

Note: The autograder is only responsible for grading a portion of this project. Because we are using a statistic-based testing method, your submission may pass certain algorithms without proper implementations. The TAs will be manually grading the remaining portion of this project.

PS 1 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 169.00 (expecting μ = 168.64, Δ = 2.49)
- Average Total Execution Time: 68.60 (expecting μ = 68.79, Δ = 2.30)
- Average Total Wait Time: 138.30 (expecting μ = 138.48, Δ = 2.40)

PS 2 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 174.24 (expecting μ = 170.26, Δ = 12.92)
- Average Total Execution Time: 40.30 (expecting μ = 38.91, Δ = 6.16)
- Average Total Wait Time: 26.54 (expecting μ = 33.69, Δ = 16.52)

PS 4 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 183.96 (expecting μ = 183.82, Δ = 4.48)
- Average Total Execution Time: 33.48 (expecting μ = 33.31, Δ = 3.50)
- Average Total Wait Time: 1.05 (expecting μ = 0.22, Δ = 5.04)

FCFS 1 Processor(s) (4.0/4.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 99.00 (expecting μ = 98.87, Δ = 1.34)
- Average Total Execution Time: 67.60 (expecting μ = 67.60, Δ = 0.41)
- Average Total Wait Time: 389.90 (expecting μ = 389.94, Δ = 1.34)

FCFS 2 Processor(s) (4.0/4.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 108.68 (expecting μ = 108.75, Δ = 10.75)
- Average Total Execution Time: 35.80 (expecting μ = 35.87, Δ = 0.76)
- Average Total Wait Time: 90.02 (expecting μ = 87.90, Δ = 33.30)

FCFS 4 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 181.12 (expecting μ = 181.94, Δ = 5.86)
- Average Total Execution Time: 33.38 (expecting μ = 33.33, Δ = 1.07)
- Average Total Wait Time: 0.29 (expecting μ = 0.52, Δ = 1.40)

Files Submitted Properly (1.0/1.0)

RR 1 Processor(s) 200 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 362.00 (expecting μ = 361.95, Δ = 1.22)
- Average Total Execution Time: 67.50 (expecting μ = 67.52, Δ = 1.24)
- Average Total Wait Time: 285.20 (expecting μ = 285.09, Δ = 5.51)

RR 1 Processor(s) 400 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 203.00 (expecting μ = 202.92, Δ = 1.27)
- Average Total Execution Time: 67.60 (expecting μ = 67.60, Δ = 1.21)
- Average Total Wait Time: 298.80 (expecting μ = 298.93, Δ = 5.84)

RR 1 Processor(s) 800 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 136.00 (expecting μ = 135.96, Δ = 1.20)
- Average Total Execution Time: 67.60 (expecting μ = 67.60, Δ = 1.11)
- Average Total Wait Time: 325.40 (expecting μ = 325.47, Δ = 5.42)

RR 2 Processor(s) 200 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 382.28 (expecting μ = 381.02, Δ = 12.15)
- Average Total Execution Time: 36.30 (expecting μ = 36.32, Δ = 0.59)
- Average Total Wait Time: 43.37 (expecting μ = 44.66, Δ = 10.79)

RR 2 Processor(s) 400 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)

Of the 25 runs...
- Average # of Context Switches: 222.92 (expecting μ = 222.68, Δ = 9.77)
- Average Total Execution Time: 36.58 (expecting μ = 36.56, Δ = 0.67)
- Average Total Wait Time: 40.30 (expecting μ = 40.31, Δ = 13.66)

