

ERIC M. FINK

PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

ELON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW
FALL TERM 2022

Published in the United States of America by
Eric M. Fink
Elon University School of Law
Greensboro, North Carolina 27408



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Source code
<https://github.com/EricMFink/PRcasebook/>

Version 1.0, May 2022

Contents

I	Part Title	7
1	Chapter Title	9
1	<i>Primary Legal Authority</i>	9
2	<i>Other Styles</i>	14

Every lawyer carries within him the debris of a poet.

§ Gustave Flaubert, *Madame Bovary*

Part I

Part Title

Chapter 1

Chapter Title

1. Primary Legal Authority

Constitution, Statutes, & Rules

U.S. Const. art. III, § 1

The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The judges, both of the supreme and inferior courts, shall hold their offices during good behaviour, and shall, at stated times, receive for their services, a compensation, which shall not be diminished during their continuance in office.

28 U.S.C. § 1331

Federal Question

The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.

Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule 8

General Rules of Pleading

- (a) Claim for Relief. A pleading that states a claim for relief must contain:
- (1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction, unless the court already has jurisdiction and the claim needs no new jurisdictional support;
 - (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief; and
 - (3) a demand for the relief sought, which may include relief in the alternative or different types of relief.

Model Rules of Prof. Conduct, Rule 8

Confidentiality of Information

- (a) A lawyer shall not reveal information relating to the representation of a client unless the client gives informed consent, the disclosure is impliedly authorized in order to carry out the representation or the disclosure is permitted by paragraph (b).
- (b) A lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation of a client to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary:
- (1) to prevent reasonably certain death or substantial bodily harm;
 - (2) to prevent the client from committing a crime or fraud that is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the financial interests or property of another and in furtherance of which the client has used or is using the lawyer's services;
 - (3) to prevent, mitigate or rectify substantial injury to the financial interests or property of another that is reasonably certain to result or has resulted from the client's commission of a crime or fraud in furtherance of which the client has used the lawyer's services;
 - (4) to secure legal advice about the lawyer's compliance with these Rules;

- (5) to establish a claim or defense on behalf of the lawyer in a controversy between the lawyer and the client, to establish a defense to a criminal charge or civil claim against the lawyer based upon conduct in which the client was involved, or to respond to allegations in any proceeding concerning the lawyer's representation of the client;
 - (6) to comply with other law or a court order; or
 - (7) to detect and resolve conflicts of interest arising from the lawyer's change of employment or from changes in the composition or ownership of a firm, but only if the revealed information would not compromise the attorney-client privilege or otherwise prejudice the client.
- (c) A lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to prevent the inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure of, or unauthorized access to, information relating to the representation of a client.

Rest. (3d) of the Law Governing Lawyers, § 14

Attorney-Client Relationship

A relationship of client and lawyer arises when:

- (1) a person manifests to a lawyer the person's intent that the lawyer provide legal services for the person; and either
 - (a) the lawyer manifests to the person consent to do so; or
 - (b) the lawyer fails to manifest lack of consent to do so, and the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the person reasonably relies on the lawyer to provide the services; or
- (2) a tribunal with power to do so appoints the lawyer to provide the services.

N.C. Gen. Stat. § 84-4

Persons other than members of State Bar prohibited from practicing law

Except as otherwise permitted by law, it shall be unlawful for any person or association of persons, except active members of the Bar of the State of North Carolina admitted and licensed to practice as attorneys-at-law, to appear as attorney or counselor at law in any action or proceeding before any judicial body, including the North Carolina Industrial Commission, or the Utilities Commission; ...

Cases

Togstad v. Vesely, Otto, Miller and Keefe

291 N.W.2d 686 (Minn. 1980)

This is an appeal by the defendants from a judgment of the Hennepin County District Court involving an action for legal malpractice. The jury found that the defendant attorney Jerre Miller was negligent and that, as a direct result of such negligence, plaintiff John Togstad sustained damages in the amount of \$610,500 and his wife, plaintiff Joan Togstad, in the amount of \$39,000. Defendants (Miller and his law firm) appeal to this court from the denial of their motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict or, alternatively, for a new trial. We affirm.

[* * *]

Mrs. Togstad testified that she told Miller “everything that happened at the hospital,” including the nurses’ statements and conduct which had raised a question in her mind. She stated that she “believed” she had told Miller “about the procedure and what was undertaken, what was done, and what happened.” She brought no records with her. Miller took notes and asked questions during the meeting, which lasted 45 minutes to an

hour. At its conclusion, according to Mrs. Togstad, Miller said that “he did not think we had a legal case, however, he was going to discuss this with his partner.” She understood that if Miller changed his mind after talking to his partner, he would call her. Mrs. Togstad “gave it” a few days and, since she did not hear from Miller, decided “that they had come to the conclusion that there wasn’t a case.” No fee arrangements were discussed, no medical authorizations were requested, nor was Mrs. Togstad billed for the interview.¹

¹ (n.3 in opinion) This is a *sidenote*. It replaces a numbered footnote.

