# Creating Motive Risk Score

Broken down into **three weighted categories**, each capturing distinct motive types:

## 1. Hate-Based Motives (Highest Risk: 8 points each)

- **Racism/Xenophobia** (Motive\_\_Racism\_Xenophobia\_Code = 1)
- **Religious Hate** (Motive\_\_Religious\_Hate\_Code = 1)
- **Misogyny** (Motive\_\_Misogyny = 1) → **6 points**
- **Homophobia** (Motive\_\_Homophobia = 1) → **6 points**
- **Documented Prejudices** (Known\_Prejudices not null or "No evidence") → **4 points**

## 2. Personal Grievances (Moderate Risk: 4–5 points each)

- **Employment Issues** (Motive\_\_Employment\_Issue = 1) → **5 points**
- **Relationship Issues** (Motive\_\_Relationship\_Issue = 1) → **5 points**
- **Interpersonal Conflict** (Motive\_\_Interpersonal\_Conflict = 1) → **4 points**

## 3. Other Motives (Variable Risk)

- **Fame-Seeking** (Motive\_\_Fame\_Seeking = 1) → **7 points** (high due to copycat risk)
- **Economic/Legal Issues** (Motive\_\_Economic\_Issue or Legal\_Issue = 1) → **3 points each**
- **Other Documented Motives** (Motive\_\_Other\_Code = 1) → **2 points**

### Risk Tiers:

Score Range	Risk Level	Intervention Focus
≥15	High Risk (Hate/Extremism)	Counter-terrorism, hate crime prevention
10–14	Moderate Risk (Grievance)	Workplace/domestic violence programs
<10	Situational/Opportunistic	General mental health screening

# Creating the intervention opportunity rate

The **IOR** score is based on three main factors:

## 1. Prior System Contact (0-5 points)

- **Law Enforcement Contact:** Known\_to\_Police\_or\_FBI, Criminal\_Record
- **Mental Health System Contact:** Prior\_Hospitalization, Suicidality, Prior\_Counseling, Psychiatric\_Medication
- **School or Community Interventions:** History\_of\_Physical\_Altercations, History\_of\_Domestic\_Abuse, Gang\_Affiliation

## 2. Signs of Crisis Before the Incident (0-5 points)

- **Behavioral Red Flags:** Signs\_of\_Being\_in\_Crisis, Crisis\_Six\_Months\_or\_Less, Paranoia, Rapid\_Mood\_Swings, Isolation
- **Recent Stressors:** Recent\_or\_Ongoing\_Stressor, Abusive\_Behavior

## 3. Pre-Incident Leakage (0-5 points)

- **Warning Signs Given:** Leakage (if leakage occurred, +3 points)
- **Who Was Warned:** Leakage\_Who (e.g., authorities vs. friends, higher points if authorities were warned)
- **How the Leakage Occurred:** Leakage\_How (direct threats or manifestos get higher weight)

## Interpretation

- **Score 12-15 → Severe missed intervention** (multiple system contacts, crisis indicators, and direct leakage to authorities)
- **Score 8-11 → Moderate missed intervention** (some system contact, crisis indicators, and general leakage)
- **Score 4-7 → Limited intervention opportunities** (some warning signs but no major system contact)
- **Score 0-3 → No clear intervention opportunity**

# Creating victim impact severity score:

## 1. Fatalities Impact (Weighted Heavily)

- Each **death** contributes **5 points**

## 2. Injuries Impact (Moderate Weight)

- Each **injury** contributes **2 points**

## 3. Years of Life Lost Impact (Weighted by Age)

- **Total Years Lost ÷ 10** (to scale appropriately)

## Interpretation

- **Score 50+ → Mass casualty event** (high fatalities, young victims)
- **Score 30-49 → Severe impact** (moderate deaths + injuries + younger victims)
- **Score 15-29 → Significant event** (higher injuries than fatalities)
- **Score < 15 → Lower impact event**

This metric quantifies the **human cost per incident**, which can inform policymaking, intervention strategies, and victim support initiatives.