RR 2 Processor(s) 800 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
Of the 25 runs... - Average # of Context Switches: 150.48 (expecting μ = 149.20, Δ = 13.77) - Average Total Execution Time: 35.82 (expecting μ = 35.92, Δ = 0.93) - Average Total Wait Time: 49.40 (expecting μ = 49.24, Δ = 19.12)
RR 4 Processor(s) 200 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
Of the 25 runs... - Average # of Context Switches: 447.00 (expecting μ = 444.32, Δ = 6.65) - Average Total Execution Time: 33.23 (expecting μ = 33.22, Δ = 1.18) - Average Total Wait Time: 0.01 (expecting μ = 0.42, Δ = 0.84)
RR 4 Processor(s) 400 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
Of the 25 runs... - Average # of Context Switches: 287.08 (expecting μ = 286.46, Δ = 5.83) - Average Total Execution Time: 33.40 (expecting μ = 33.22, Δ = 0.48) - Average Total Wait Time: 0.30 (expecting μ = 0.38, Δ = 1.08)
RR 4 Processor(s) 800 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
Of the 25 runs... - Average # of Context Switches: 218.00 (expecting μ = 219.56, Δ = 3.70) - Average Total Execution Time: 32.73 (expecting μ = 33.24, Δ = 0.51) - Average Total Wait Time: 0.30 (expecting μ = 0.74, Δ = 1.27)
FCFS Race Conditions (4.0/4.0)
PS Race Conditions (4.0/4.0)
RR Race Conditions (4.0/4.0)

STUDENT

Eric Anders Gustafson

AUTOGRADER SCORE

60.0 / 60.0

PASSED TESTS

- PS 1 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)
- PS 2 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)
- PS 4 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)
- FCFS 1 Processor(s) (4.0/4.0)
- FCFS 2 Processor(s) (4.0/4.0)
- FCFS 4 Processor(s) (3.0/3.0)
- Files Submitted Properly (1.0/1.0)
- RR 1 Processor(s) 200 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 1 Processor(s) 400 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 1 Processor(s) 800 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 2 Processor(s) 200 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 2 Processor(s) 400 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 2 Processor(s) 800 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 4 Processor(s) 200 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 4 Processor(s) 400 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- RR 4 Processor(s) 800 ms Timeslice (3.0/3.0)
- FCFS Race Conditions (4.0/4.0)
- PS Race Conditions (4.0/4.0)
- RR Race Conditions (4.0/4.0)

QUESTION 2

Manual Grading14.0 / 14.0 pts

✓ - 0 ptsCorrect

- 7 ptsUsed broadcast instead of signal
- 7 ptsDidn't place Cond_wait inside a while loop
- 14 ptsBlank/Empty

QUESTION 3.1

FCFS Scheduler5.0 / 5.0 pts

QUESTION 3.2

Round Robin

5.0 / 5.0 pts

QUESTION 3.3

Preemptive Priority Scheduler

5.0 / 5.0 pts

QUESTION 3.4

Priority Inversion Problem

10.0 / 10.0 pts

QUESTION 4

Demo

1.0 / 1.0 pts

QUESTION 2

Manual Grading

14.0 / 14.0 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 7 pts Used broadcast instead of signal

- 7 pts Didn't place Cond_wait inside a while loop

- 14 pts Blank/Empty

QUESTION 3.1

FCFS Scheduler

5.0 / 5.0 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Does not identify that the relationship is not linear

- 2 pts Incorrect justification (CPUs idling while waiting for processes to come off of I/O)

- 5 pts No answer/missing answers.txt

- 5 pts Incorrect answer

QUESTION 3.2

Round Robin

5.0 / 5.0 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 2 pts Does not identify that the waiting time decreases with smaller timeslices

- 2 pts Incorrect reasoning for shortest timeslice in real OS (overhead associated with context switching in a real OS resulting in thrashing & not a lot of work being done)

- 5 pts No answer/missing answers.txt

- 5 pts Incorrect answer

QUESTION 3.3

Preemptive Priority Scheduler

5.0 / 5.0 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct (aging: increase priority of any process that has been waiting for a long time)

- 5 pts No answer/missing answers.txt

- 5 pts Incorrect answer

QUESTION 3.4

Priority Inversion Problem

10.0 / 10.0 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct (temporarily change window manager's priority to the same as the high priority process's priority)

- 5 pts Didn't specify how much to increase the window manager's priority (needs to be the same as the process that depends on it)

- 10 pts No answer/missing answers.txt

- 10 pts Incorrect answer

QUESTION 4

Demo

1.0 / 1.0 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct

💬 100%