Mrs. Togstad denied that Miller had told her his firm did not have expertise in the medical malpractice field, urged her to see another attorney, or related to her that the statute of limitations for medical malpractice actions was two years. She did not consult another attorney until one year after she talked to Miller. Mrs. Togstad indicated that she did not confer with another attorney earlier because of her reliance on Miller’s “legal advice” that they “did not have a case.”

This is a margin note. It is used for commenting on the text.

On cross-examination, Mrs. Togstad was asked whether she went to Miller’s office “to see if he would take the case of her husband.” She replied, “Well, I guess it was to go for legal advice, what to do, where shall we go from here? That is what we went for.” Again in response to defense counsel’s questions, Mrs. Togstad testified as follows:

Q And it was clear to you, was it not, that what was taking place was a preliminary discussion between a prospective client and lawyer as to whether or not they wanted to enter into an attorney-client relationship?

A I am not sure how to answer that. It was for legal advice as to what to do.

Q And Mr. Miller was discussing with you your problem and indicating whether he, as a lawyer, wished to take the case, isn’t that true?

A Yes.

On re-direct examination, Mrs. Togstad acknowledged that when she left Miller’s office she understood that she had been given a “qualified, quality legal opinion that she and her husband did not have a malpractice case.”

[* * *]

Based on the foregoing, we hold that the jury’s findings are adequately supported by the record. Accordingly we uphold the trial court’s denial of defendants’ motion for judgment notwithstanding the jury verdict.

Questions

1. What was the basis for the Togstads' claim that Miller's actions formed an attorney-client relationship? How did Miller respond?
2. What could Miller have done differently to avoid creating an attorney-client relationship?

2. Other Styles

Heading 2

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae justo id ante maximus feugiat id eu ipsum. Vestibulum eleifend tellus arcu, ac feugiat tortor mollis suscipit. Nunc mauris orci, vulputate id fringilla eget, faucibus at sem. Sed elementum enim eu neque rutrum, imperdiet pellentesque massa eleifend. Morbi sed gravida mauris, id pulvinar libero. Nulla dictum justo vestibulum massa imperdiet blandit. Donec posuere cursus lorem, vel laoreet urna lacinia sit amet.

Heading 3

Donec mattis, est nec accumsan pellentesque, ligula eros euismod leo, a vulputate mauris mi vitae augue. Aenean et diam libero. Donec hendrerit, enim eget efficitur hendrerit, arcu libero malesuada enim, et scelerisque tellus diam ac diam. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Nunc euismod orci id vehicula pharetra.

Indented Block

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae justo id ante maximus feugiat id eu ipsum. Vestibulum eleifend tellus arcu, ac feugiat tortor mollis suscipit. Nunc mauris orci, vulputate id fringilla eget, faucibus at sem. Sed elementum enim eu neque rutrum, imperdiet pellentesque massa

eleifend. Morbi sed gravida mauris, id pulvinar libero. Nulla dictum justo vestibulum massa imperdiet blandit. Donec posuere cursus lorem, vel laoreet urna lacinia sit amet.

Donec mattis, est nec accumsan pellentesque, ligula eros euismod leo, a vulputate mauris mi vitae augue. Aenean et diam libero. Donec hendrerit, enim eget efficitur hendrerit, arcu libero malesuada enim, et scelerisque tellus diam ac diam. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Nunc euismod orci id vehicula pharetra.

Block Quote

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae justo id ante maximus feugiat id eu ipsum. Vestibulum eleifend tellus arcu, ac feugiat tortor mollis suscipit. Nunc mauris orci, vulputate id fringilla eget, faucibus at sem. Sed elementum enim eu neque rutrum, imperdiet pellentesque massa eleifend. Morbi sed gravida mauris, id pulvinar libero. Nulla dictum justo vestibulum massa imperdiet blandit. Donec posuere cursus lorem, vel laoreet urna lacinia sit amet.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec vitae justo id ante maximus feugiat id eu ipsum. Vestibulum eleifend tellus arcu, ac feugiat tortor mollis suscipit. Nunc mauris orci, vulputate id fringilla eget, faucibus at sem. Sed elementum enim eu neque rutrum, imperdiet pellentesque massa eleifend. Morbi sed gravida mauris, id pulvinar libero. Nulla dictum justo vestibulum massa imperdiet blandit. Donec posuere cursus lorem, vel laoreet urna lacinia sit amet.

